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## EXISTING AND PROJECTED TRANSPORTATION CONDITIONS

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

To clearly understand a transportation network, it is important to evaluate both the existing and projected conditions and use that information to identify any potential problem areas. Existing traffic data were used to establish the existing conditions on major road segments within the study area. The existing data were then projected out to the year 2038 using growth rates derived from a travel demand model built for Cascade County by the Montana Department of Transportation (MDT). Utilizing the existing and projected data, the operational characteristics and potential traffic issues over the planning horizon were determined. A variety of data were used to help evaluate the system, including:

- Existing functional classification,
- Existing traffic data,
- Existing bicycle and pedestrian data,
- Existing roadway corridor size,
- Current intersection turning movement counts,
- Current traffic signal operation information,
- Existing intersection and roadway configurations, and
- Historic crash data.


### 2.0 EXISTING TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

Current information about the transportation system was analyzed to establish the existing traffic conditions and to determine potential problem areas. Existing data was provided in the 2014 LRTP and updated as appropriate using information provided by MDT, the City of Great Falls, and Cascade County. New data was not collected as part of this Update as the available data was determined to accurately represent current transportation conditions. The combination of data from the 2014 LRTP and the updated available data was used to determine the existing conditions of the transportation system.

### 2.1. Transportation Network

A transportation network is made up of many individual road segments which are connected in ways which permit vehicular movement. However, this network is not limited to personal vehicles, it is also meant to accommodate public transportation, bicycles, pedestrians, freight, rail, and other modes of transportation. Gaining a thorough understanding of each component of the transportation network will help ensure that all modes of transportation are able to navigate the transportation network safely and efficiently.

### 2.1.1. Major Street Network

To understand a community's existing transportation system, it is first necessary to identify which roadways will be evaluated as part of the larger planning effort. A transportation system is made up of a hierarchy of roadways, with each roadway being classified according to certain parameters. The parameters include, but are not limited to, geometric configuration, traffic volumes, spacing in the community's transportation grid, speed, and adjacent land use. Each of these characteristics helps define the role that each segment of roadway plays within the overall network. The method by which these roles
are defined is widely known as functional classification. Travel through a community involves movement through a network of roads. Functional classification defines the nature of travel within the network in a logical and efficient manner by defining the objectives that any particular road or street should meet to effectively move trips through the entire network.

For this evaluation, emphasis was placed on roadways within the study area that are functionally classified as collectors, minor arterials, or principal arterials. The local streets, the lowest ranking roadways, were not examined in detail due to the assumption that if the major street network (i.e. collectors and above) is functioning at an acceptable level, the local roadways should not be used beyond their intended function. However, if problems begin to occur on the major street network, then the resulting issues will begin to infiltrate the local road network. As such, the overall health of a community's transportation system can be characterized by the health of the major street network.

Included in the study area are roadways with the functional classifications of interstate system, principal arterial, minor arterial, collector street, and local street. For the purpose of this Plan, these functional classifications are neither limited to, nor defined by, "urban" or "rural" settings, though some entities often make a distinction between urban and rural functional classes. Rural roadways in the study area generally carry a smaller volume than their urban counterparts. Although traffic volumes may differ between urban and rural sections of a roadway, it is important to still maintain coordinated right-of-way standards to allow for efficient operation and potential urban development. Figures 1 and 2 present the major street network for the study area. The figure shows existing roadway classifications. Note that the functional classifications shown in the figure may not represent the "Federally approved" functional classification system, rather, it shows the locally adopted classifications. These classifications are used for planning purposes and may not be representative of actual conditions. The following list provides general descriptions of these functional classifications.

## InTERSTATE HIGHWAYS

The main purpose of an interstate highway is to provide for both regional and interstate transportation of people and goods. Primary users include all types, ranging from local residents and commuters, to travelers and freight operators. Interstate highways characteristically have fully controlled access (provided by a limited number of interchanges), high design speeds, and place a high priority on driver comfort and safety. The interstate system has been designed as a high-speed facility with all road intersections being grade separated.

## PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL SYSTEM

The purpose of a principal arterial is to serve the major centers of activity, the highest traffic volume corridors, and the longest trip distances in an area. This classification of roadway carries a high proportion of the total traffic. Most of the vehicles entering and leaving the area will utilize principal arterials. Significant intra-area travel, such as between central business districts, outlying residential areas, and major suburban centers, is typically served by principal arterials.

The spacing between principal arterials may vary; from less than one mile in highly developed areas, to five miles or more on the urban fringes. Principal arterials mainly connect to other principal arterials or to the interstate system. The major purpose of the principal arterial is to provide expedient movement of traffic, not access to abutting lands.

## Minor Arterial Street System

The minor arterial street system interconnects with and supplements the principal arterial system. Minor arterials accommodate trips of moderate length at a somewhat lower level of travel mobility, as compared
to principal arterials. They distribute travel to smaller geographic areas in addition to providing some access to adjacent lands.

The spacing of minor arterial streets may vary; from several blocks to half a mile in highly developed areas of a town, to several miles in the urban fringes. They are typically spaced more than one mile apart in fully developed areas.

## Collector Street System

The collector street network provides links from residential, commercial, and industrial areas to the arterial street network. This type of roadway differs from those of the arterial system in that collector roadways may traverse residential neighborhoods. The collector system distributes trips from the arterials to the user's ultimate destinations while also collecting traffic from local streets in the residential neighborhoods and channeling the traffic to the arterial system. The collector street system should intersect arterial streets at a uniform spacing of one-half to one-quarter mile in order to maintain good progression on the arterial network. Ideally, collectors should be no longer than one to two miles and should be continuous for their entire length.

## Local Street System

The local street network comprises all facilities not included in the higher functional classes. The primary purpose of local streets is to permit direct access to abutting lands and connections to higher systems. Most local streets also provide residential and commercial access. Usually, service to through-traffic movements is intentionally discouraged either through low speeds or other traffic calming measures.

Figure 1: Existing Major Street Network


### 2.1.2. Non-Motorized Transportation Network

An extensive effort was put forth for the 2014 LRTP to assess the existing non-motorized network conditions and determine the community's non-motorized needs. This assessment was performed by Alta Planning + Design and resulted in a thorough analysis detailing the existing facilities, policies, programs, and system deficiencies. The memo is included in Appendix A is summarized in this section. The content of the memo has been reviewed and any necessary updates were made in this section to ensure an accurate representation of current conditions.

## Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

The Great Falls Area is fortunate to boast an approximately 60-mile off-street bicycling and walking system along the banks of the Missouri River. In general, Great Falls' older core neighborhoods and grid street system with small blocks lend themselves to walking and non-motorized transportation. Pedestrians use sidewalks, trails, alleys, and bridges in and around the City, however, there is a relative lack of designated on-street bicycle infrastructure. The city's first bike lane was installed in Summer 2013. Some additions to the existing bike and pedestrian facilities have taken place since the development of the 2014 LRTP. As such, there are many opportunities for improvement to the non-motorized transportation network, especially improvements to the bicycle network. The following list describes the existing bicycle and pedestrian facilities in the study area. A map of the existing bicycle and pedestrian facilities is presented in Figure 3.

## SHARED LANE MARKINGS

Shared lane markings, or sharrows, are stenciled markings installed as an on-street facility where bicycles share the travel lanes with cars. Typically, these facilities occur on local roadways or on roadways with low traffic volumes and speeds. These facilities are used to connect other bikeways - usually bike lanes - or designate preferred routes through high-demand corridors. In implementation, roadways with shared lane markings are accompanied by a Bike Route designation and appropriate signage. Examples of routes with shared lane markings in the Great Falls Area are those along 4th Avenue North and 8th Avenue North.


Bicyclist riding on the $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave N shared roadway


57th St N/2nd Ave N bike lanes

## BIKE LANES

Bike lanes are a type of separated bikeway that uses signage and striping to delineate the right-of-way assigned to bicyclists and motorists. Bike lanes encourage predictable movement by both bicyclists and motorists. The Great Falls Area currently has 2.6 miles of bike lanes. The $57^{\text {th }}$ Street $\mathrm{N} / 2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue N bike lanes were installed in June and July 2013 between the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave N gate of Malmstrom Air Force Base on the east, west to the intersection of $57^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{N}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave N , and then north and northwest till $38^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{N}$ \& the River's Edge Trail extension.

## Natural Surface Trails

The River's Edge Trail (RET) is the most notable natural surface trail in the Great Falls Area. In general, natural surface trails serve as both transportation and recreational facilities. The RET is nearly 60 miles long and $35+$ miles of the trail are made up of natural surface trail. These parts of the trail are primarily used for singletrack mountain bike riding and walking/hiking.


## ShARED USE PATHS

Shared use paths are off-street paved trails that are designated for the use of bicyclists, pedestrians, and other non-motorized users such as skateboarders and rollerbladers. Approximately 25 miles of the RET is paved paths and trails.

Paved portion of the River's Edge Trail

## SIDEWALKS

Most of the established areas of Great Falls have a very cohesive and continuous sidewalk network. On the outskirts and in new or fringe developments, however, such connectivity is lacking. Much of the latter areas were subdivided and built before being incorporating into the City (if at all), and most of the sidewalk gaps occur here. Developers and builders in unincorporated areas were not required to build sidewalks and they weren't included in the design of these neighborhoods. At the time of the 2014 LRTP, there were 37.62 miles of sidewalk gaps out of the 196 miles of potential sidewalk mileage within the City limits.


There are some locations in Great Falls where sidewalks end


## Non-Motorized Programs

## RIVER'S EDGE TRAIL

According to the River's Edge Trail website, the almost 60-mile trail system is the result of nearly 30 years of cooperative partnership efforts by the City of Great Falls, Cascade County, Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife \& Parks (FWP), Montana Department of Transportation, electric utility PPL Montana, a volunteer trail advocacy group Recreational Trails, Inc., and a supportive community. As a result of this work, the RET has grown into a treasured community asset. Since 1989, the trail has grown to nearly 60 miles. The RET system is composed of 25 miles of paved paths and trails, and $35+$ miles of unpaved or natural trails (primarily used for singletrack mountain bike riding and walking/hiking). ${ }^{1}$

The history of the River's Edge Trail began with a conceptual plan for a riverside recreational trail in Great Falls (as developed by the City-County Planning Board staff in 1989). Dubbed the "Riverfront Recreational Corridor", the trail was to extend 7 miles from the Broadwater Bay area downstream to Rainbow Falls. The trail, re-named the River's Edge Trail following a Name-the-Trail contest in the Great Falls Tribune, captured the interest and support of the community. A volunteer group that advocated local bike trails, also in 1989, as part of the Vision 2000 community planning process, began working with the City to develop the first segments of the trail. That group was formalized as a non-profit 501 c3 corporation named Recreational Trails, Inc. (RTI).

Over the last 26 years RTI has continued to work with the City, County, FWP, PPL Montana and many other partners, agencies, groups and individuals to extend and improve the 60-mile trail. In 2015, the City of Great Falls assumed full management of the trail, hired a trails coordinator in 2016, and RTI transitioned into the River's Edge Trail Foundation. ${ }^{2}$ Much of the trail has been constructed on abandoned railroad and road rights-of-way and structures. Miles of new trail connecting these segments have been constructed, as have many new tunnels, underpasses, bridges and trailheads. Volunteers have undertaken an on-going intensive cleanup of riverfront lands that had been littered with debris over the past decades, and have spent thousands of hours on weed control, tree planting, maintenance, and enhancement projects. ${ }^{3}$

## Get Fit Great Falls

Get Fit Great Falls (GFGF) is a group that desires to have a healthier and more active community that is also more economically vibrant and physically active. Get Fit Great Falls is made up of representatives from 20 community organizations and agencies and although it is not officially a non-profit organization, it has been successful in its initial initiatives to encourage more walking and bicycling to Great Falls Voyagers baseball games, overall walkability of the City, and improving the relationship between pedestrians and other roadway users. Bicyclists and pedestrians sharing sidewalks can be dangerous according to GFGF and an improvement on the current situation is another goal of the organization. Focusing also on wheelchair accessibility and safety concerns for disabled users, GFGF has sought to work with the City to close sidewalk gaps and improve ADA access.

## ADA ACCOMMODATIONS

An ADA ramp is an inclined ramp that allows access for those in wheelchairs, with other disabilities (including the elderly), and those pushing carts or strollers to transition gradually and safely between the

[^0]sidewalk and the street, similar to the way a driveway curb cut allows a car to access a driveway and the roadway.

The City of Great Falls has made a significant effort in creating curb ramps or ADA ramps in recent years. In 2017, the City released the Public Right of Way ADA Transition Plan ${ }^{4}$ which identifies barriers to accessible transportation on City properties and in the public rights of way and outlines methods to remove these barriers. To date, the City of Great Falls has:

- 5,626 corners total
- 1,074 ADA compliant ramps
- 1,843 non-compliant ramps
- 2,709 corners without ramps
- 90 traffic signals
- 37 signals without pedestrian push buttons
- $600+$ miles of sidewalk

The Plan concluded that, based on field inventory and analysis, 63 percent of curb ramps in the City are non-compliant with ADA regulations. Twenty-eight ADA program methods have been established to help meet compliancy standards. The methods are broken down into three categories: (1) Administration, (2) Communications, and (3) Right-of-way related methods which are further broken down into ADA Inventory, Project Identification, Design and Construction, and Operation and Maintenance. Each year an Annual Action Plan will be completed which will include an implementation plan and schedule depending on that years available funding mechanisms.

The Great Falls Transit District ADA advisory committee is currently without effective guidance or leadership, but its role has traditionally been to advise the Board of Trustees or Directors on issues regarding wheelchair access and accommodating and providing services for those with disabilities who use the transit system. In the past, their priority was a curb cut, or ADA ramp, program. Once that began to pick up speed and more ADA ramps were installed on sidewalks, interested members of that committee dwindled and stopped coming to meetings.

## Facility Maintenance

## RIVER'S EDGE TRAIL

Maintenance of the River's Edge Trail is shared between the Great Falls Parks and Recreation Department, Region 4 of Montana State Parks, and The River's Edge Trail Foundation with funding for maintenance provided by city funds and grants, private donations, and funds raised by the foundation. Maintenance includes resurfacing, weed abatement, riverbank work, signage, equipment, and labor.

The new trail coordinator position is funded by the city's general fund and transportation funds from the city planning department with the two funds splitting the $\$ 70,000$ cost. In addition to funding the coordinator position, yearly maintenance of the trail is approximately $\$ 120,000+$. Maintenance funds are primarily provided by the RET Foundation, however funds are also contributed by the City of Great Falls. The City's Trail Division budget for the 2017 fiscal year was \$122,273.

[^1]
## Street Sweeping

Currently, there is no preferential treatment for streets with designated (separated or otherwise) bikeways. In the case of $8^{\text {th }}$ Ave N (bike route), however, it is on a preferential schedule due to its nature as a snow route and a collector street.

In the fair-weather seasons in Great Falls, sweeping is done from west to east in the older City core (grid system), and then continues into the surrounding areas (e.g. south of $10^{\text {th }}$ Ave S , and in the Riverview and Valley View neighborhoods). The Downtown core is on an enhanced schedule that includes 4 am sweeping so as to take advantage of the lack of motorized traffic and on-street parking (in commercial areas). Sweeping may also be performed as needed after heavy summer storms to clean up impacted areas (fallen branches, leaves, and other debris).

The City of Great Falls also sweeps in the winter in order to clear debris from the streets. It is done during breaks in the snowfall and preference is give (as mentioned before) to snow routes and arterials and collectors.

MDT sweeps all of the routes over which they have jurisdiction as needed. With the introduction of salt brine as a preventative measure, their sweeping has been cut down considerably. Although most sweeping is for spot improvements, maintenance crews do pay more attention to high usage routes such as $10^{\text {th }}$ Ave $\mathrm{S}, 14^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$, and other major roadways.

## ON-STREET SNOW REMOVAL

The Great Falls area receives approximately 62 inches of snow per year, receiving the most snow in March. The River's Edge Trail gets plowed before most streets because it is maintained by the Parks and Recreation Department, which is responsible for fewer routes than the Public Work Department, which maintains most roads.

## Sidewalk Maintenance

Within the Great Falls city limits, there are no programs for sidewalk maintenance or replacement. Per Montana state law, sidewalk maintenance including tree root heaves, crumbling, etc., is the responsibility of the adjoining property owner(s) and is only enforced by the City or the jurisdictional authority. In the case of sidewalks inside of Great Falls city limits, this authority would be the City. Otherwise, it would be Cascade County. At the City level, at least, this process is complaint-driven and is thus reactive, and not proactive. After receiving a hazardous sidewalks complaint, a member of the City's Engineering Department staff performs a site inspection to determine if it is, in fact, a condemnable defect. If that is the case, a letter is then issued to the property owner notifying them of the defect and that they will be allowed 30 days for repairs. In 90 percent of cases, according to the City of Great Falls, the owner complies and the defect is remedied. The remaining 10 percent require a condemnation process that continues with the City hiring a contractor to do the repairs and the owner being charged for any labor and materials needed. If the owner does not pay for the repairs after they have been completed, then a lien is place on the property.

In some cases where the defect is very minor, like small rises (usually less than one inch) in sidewalks sections that turn into "toe stubbers", especially in Downtown, grinding the concrete level has been done. Grinding, however, is limited to very minor offsets and to strong or newer concrete because old or deteriorated concrete tends to shatter.

In rare cases, the City or MDT has paid for sidewalk replacement or repair in full when it was part of a larger project, like the addition of ADA ramps, asphalt milling, and overlay projects on $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave N .

For MDT, their involvement in the issue depends on the extent of the repair required by the offset or deterioration. Their rule of thumb is that if is more than six linear feet of repair, then they will consider it more than "maintenance" and will fix it with public funds. Even with this program, businesses have also fixed larger repairs on their own.

## Non-Motorized Needs Analysis

A public survey was created as part of the 2014 LRTP plan in order to collect information about the preferences and key identifiers of different types of people interested in bicycling and walking in the Great Falls area. The survey was not statistically valid (because of the reach and response) and were distributed and promoted primarily by stakeholder groups in the transportation planning process and advertised in the newspaper. A total of 298 people responded to the "Bicycling Survey" and 192 people responded to the "Walking Survey".

## BICYCLING SURVEY

When considering responses from all 298 respondents, they all self-identified as the following types of bicyclists or potential bicyclists:

- Strong and Fearless: 19 percent

Characterized by bicyclists that will typically ride anywhere regardless of roadway conditions or weather. These bicyclists can ride faster than other user types, prefer direct routes and will typically choose roadway connections -- even if shared with vehicles - over separate bikeways such as shared use paths.

- Enthused and Confident: 39 percent

This user group encompasses bicyclists who are fairly comfortable riding on all types of bikeways but usually choose low traffic streets or shared use paths when available. These bicyclists may deviate from a more direct route in favor of a preferred facility type. This group includes all kinds of bicyclists such as commuters, recreationalists, racers and utilitarian bicyclists.

- Interested but Concerned: 34 percent

This user type comprises the bulk of the population and represents bicyclists who typically only ride on low traffic streets or shared use paths under favorable weather conditions. These people perceive significant barriers to increased cycling, specifically traffic and other safety issues. These people may become "Enthused \& Confident" with encouragement, education and experience.

## - No way No how: 8 percent

Persons in this category are not bicyclists, and perceive severe safety issues with riding in traffic. Some people in this group may eventually become more regular cyclists with time and education. A significant portion of these people will not ride a bicycle under any circumstances.

Survey takers were given the chance to select which facilities and types of bikeways they preferred or wished to have in their community (on a scale of 1-5, with one being least desirable and five being the most, depending on how much they liked it and how desirable it was). Most of the bikeways types received an average score of 3.5 , but shared use paths received a 4.3, which is indicative of the fact that Great Falls residents are familiar with this type of facility (River's Edge Trail) and may not be familiar with other types.

When asked what their normal destinations are in Great Falls, respondents showed that trails, open space, and community spaces are among the most visited and cherished. The top 5 destinations among respondents were:

- River's Edge Trail
- Downtown Great Falls
- Gibson Park
- Giant Springs Interpretive Center
- Riverfront parks

When asked what methods they would prefer in order to improve bicycling in Great Falls, the only choice that received a higher score than 4 (on a scale of 1 to 5), was "Maintain existing bike paths" with all other options receiving an average score of 3.6, the lowest being 3.34 ("Traffic calming to slow cars"). This does not mean that survey respondents don't want bicycling improvements and different methods to accomplish a cohesive system, but it does mean that improving maintenance of existing facilities, especially paths and trails, is the number one priority for them right now.

## Walking Survey

Respondents were asked about their walking habits. About half (49 percent) of respondents walk a few times per week, the next most common response was " $5+$ times per week" with only a cumulative 10 percent of respondents saying that they walk a few times per month or never.

Most respondents walk primarily for exercise and the next reasons are, in this order: spending time outdoors, transportation to a destination, social visits, and walking to school.

An overwhelming amount of people surveys responded that they currently enjoy walking on the River's Edge Trail, with the next most popular responses being "riverfront parks", "Downtown Great Falls", and "grocery stores".

Nearly 50 percent of respondents say that it only takes one to five minutes to walk to a park or playground, 30 percent have a 6 - to 10 -minute walk to a small grocery store, and 35 percent have an 11to 20-minute walk to a supermarket. There was an even split of about 18 percent of respondents who lived 21 to 30 minutes walking from a supermarket, fast food restaurant, pharmacy, or trail or greenway. Only 10 percent of respondents lived within a one- to five-minute walk from a trail or greenway.

Approximately 70 percent of respondents said that they would walk more often if there were more sidewalks, greenway trails, and safe roadway crossings (in that order) according to the preference survey question.

Automobile speed \& traffic, lack of sidewalks \& trails, and a lack of pedestrian crossings at intersections were the top 3 reasons why people surveyed choose not to walk. Connectivity was also a big draw for respondents who said that they would like to see more pedestrian connectivity between neighborhood, shopping centers, park, and other destinations more than any other improvement. Marked crosswalks and sidewalks rounded out the top three.

Interestingly, $10^{\text {th }}$ Ave S and Fox Farm Rd seemed to pop up more than others in open-ended questions that asked for additional thoughts on locations or corridors that could be improved for pedestrians. Respondents cited these as routes and barriers that were difficult to use and were unattractive as a pedestrian.

### 2.1.3. Transit Network

The history of the existing public transit system in Great Falls goes back to 1978 when, by voter referendum, the establishment of a Transit District was approved. The purpose of the Transit District is to provide an alternative form of transportation to city and county residents in the Great Falls area. Funding for the district is provided through a combination of fare collections, property tax revenue, and Federal funds. The latter is administered by MDT and goes towards operating and capital costs. Passenger service started in February of 1982.

Since the creation of the Great Falls Transit District (GFTD), a variety of studies and plans have been created to assist the District with operations, and specific measures to improve financial sustainability and customer needs were identified. A comprehensive Transit Development Plan (TDP) was completed by LSC Consultants on October 9, 2010. Much of the existing and proposed information presented herein relies heavily on the TDP.

## Transit Facilities

The GFTD operates seven regular fixed routes. The fixed routes operate from roughly 6:30 AM to 6:30 PM on weekdays and from 9:30 AM to 5:30 PM on Saturday. Six of the seven routes, with the exception of Route 7-Southwest, operate on 30-minute headways during the morning and afternoon peaks (6:30 AM to 9:30 AM and 2:30 PM to 6:30 PM). This allows for extensive coverage during both school hour and commuter business hour travel times. Saturday service is hourly on every line. There is no transit service provided on Sundays.

The seven lines radiate from a timed-transfer point downtown at $1^{\text {st }}$ Avenue South and $4^{\text {th }}$ Street (referred to as the Downtown Transfer Station). Lines one thru four make a timed connection at $10^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South and $57^{\text {th }}$ Street South (in the "Walmart East" parking lot). Lines five and six also make a timed connection at Division Road \& $23^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue NE.

A short description of the seven transit routes, along with their primary service market and basic ridership characteristics, is contained below. The seven routes are also shown graphically on Figure 4.

Route 1 (Southeast): This route serves various medical facilities, shopping destinations, lower and higher educational facilities, and residential areas. The presence of all these components makes Route 1 one of the strongest lines in the Great Falls system. Route 1 achieves this performance despite being very slow and circuitous. This route snakes its way through the area on minor streets, rather than running straight along an east - west roadway route. Route 1 gets relatively strong ridership all day, without a significantly strong morning or evening peak.

Route 2 (Central): This route serves Central Avenue from the Central Business District (CBD) to $44^{\text {th }}$ Street, then turns south and east along 3rd Avenue South to the East End Timed Transfer Hub. Route 2 serves numerous public and private schools, some commercial areas, and extensive residential areas. This route has an average demand when compared to other routes, and primarily serves the schools on Central Avenue. Route 2 is comparatively consistent in its productivity throughout its entire length, with boardings occurring along the entire route, with primary focus centered around the various adjacent schools.

Route 3 (Northcentral): This route primarily runs along $8^{\text {th }}$ Avenue North and has consistently low ridership when compared to the boardings of Routes 1 and 2 . Route 3 runs adjacent to residential areas, a few small commercial centers, and services the Malmstrom Air Force Base. Ridership is generally low along the entire route, with the exception of each end. Route 3 is the only line that has a significant morning and evening peak at typical work-commute hours, with virtually no school hour peak.

Route 4: (Southcentral): Route 4 has its highest boarding counts between the CBD and 20 th Street South. Daily activity is strongest in the early morning and mid-afternoon. These times correspond with school arrivals and releases. Additionally, there is a slight peak in the late evening, including some commuter traffic. However, as a whole this route has the lowest boardings of all routes.

Route 5 (Northwest): Route 5 has high boardings around CM Russell High School, and in the older west side neighborhood around $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue Northwest and $14^{\text {th }}$ Street Northwest. Except for these two areas, each end of the route and Central Avenue West are the only areas of any significant activity. Ridership peaks in the early morning and in the mid-afternoon, corresponding to the beginning and end of school.

Route 6 (Northeast): Ridership on Route 6 occurs primarily at a few locations: the transit center, North Middle School, Skyline School, and Wal-Mart. There are also a number of boardings around the node of commercial land uses at the intersection of $10^{\text {th }}$ Avenue North and $14^{\text {th }}$ Street North, which includes the Women's Transition Center. Other than these points, the route has few boardings on the rest of its length. Daily activity on Route 6 is greatest in the morning and in the mid-afternoon, corresponding with school hours.

Route 7 (Southwest): This line provides service to the Marketplace Shopping Center on 14th Street Southwest, via Fox Farm and Park Garden Roads. As development has increased in these areas, the route has grown over the past decade, and now realizes boardings on par with other routes, on average.


Figure 4: Existing Transit System Route Map

The current transit rate schedule is shown in Table 1.
Table 1: Transit Rate Schedule (2018)

| Fare / Pass | Current Rate |
| :--- | :---: |
| Fare |  |
| Adult | $\$ 1.00$ |
| Student (Full-time with ID) | $\$ 0.75$ |
| Senior Citizen (60 yrs or older) | $\$ 0.50$ |
| Disabled (with I.D.) | $\$ 0.50$ |
| Children (5 yrs and Under) | FREE |
| Transfers | FREE |
| Paratransit Service Clients (with I.D.) | FREE |
|  | $\$ 10.00$ |
| Regular Punch Pass | $\$ 10.00$ |
| Student Punch Pass | $\$ 10.00$ |
| Senior Citizen Punch Pass | $\$ 10.00$ |
| People with Disabilities Punch Pass | $\$ 30.00$ |
| Regular Monthly | $\$ 25.00$ |
| Student Monthly <br> Monthly Pass for Seniors and People with Disabilities | $\$ 21.00$ |
| Day Pass | $\$ 4.00$ |

Source: http://www.gftransit.com/fares \& passes.htm (accessed January 4, 2018)

## Paratransit Operations

There are a number of paratransit operators that provide an alternative transit mode of travel to system users in the community. First and foremost is the paratransit known as the "Access Transportation Service", which is the ADA paratransit service provided by Great Falls Transit. The service is restricted to eligible registrants based on a functional assessment administered by the Great Falls Transit staff. The service is provided under contract by Diamond Cab and Diamond Wheelchair Services.

In addition to the service provided by the Diamond Cab Company, there are several retirement developments that provide service to residents of the various retirement facilities. Some of the facilities that are served by Aging Services are the Lodge, Cambridge Court, Cambridge Place, and Rainbow Retirement Center.

## Connectivity to Transit

Trips by transit (in Great Falls' case, by bus) often begin and end on foot or bicycle or both. When connectivity to transit is poor, ridership and ease of use of the system is also negatively affected. By improving sidewalks at and near bus stops, constructing bus shelters for waiting patrons, and planning routes near popular bicycling and walking routes, citizen connectivity to transit can improve.

The GFTD bus route network is mostly a flag-down system, but there are plans and programs now in place to include fixed stops and the amenities that go along with them. A completely fixed stop system has been discussed internally at GFTD, but a plan for implementation has not been created yet. The advantages of a fixed stop system, especially for bicyclists and pedestrians, would be, among others, improved predictability of route time tables and scheduling, both for the user and the Transit District.

## BICYCLING

Nearly all GFTD buses now have bike racks mounted on the front of the bus that allow users to use buses to connect longer legs of a trip, in case of an emergency or breakdown, or to avoid inclement
weather or difficult topography. GFTD has not, however, tracked or counted their use to determine demand on certain routes, or where bicyclists board and alight most.

## WALKING

The GFTD is currently focused heavily on addressing connectivity to newly implemented fixed stops via sidewalks and applicable improvements. The City's Planning Department expressed interest in seeing GFTD provide a priority analysis on Safe Routes to Schools and sidewalks and their relationship with transit accessibility. According to the City and GFTD, there are transit users with limited mobility who use paratransit and other transit services because there are not sidewalks where they want to go or that access traditional bus stops and not necessarily because they require a paratransit ride.

## Transit Goals

One of the immediate goals of the GFTD is to work towards implementation of the service design changes recommended in the current TDP. Local governments should continue to support the Transit District to the greatest extent possible. In some cases, this may be in the form of requirements that a new development provide some sort of infrastructure compatible with transit facility usage. It may also mean expansion of Transit District boundaries as development occurs around the perimeter of the community. The mission of the GFTD as articulated in their current TDP is as follows:

## "The mission of the Great Falls Transit District is to provide a safe, reliable, affordable, and fiscally sound transportation system for the people of Great Falls and Black Eagle, Montana."

The five basic goals that govern the day-to-day operation of the system, and which were presented in previous study efforts, are as follows:

- Maintain the existing ridership base while attracting new riders;
- Continue to enhance the environmental sustainability of the transit system;
- Provide high quality, customer-oriented service;
- Provide efficient, effective, and safe services; and
- Promote the transit service.


### 2.2. Transportation Conditions

In order to get an accurate representation of the existing roadway network in the Great Falls Area, it was necessary to collect and analyze a significant amount of data. The data aids in the understanding of how the current road network is operating and gives a basis for determining future planning needs.

### 2.2.1. Existing Roadway Volumes and Capacity

Existing roadway traffic data were collected by MDT, the City of Great Falls, and Cascade County. The data were used to establish traffic conditions and to provide reliable data on historic traffic volumes. The existing facility size for the major street network is presented in Figure 5. Facility size is a qualitative observation of the number of travel lanes and physical divisions of the roadway. The existing Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) along the major street network is presented in Figure 6.

The capacity of the roadways is of critical importance when looking at the growth of the community. As traffic volumes increase, vehicle flow deteriorates. When traffic volumes approach and exceed the available capacity, users experience congestion and vehicle delay. As such, it is important to investigate the size and configuration of the existing roadways and to determine if these roads need to be expanded to accommodate the existing or projected traffic demands. The capacity of a roadway is based on various features including the number of lanes, intersection function, access and intersection spacing, vehicle
fleet mix, roadway geometrics, and vehicle speeds. Individual roadway capacity varies greatly and should be calculated on an individual basis. However, for planning and comparison purposes, theoretical roadway capacities were developed based on the existing roadway configuration. Table 2 presents the capacities, given in vehicles per day, that have been used for this work. The values given in the table are not intended to be used to set any thresholds for roadway performance, but rather provide general information to be used for comparison purposes.

Table 2: Theoretical Roadway Capacity

| Road Configuration | Capacity (vpd) ${ }^{\text {(a) }}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2 Lane | 12,000 |
| 2 Lane - Divided / TWLTL | 18,000 |
| 3 Lane | 18,000 |
| 3 Lane - Divided / TWLTL | 24,000 |
| 4 Lane | 24,000 |
| 4 Lane - Divided / TWLTL | 32,000 |
| 6 Lane - Divided / TWLTL | 48,000 |
| Interstate | 68,000 |

${ }^{(a)}$ Values represent planning level daily capacities developed for this Transportation Plan and are intended for comparison purposes only. Actual physical roadway capacity can vary greatly depending on road design features and access control.

A roadway's capacity, and associated volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio, can be used as a comparison tool when looking at the transportation system. The v/c ratio of a roadway is defined as the traffic volume on the roadway divided by the capacity of the roadway. Figure 7 presents the resultant v/c ratios for the existing major street network.

A v/c ratio that exceeds 1.00 is typically a sign that the volumes on the roadway are greater than the available capacity on the roadway. When this occurs, higher than normal vehicle delay is generally experienced. However, as mentioned previously, the theoretical roadway capacities are used for comparison purposes and actual physical roadway capacity can vary greatly. Consequently, the v/c ratios in Figure 7 should be used to help identify potential capacity deficiencies on the transportation system.



### 2.2.2. Intersection Operations

Urban road systems are ultimately controlled by the efficiency of the major intersections. High amounts of vehicle delay at major intersections directly reduces the number of vehicles that can be accommodated along the road during peak hours. As a result of this strong impact on corridor function, intersection improvements can usually be a cost-effective means of increasing a corridor's traffic volume capacity. In some circumstances, corridor expansion projects may be able to be delayed with targeted intersection improvements. Due to the significant portion of total expense for road construction projects used for project design, construction mobilization, and adjacent area rehabilitation, a careful analysis must be made of the expected service life from intersection improvements. If adequate design life can be achieved with only improvements to the intersections, then a corridor expansion may not be the most effective solution. With that in mind, it is important to determine how well the major intersections are functioning by evaluating their performance.

Intersection performance is evaluated in terms of vehicle delay. The amount of vehicle delay experienced at an intersection correlates to a measure called level of service (LOS). LOS is used as a means for identifying intersections that are experiencing operational difficulties, as well as a means for comparing multiple intersections. The LOS scale represents the full range of operating conditions. The scale is based on the ability of an intersection or street segment to accommodate the amount of traffic using the intersection. The scale ranges from " $A$ " which indicates little, if any, vehicle delay, to " $F$ " which indicates significant vehicle delay and traffic congestion. Table 3 portrays a graphical representation of LOS.

The Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) is the most widely used reference in determining the performance of existing roads and intersections, and for providing input into estimating future performance. As such, the HCM methods are implemented in the intersection operational analysis. Key inputs for the analysis include intersection layout, traffic volumes, traffic control, and signal timings. The observed volumes are adjusted by peak hour and seasonal adjustment factors and are used to calculate the ideal flow rate through the intersection. This flow rate helps calculate the true capacity of the intersection. With this information, total vehicle delay and LOS can be calculated for the intersection.

Data from various sources were compiled to display LOS for intersections in the study area. Intersections having poor operations or safety concerns were identified by the City as needing analysis and were therefore included herein. Data from recent corridor planning studies conducted by MDT (I-15 and River Drive Corridor Studies) were used to provide a more current LOS analysis than that provided in the 2014 LRTP. Additionally, there are count locations where more current (year 2016 or 2017) data is available, in these locations a new LOS analysis was performed using the updated turning movement counts. For many of the intersections counted for the 2014 LRTP there is no new data available, in which case the LOS calculations from the 2014 LRTP remained the same for the current LRTP.

In total, 50 intersections have been included in the LOS analysis. Of those intersections, 33 locations use the LOS data from the 2014 LRTP. An additional 14 locations were from the River Drive Corridor Study or the I-15 Gore Hill to Emerson Junction Corridor Study. There are only three locations where new data is available. Each intersection was analyzed for the peak hours, defined as 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM and 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM. Figure 8 shows the intersections where peak hour turning movement counts are available.

Table 3: Intersection LOS Descriptions

| LOS | Intersection | Signalized <br> Delay (sec) | Unsignalized Delay (sec) | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | <10 | <10 | - Free flow <br> - Low Volumes <br> - $<1$ vehicle in queue <br> - Signalized: most vehicles do not stop <br> - Unsignalized: Very easy to find acceptable gap |
| B |  | 10-20 | 10-15 | - Mostly free flow <br> - Somewhat low Volumes <br> - Occasionally $1+$ vehicles in queue <br> - Signalized: vehicles clear in one green phase <br> - Unsignalized: Very easy to find acceptable gap |
|  |  | 20-35 | 15-25 | - Smooth flow <br> - Moderate Volumes <br> - Standing queue of at least 1 vehicle <br> - Signalized: Individual cycle failures may occur <br> - Unsignalized: Acceptable gaps found regularly |
| D |  | 35-50 | 25-35 | - Approaching unstable flow <br> - High volume/capacity ratios <br> - Standing queue of vehicles upon arrival <br> - Signalized: Individual cycle failures are noticeable <br> - Unsignalized: Hard to find acceptable gap |
| E |  | 50-80 | 35-50 | - Unstable flow <br> - Volumes at or near capacity <br> - Standing queue of vehicles upon arrival <br> - Signalized: Individual cycle failures are frequent <br> - Unsignalized: Hard to find acceptable gap |
| $F$ |  | >80 | >50 | - Saturation condition <br> - Volumes over capacity <br> - Standing queue of vehicles upon arrival <br> - Signalized: Many individual cycle failures <br> - Unsignalized: Very hard to find acceptable gap |



Figure 8: Intersection Count Locations

For signalized intersections, the LOS is based on the average stopped delay per vehicle. The relationship between LOS and average stopped delay per vehicle is shown in Table 3. The procedures used to evaluate signalized study intersections use detailed information on geometry, lane use, signal timing, peak hour volumes, arrival types, and other parameters. An intersection is determined to be functioning adequately if it is operating at LOS C or better.

LOS for two-way stop (TWS) controlled intersections are based on the delay experienced by each individual movement within the intersections, rather than on the average stopped delay per vehicle at the intersection. This difference from the method used for signalized intersections is necessary since the operating characteristics of a stop-controlled intersection are substantially different. Driver expectation and perceptions are entirely different. For two-way stop-controlled intersections, the through traffic on the major (uncontrolled) street experiences little to no delay at the intersection. Conversely, vehicles turning left from the minor street experience more delay than other movements and at times can experience significant delay. Vehicles on the minor street which are turning right or going across the major street generally experience less delay than those turning left from the same approach. Due to this situation, the intersection LOS is based on the average delay incurred at the worst performing movement.

For all-way stop (AWS) controlled intersections, LOS is based on average vehicle delay experienced at the intersection since all approaches are given similar opportunity to move through the intersection. This methodology is similar to that of signalized intersections.

Table 4 presents the intersection LOS and average vehicle delay during the AM and PM peak hours. The existing intersection LOS is also shown in Figure 9. Detailed results are provided in Appendix B.

Table 4: Existing Intersection LOS

| ID | Intersection | Control Type | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Delay (Sec) | LOS | Delay (Sec) | LOS |
| Intersections with New Count Data Available |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2nd Avenue N / 57th Street N | Signalized | 21.0 | C | 21.7 | C |
| 2 | 10th Avenue S / 20th Street S | Signalized | 14.2 | B | 21.9 | C |
| 3 | 10th Avenue S / Fox Farm Road | Signalized | 37.6 | D | 49.7 | D |
| Intersections Counted for MDT Corridor Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M. 1 | I-15 SB / Vaughn Road | TWS | 10.1 | B | 10.1 | B |
| M. 2 | I-15 NB / Vaughn Road | TWS | 7.3 | A | 7.3 | A |
| M. 3 | I-15 SB Ramps / Central Avenue W | TWS | 28.0 | D | 42.0 | E |
| M. 4 | I-15 NB Ramps / Central Avenue W | TWS | 19.9 | C | 29.1 | D |
| M. 5 | Vaughn Road / Central Avenue W | TWS | 27.1 | D | 65.0 | F |
| M. 6 | 14th Street SW / I-315 WB | Signalized | 23.0 | C | 19.4 | B |
| M. 7 | 14th Street SW / I-315 EB | Signalized | 14.4 | B | 13.0 | B |
| M. 8 | l-15 SB Off Ramp / Airport Drive | TWS | 12.7 | B | 35.5 | E |
| M. 9 | l-15 SB On Ramp / Airport Drive | TWS | 8.6 | A | 11.0 | B |
| M. 10 | I-15 NB Ramps / Airport Drive | TWS | 16.9 | C | 55.4 | F |
| M. 11 | Tri Hill Frontage Rd/ Airport Drive | TWS | 13.5 | B | 14.5 | B |
| M. 12 | River Drive N / 15th Street N | Signalized | 37.5 | D | 31.3 | C |
| M. 13 | River Drive N / 25th Street N | TWS | 31.4 | D | 92.7 | F |
| M. 14 | River Drive N / 38th Street N | Signalized | 8.6 | A | 8.3 | A |
| Intersections Counted in 2014 LRTP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. 1 | 36th Avenue NE / Bootlegger Trail | TWS | 13.4 | B | 14.5 | B |
| P. 2 | Bootlegger Trail / U.S. 87 | TWS | 15.4 | C | 47.8 | E |
| P. 3 | Old Havre Highway / 15th Street N | TWS | 20.3 | C | 18.1 | C |


| ID | Intersection | Control Type | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Delay (Sec) | LOS | Delay (Sec) | LOS |
| P. 4 | 25th Avenue NE / 8th Street NE | TWS | 47.2 | E | 32.1 | D |
| P. 5 | Smelter Avenue / 6th Street NE | Signalized | 12.9 | B | 10.4 | B |
| P. 6 | Smelter Avenue / 10th Street NE | Signalized | 58.2 | E | 70.3 | E |
| P. 7 | River Drive N / 9th Street N | Signalized | 25.3 | C | 29.6 | C |
| P. 8 | NW Bypass / 3rd Street NW | Signalized | 12.3 | B | 14.2 | B |
| P. 9 | Central Avenue NW / 6th Street NW | Signalized | 22.4 | C | 25.4 | C |
| P. 10 | 6th Street SW / 4th Avenue SW | TWS | 18.1 | C | 48.3 | E |
| P. 11 | Central Avenue W / 3rd Street NW | Signalized | 31.5 | C | 37.8 | D |
| P. 12 | River Drive N / 1st Avenue N | Signalized | 30.2 | C | 109.1 | F |
| P. 13 | Park Drive N/1st Avenue N | Signalized | 14.9 | B | 20.2 | C |
| P. 14 | Park Drive N / 2nd Avenue N | TWS | 60.7 | F | 221.3 | F |
| P. 15 | River Drive S / 3rd Avenue S | TWS | 12.7 | B | 44.4 | E |
| P. 16 | 2nd Street S / 3rd Avenue S | TWS | 12.3 | B | 24.6 | C |
| P. 17 | Fox Farm Road / 18th Avenue SW | TWS | 328.8 | F | 27.4 | D |
| P. 18 | Fox Farm Road / Park Garden Road | TWS | 48.2 | E | 20.5 | C |
| P. 19 | 10th Avenue S / 2nd Street S | Signalized | 20.4 | C | 36.9 | C |
| P. 20 | 10th Avenue S / 5th Street S | Signalized | 14.0 | B | 28.0 | C |
| P. 21 | 10th Avenue S / 9th Street S | Signalized | 15.3 | B | 25.4 | C |
| P. 22 | 13th Avenue S / 9th Street S | AWS | 15.5 | C | 25.4 | D |
| P. 23 | 10th Avenue S / 14th Street S | Signalized | 17.9 | B | 21.2 | C |
| P. 24 | 10th Avenue S / 15th Street S | Signalized | 7.1 | A | 12.6 | B |
| P. 25 | 10th Avenue S / 25th Street S | Signalized | 19.4 | B | 24.1 | C |
| P. 26 | 11th Avenue S / 26th Street S | TWS | 24.2 | C | 16.3 | C |
| P. 27 | 13th Avenue S / 26th Street S | TWS | 12.7 | B | 16.3 | C |
| P. 28 | 15th Avenue S / 26th Street S | TWS | 15.7 | C | 16.7 | C |
| P. 29 | 10th Avenue S / 29th Street S | TWS | 97.7 | F | 87.4 | F |
| P. 30 | 10th Avenue S / 32nd Street S | Signalized | 18.3 | B | 25.9 | C |
| P. 31 | 32nd Street S / 11th Avenue S | TWS | 13.7 | B | 14.8 | B |
| P. 32 | 10th Avenue S / 38th Street S | Signalized | 16.7 | B | 19.2 | B |
| P. 33 | 38th Street / Central Avenue | AWS | 19.1 | C | 18.3 | C |



### 2.2.3. Active Transportation Data

Providing an accurate picture of pedestrian and bicycle activity within any community is difficult. Data are typically not available or not comprehensive enough to form a complete picture of active transportation behavior. Data for vehicles is, by comparison, much more readily available. The following subsections summarize available data pertaining to active transportation.

## Journey to Work/Commuting (ACS)

The US Census has long been one of the only readily available sources of data to measure general levels of transportation choices. The data are limited to commute based trips and do not reflect the spectrum of potential trip types available. The American Community Survey (ACS) has supplemented the 10-year cycle of the US Census to provide additional annual data. For communities the size of Great Falls, annual data are not statistically valid, therefore five-year averages are used. This method provides some insight; however, it is slow to note changes over time. Figure 10 provides a comparison of commuting modes in Montana's largest communities.

## BICyCling

Five-year ACS averages show that approximately 0.5 percent of commuters choose to travel to and from work by bicycle in Great Falls. This is similar to the 0.5 percent when measured during the 2000 Census. When compared to the rest of the United States, this figure is lower than the average, ( 0.6 percent) but is less than Montana's average mode share for bicycling to work (1.4 percent). In comparison to other major cities in Montana, Great Falls has fewer bike-to-work commuters than all other large Montana cities.

## WALKING

About 3.1 percent of commuters in Great Falls walk to and from work. This is higher than the national ( 2.8 percent) and state ( 5.1 percent) averages, and the same as the 2000 Census when 3.1 percent of commuters walked. Compared to the other major cities in Montana, Great Falls has fewer bike-to-work commuters than Billings, Missoula, Bozeman, and Helena but outperforms Butte and Kalispell.


Figure 10: 5 Year ACS Commute Share of Seven Largest Montana Cities

## All Trips (NHTS)

Data from the National Household Travel Survey (NHTS) provides mode share data aggregated at the national level for all trips and not just commute to work trips. For example, NHTS indicates that for every bike to work trip, there are another 1.6 utilitarian bike trips (shopping, personal trips, transporting others, medical or dental visits, meals, or other reasons), 0.5 bike to school trips, and 4.8 social or recreational trips. Overall bike to work trips represent only approximately 7.5 percent of all bike trips nationally. It should be noted that approximately 41 percent of bike trips counted by NHTS are return home trips, indicating many bicyclists perform the initial part of their round trip by other means. Figure 11 provides a comparison of NHTS data for Montana's largest cities.

## BICYCLING

Bicycle mode share for all trips in Great Falls is estimated at 1.4 percent, which is higher than the national average ( 1.0 percent) but lower than the statewide average for Montana ( 2.5 percent). In comparison to other Montana cities, Great Falls' total bicycle mode share is higher than Billings and Butte, but lower than the other four larger cities highlighted.

## WALKING

An estimated 5.5 percent of all trips in Great Falls are walking trips, which is much higher than the ACS data outlining walking to and from work ( 2.7 percent), but it still remains lower than all six Montana cities in the graph and also lower than the national (6.1 percent) and Montana (10.6 percent) averages.


Figure 11: Overall Mode Share Based on NHTS of Seven Largest Montana Cities

### 3.0 PROJECTED TRANSPORTATION CONDITIONS

An analysis of the projected transportation system was performed to estimate how traffic patterns and characteristics may change from the existing conditions in the future. The inputs for this analysis include known existing conditions and anticipated land development expected to occur out to the year 2038. Provided in this section is a description of the traffic modeling effort that was conducted to forecast future travel conditions. The results of the model were used to identify areas of the transportation system where growth and congestion may occur due to forecasted development.

### 3.1. Travel Demand Model Development

A travel demand model was developed by MDT for Cascade County. The model was developed using TransCAD software. The model used a combination of information from the Census Bureau, GeoResults, Department of Labor and Industry, and Cascade County. The model was developed to represent 2015 baseline traffic conditions. A comparison of the model to known 2015 traffic data was performed to validate the model. The model was adjusted and calibrated to best represent 2015 conditions.

After developing the baseline 2015 model, future conditions were developed to evaluate the planning year 2038. Housing units and employment were added to census blocks to distribute growth that is projected to occur out to the year 2038. Known roadway infrastructure projects expected to be constructed within the next five years ("committed" projects) were also included as part of the 2038 future model.

One assumption that was built into the model is that traffic characteristics will remain similar to those that are seen today. Many factors can influence this assumption, such a fuel prices, technological advances, and other unknown circumstances. The model also assumes that the socioeconomic projections will be realized by the year 2038. Although projections are based upon local knowledge and past growth trends, they may not be completely accurate. Ultimately, the model for the projected conditions was used as a planning tool to help evaluate how traffic patterns might be affected by anticipated future development.

### 3.2. Projected Roadway Volumes and Capacity

Projected traffic volumes were estimated using the travel demand model. A comparison of the existing and projected conditions models was made to determine the percent change in traffic volume. The percent changes were then applied to known existing AADT count sites to estimate future daily traffic volumes. Figures 12 and 13 show the projected AADT volumes and v/c ratios along the major street network, respectively. Note that the values shown in the figures assume that no changes to the transportation system will be made other than those currently committed to.

Additionally, to visualize where growth is projected to occur in Great Falls, and to aid in the planning process, a map of the projected traffic volume growth on the major street network was prepared. Figure 14 shows where high traffic growth is expected to occur given the future land use assumptions made. The volumes shown are the difference between the volumes in the 2015 and 2038 travel demand models. In other words, the volumes shown represent additional traffic that could be added to the network should development occur in the manner projected. This visualization helps identify which roads may need additional investment to accommodate future growth. While some roads currently have little traffic volume and do not currently have capacity issues, future growth may greatly increase traffic volumes and could cause capacity issues if road improvements are not made.




### 3.3. Projected Intersection Level of Service

Projections for intersection traffic volumes were made for the 50 intersections analyzed previously in Section 2.2.2. These projections were based on percent growth rates calculated from the travel demand model for the year 2038. A growth rate determined for the intersection as a whole was applied to each individual turning movement to represent projected conditions. The intersection LOS was calculated using the existing street layouts, lane-use configurations, and traffic control devices. The results of the analysis are shown in Table 5 and Figure 15. More detailed information is provided in Appendix C.

Table 5: Projected Signalized Intersection LOS

| ID | Intersection | Control Type | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Delay (Sec) | LOS | Delay (Sec) | LOS |
| Intersections with New Count Data Available |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2nd Avenue N / 57th Street N | Signalized | 21.6 | C | 22.3 | C |
| 2 | 10th Avenue S / 20th Street S | Signalized | 13.8 | B | 27.5 | C |
| 3 | 10th Avenue S / Fox Farm Road | Signalized | 45.6 | D | 80.4 | F |
| Intersections Counted for MDT Corridor Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M. 1 | I-15 SB / Vaughn Road | TWS | 11.0 | B | 11.0 | B |
| M. 2 | I-15 NB / Vaughn Road | TWS | 7.3 | A | 7.4 | A |
| M. 3 | I-15 SB Ramps / Central Avenue W | TWS | 178.9 | F | 314.9 | F |
| M. 4 | I-15 NB Ramps / Central Avenue W | TWS | 113.1 | F | 445.2 | F |
| M. 5 | Vaughn Road / Central Avenue W | TWS | 406.0 | F | 1422.7 | F |
| M. 6 | 14th Street SW / I-315 WB | Signalized | 22.2 | C | 19.6 | B |
| M. 7 | 14th Street SW / I-315 EB | Signalized | 13.3 | B | 12.4 | B |
| M. 8 | l-15 SB Off Ramp / Airport Drive | TWS | 121.8 | F | 3138.9 | F |
| M. 9 | I-15 SB On Ramp / Airport Drive | TWS | 10.4 | B | 23.5 | C |
| M. 10 | I-15 NB Ramps / Airport Drive | TWS | 44.2 | E | OCB | F |
| M. 11 | Tri Hill Frontage Rd/ Airport Drive | TWS | 27.3 | D | 43.7 | E |
| M. 12 | River Drive N / 15th Street N | Signalized | 58.7 | E | 58.3 | E |
| M. 13 | River Drive N / 25th Street N | TWS | 73.8 | F | 517.9 | F |
| M. 14 | River Drive N / 38th Street N | Signalized | 11.3 | B | 11.7 | B |
| Intersections Counted in 2014 LRTP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. 1 | 36th Avenue NE / Bootlegger Trail | TWS | 139.1 | F | 358.8 | F |
| P. 2 | Bootlegger Trail / U.S. 87 | TWS | 117.8 | F | 1105.0 | F |
| P. 3 | Old Havre Highway / 15th Street N | TWS | 181.2 | F | 171.8 | F |
| P. 4 | 25th Avenue NE / 8th Street NE | TWS | 176.1 | F | 34.5 | D |
| P. 5 | Smelter Avenue / 6th Street NE | Signalized | 14.8 | B | 11.9 | B |
| P. 6 | Smelter Avenue / 10th Street NE | Signalized | 110.5 | F | 130.4 | F |
| P. 7 | River Drive N / 9th Street N | Signalized | 25.9 | C | 35.4 | D |
| P. 8 | NW Bypass / 3rd Street NW | Signalized | 17.3 | B | 58.5 | E |
| P. 9 | Central Avenue NW / 6th Street NW | Signalized | 24.5 | C | 30.1 | C |
| P. 10 | 6th Street SW / 4th Avenue SW | TWS | 21.4 | C | 87.1 | F |
| P. 11 | Central Avenue W / 3rd Street NW | Signalized | 46.4 | D | 64.3 | E |
| P. 12 | River Drive N / 1st Avenue N | Signalized | 37.1 | D | 170.1 | F |
| P. 13 | Park Drive N/1st Avenue N | Signalized | 16.3 | B | 23.4 | C |
| P. 14 | Park Drive N/2nd Avenue N | TWS | 121.4 | F | 480.7 | F |
| P. 15 | River Drive S / 3rd Avenue S | TWS | 16.4 | C | 199.1 | F |
| P. 16 | 2nd Street S / 3rd Avenue S | TWS | 12.8 | B | 32.6 | D |
| P. 17 | Fox Farm Road / 18th Avenue SW | TWS | 1382.0 | F | 379.2 | F |
| P. 18 | Fox Farm Road / Park Garden Road | TWS | 710.4 | F | 49.4 | E |
| P. 19 | 10th Avenue S / 2nd Street S | Signalized | 21.4 | C | 63.9 | E |


| ID | Intersection | Control Type | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Delay (Sec) | LOS | Delay (Sec) | LOS |
| P. 20 | 10th Avenue S / 5th Street S | Signalized | 15.5 | B | 34.3 | C |
| P. 21 | 10th Avenue S / 9th Street S | Signalized | 18.6 | B | 32.9 | C |
| P. 22 | 13th Avenue S / 9th Street S | AWS | 16.6 | C | 31.0 | D |
| P. 23 | 10th Avenue S / 14th Street S | Signalized | 19.7 | B | 24.6 | C |
| P. 24 | 10th Avenue S / 15th Street S | Signalized | 8.1 | A | 17.1 | B |
| P. 25 | 10th Avenue S / 25th Street S | Signalized | 21.4 | C | 24.9 | C |
| P. 26 | 11th Avenue S / 26th Street S | TWS | 43.2 | E | 32.9 | D |
| P. 27 | 13th Avenue S / 26th Street S | TWS | 20.4 | C | 37.9 | E |
| P. 28 | 15th Avenue S / 26th Street S | TWS | 780.7 | F | 1430.0 | F |
| P. 29 | 10th Avenue S / 29th Street S | TWS | 305.0 | F | 533.2 | F |
| P. 30 | 10th Avenue S / 32nd Street S | Signalized | 21.8 | C | 36.9 | D |
| P. 31 | 32nd Street S / 11th Avenue S | TWS | 15.5 | C | 17.3 | C |
| P. 32 | 10th Avenue S / 38th Street S | Signalized | 19.3 | B | 27.0 | C |
| P. 33 | 38th Street / Central Avenue | AWS | 19.1 | C | 15.7 | C |

OCB- Outside Computational Bounds of software


### 4.0 SAFETY

Improving transportation safety requires more than just fixing a road or increasing police patrols. In order to be the most effective, safety improvements need to consider the "four E's" of transportation safety: Education, Enforcement, Engineering, and Emergency Services.

Crash data within the study area was analyzed to determine problem areas, "hot-spot" crash locations and behavioral characteristics. Trend analysis comparisons were also made for the City of Great Falls, Cascade County, and the State of Montana to help identify unique trends. The following sections provide an analysis of available crash data to help identify crash trends and contributing factors.

### 4.1. Study Area Crash Analysis

The MDT Traffic and Safety Bureau provided crash data for the five-year period from January $1^{\text {st }}, 2012$ to December $31^{\text {st }}, 2016$. The crash reports are a summation of information from the scene of the crash provided by the responding officer. As such, some of the information contained in the crash reports may be subjective.

According to the MDT crash database, there were 8,558 crashes reported within the study area during the analysis time period. The crash database was plotted spatially based on the XY coordinates recorded for each crash. Figures 16 and 17 show the density of crashes within the study area based on the spatial data. Crash clusters are generally noted at intersections with the highest traffic volumes.



### 4.1.1. Crash Period

Crash data for the study area was evaluated based on the period of time when the crash occurred. With regards to time of day, spikes in the number of crashes occur during the peak hours. Over 50 percent of crashes were reported between 12:00 PM and 6:00 PM. The PM peak hours (3:00 PM to 6:00 PM) accounted for approximately 31 percent of reported crashes.


Figure 18: Crash Statistics for Time of Day
The most common month for crashes is December, followed by January and November. During these months, inclement weather conditions often exist which can contribute to an increase in the number of crashes. Traffic volumes also commonly increase during the month of December due to increased holiday related traffic. Over 78 percent of crashes occur on a weekday, with Friday being the most common day with 18.1 percent of crashes. The fewest number of crashes were reported on Sundays.


Figure 19: Crash Statistics for Month and Day of the Week

### 4.1.2. Environmental Factors

Crash data was reviewed to see if any trends exist related to environmental factors such as weather, roadway surfacing, and light conditions. Approximately 68 percent of the reported crashes occurred while road surfacing was dry while 31 percent occurred on wet, icy, snowy or slushy surfacing. Inclement weather conditions (i.e. rain, snow, sleet, or fog) were present for approximately 14 percent of crashes. Over 71 percent of reported crashes occurred during the daylight, while almost 17 percent were reported as under dark lighted conditions.


Figure 20: Crash Statistics for Environmental Factors

### 4.1.3. Crash Type

Almost 43 percent of crashes occurred at non-junction locations, while just over 50 percent of crashes occurred in an intersection or were related to an intersection. About 87 percent of crashes occurred on the roadway, while approximately 5 percent occurred on the shoulder. Single vehicle crashes accounted for just over 16 percent of crashes.


Figure 21: Crash Statistics for Location and Number of Vehicles

The most common manner of collision was rear-end crashes which accounted for approximately 28 percent of reported crashes. Right angle crashes and sideswipe crashes were the next most common manners of collision accounting for approximately 26 and 16 percent of crashes, respectively.


Figure 22: Crash Statistics for Collision Type

### 4.1.4. Crash Severity

Reported crashes are categorized by crash severity. The most severe injury defines the severity of the crash. For example, if a crash results in a fatality and an injury, the crash would be defined as a fatal crash. During the five-year analysis period, there were 1,860 injury crashes ( 22 percent) which resulted in 2,589 injuries. Of the injury crashes, 82 ( 1.0 percent) resulted in incapacitating injuries. In addition, there were 17 fatal crashes ( 0.2 percent) resulting in 19 fatalities.


Figure 23: Crash Statistics for Severity
Figures 24 and 25 show the location of the crashes which resulted in incapacitating injuries and/or fatalities. An incapacitating injury is defined as an injury, other than a fatality, which prevents the injured person from walking, driving or normally continuing the activities they were capable of performing before the injury.



### 4.1.5. Intersection Crashes

The 50 intersections that were studied for LOS were also evaluated for crash statistics. The crash information was analyzed to identify those intersections with crash characteristics that may warrant further study.

The number of crashes at each intersection was determined spatially from the GIS crash database. Any crash located within 150 feet was counted for that intersection. Intersection traffic volumes were determined from PM peak hour turning movement counts. A design hourly vehicle (DHV) factor of 9.42 percent was applied to the peak hour counts to estimate daily volumes based on MDT permanent count sites located within the study area.

The crash rate represents the number of crashes against the daily traffic volumes of the intersection. The rate is expressed as the number of crashes per million entering vehicles. The following equation is used to calculate crash rate:
$\frac{\text { Total Number of Crashes } \times 1,000,000 \text { vehicles }}{\text { Vehicles per day } \times \text { Number of Years } \times 365 \text { days } / \text { year }}=$ Crash Rate
The severity index is calculated by applying multipliers to crashes based on severity. For the severity index, crashes were broken into three categories of severity: property damage only (PDO), nonincapacitating injury, and fatality or incapacitating injury crashes. Each of these three types is given a different multiplier: one (1) for PDO, three (3) for injury, and eight (8) for fatality or incapacitating injury crashes. The following equation is used to calculate severity index:
$\frac{(\# P D O \times 1)+(\# \text { Injury } \times 3)+(\# \text { Fatal or Incap } \times 8)}{\text { Total Number of Crashes }}=$ Severity Index
The severity rate was determined by multiplying the crash rate by the severity index. Table 6 lists the crash statistics for the studied intersections.

Table 6: Intersection Crashes

| ID | Intersection | Total Crashes | Fatal | Incap. Injury | Injury | Crash Rate | Severity Index | Severity Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersections with New Count Data Available |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2nd Avenue N / 57th Street N | 21 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0.80 | 1.81 | 1.45 |
| 2 | 10th Avenue S / 20th Street S | 63 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0.82 | 1.83 | 1.51 |
| 3 | 10th Avenue S / Fox Farm Road | 106 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 1.36 | 1.45 | 1.98 |
| Intersections Counted for MDT Corridor Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M. 1 | I-15 SB / Vaughn Road | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.04 | 1.40 | 1.46 |
| M. 2 | I-15 NB / Vaughn Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M. 3 | I-15 SB Ramps / Central Avenue W | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.06 | 3.00 | 0.19 |
| M. 4 | I-15 NB Ramps / Central Avenue W | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 0.10 |
| M. 5 | Vaughn Road / Central Avenue W | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.45 | 1.20 | 0.54 |
| M. 6 | 14th Street SW / I-315 WB | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.04 | 1.00 | 0.04 |
| M. 7 | 14th Street SW / I-315 EB | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M. 8 | l-15 SB Off Ramp / Airport Drive | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.63 | 2.38 | 1.50 |
| M. 9 | l-15 SB On Ramp / Airport Drive | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.17 | 2.00 | 0.34 |
| M. 10 | I-15 NB Ramps / Airport Drive | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.31 | 1.40 | 0.44 |


| ID | Intersection | Total Crashes | Fatal | Incap. <br> Injury | Injury | Crash Rate | Severity Index | Severity Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M. 11 | Tri Hill Frontage Rd/ Airport Drive | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.49 | 1.80 | 0.88 |
| M. 12 | River Drive N / 15th Street N | 39 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0.77 | 1.51 | 1.17 |
| M. 13 | River Drive N/25th Street N | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.35 | 1.55 | 0.54 |
| M. 14 | River Drive N / 38th Street N | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.28 | 1.29 | 0.37 |
| Intersections Counted in 2014 LRTP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. 1 | 36th Avenue NE / Bootlegger Trail | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 | 1.00 | 0.38 |
| P. 2 | Bootlegger Trail / U.S. 87 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.37 | 2.00 | 0.74 |
| P. 3 | Old Havre Highway / 15th Street N | 11 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0.64 | 2.09 | 1.33 |
| P. 4 | 25th Avenue NE / 8th Street NE | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.28 | 1.00 | 0.28 |
| P. 5 | Smelter Avenue / 6th Street NE | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.07 | 1.00 | 0.07 |
| P. 6 | Smelter Avenue / 10th Street NE | 40 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.81 | 1.25 | 1.01 |
| P. 7 | River Drive N / 9th Street N | 41 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1.03 | 1.63 | 1.68 |
| P. 8 | NW Bypass / 3rd Street NW | 35 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0.79 | 1.74 | 1.37 |
| P. 9 | Central Avenue NW / 6th Street NW | 36 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0.80 | 1.50 | 1.20 |
| P. 10 | 6th Street SW / 4th Avenue SW | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.44 | 1.00 | 0.44 |
| P. 11 | Central Avenue W / 3rd Street NW | 53 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0.92 | 1.64 | 1.51 |
| P. 12 | River Drive N/1st Avenue N | 44 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1.17 | 1.32 | 1.54 |
| P. 13 | Park Drive N/1st Avenue N | 20 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0.31 | 2.05 | 0.63 |
| P. 14 | Park Drive N/2nd Avenue N | 21 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1.42 | 1.29 | 1.82 |
| P. 15 | River Drive S / 3rd Avenue S | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.27 | 1.40 | 0.37 |
| P. 16 | 2nd Street S / 3rd Avenue S | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.12 | 1.00 | 0.12 |
| P. 17 | Fox Farm Road / 18th Avenue SW | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.35 | 1.75 | 0.61 |
| P. 18 | Fox Farm Road / Park Garden Road | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.22 | 1.50 | 0.33 |
| P. 19 | 10th Avenue S / 2nd Street S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0.29 | 1.80 | 0.53 |
| P. 20 | 10th Avenue S / 5th Street S | 54 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0.76 | 1.57 | 1.20 |
| P. 21 | 10th Avenue S / 9th Street S | 143 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 1.80 | 1.66 | 2.98 |
| P. 22 | 13th Avenue S / 9th Street S | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.28 | 1.50 | 0.42 |
| P. 23 | 10th Avenue S / 14th Street S | 65 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0.89 | 1.40 | 1.25 |
| P. 24 | 10th Avenue S / 15th Street S | 106 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 1.41 | 1.42 | 2.00 |
| P. 25 | 10th Avenue S / 25th Street S | 73 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1.09 | 1.63 | 1.77 |
| P. 26 | 11th Avenue S / 26th Street S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.95 | 1.30 | 1.24 |
| P. 27 | 13th Avenue S / 26th Street S | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 1.00 | 0.21 |
| P. 28 | 15th Avenue S / 26th Street S | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.93 | 1.62 | 1.50 |
| P. 29 | 10th Avenue S / 29th Street S | 25 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.47 | 1.40 | 0.66 |
| P. 30 | 10th Avenue S / 32nd Street S | 56 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1.06 | 1.54 | 1.63 |
| P. 31 | 32nd Street S / 11th Avenue S | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 | 1.00 | 0.20 |
| P. 32 | 10th Avenue S / 38th Street S | 25 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0.53 | 1.88 | 1.00 |
| P. 33 | 38th Street/ Central Avenue | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.67 | 1.62 | 1.07 |

### 4.2. Safety Data Trend Analysis

In 2006, MDT developed its first Comprehensive Highway Safety Plan which involves a data driven approach to identifying areas where safety of the highway system can be improved. Then, in 2014, MDT announced the "Vision Zero" campaign, an initiative aimed at eliminating deaths and injuries on Montana's highways. The current update of the Highway Safety Plan, 2015, incorporates Vision Zero and identifies three emphasis areas, or factors which have the greatest contribution to severe accidents; Roadway Departure and Intersection Crashes; Impaired Driving Crashes; and Occupant Protection.

For the LRTP, it is important to identify safety trends and analyze how the City of Great Falls compares to both the Cascade County and the State of Montana. This analysis can show where the City's crash trends are similar or different than statewide trends, which can help identify areas for improvement in roadway safety.

For the analysis, the MDT Highway Traffic Safety Section supplied statewide crash statistics for January $1^{\text {stt, }} 2012$ to December $31^{\text {stt, 2016. A safety data trend analysis was conducted to compare the crash }}$ characteristics of the City of Great Falls (only crashes within city limits), Cascade County, and the State of Montana.

### 4.2.1. Crash Severity

The emphasis areas were identified based upon a data analysis which compared crash severity with the cause of the crash. Those crash types that caused the most severe crashes were selected as the emphasis areas. Detailed crash statistics for the emphasis areas are provided in the following sections.

Comparison of crash severity statistics revealed that fatal and serious injury crashes accounted for a lower percentage of crashes within Great Falls as compared to both Cascade County and the State of Montana. Less than 1.0 percent of crashes resulted in a fatality for Belgrade, Gallatin County, and the State of Montana. Table 7 tabulates the number and percentage of fatal and incapacitating injury crashes that occurred within Great Falls, Cascade County, and the State of Montana.

Table 7: Crash Severity Statistics

| Location | Total Crashes | Fatal Crashes | Serious Injury Crashes |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Great Falls | 7,979 | 6 | $0.1 \%$ | 57 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Cascade County | 10,211 | 50 | $0.5 \%$ | 155 | $1.5 \%$ |
| State of Montana | 106,268 | 947 | $0.9 \%$ | 3,956 | $3.7 \%$ |

### 4.2.2. Roadway Departure and Intersection Crashes

Roadway departure crashes occur when a vehicle leaves the travel lane, either crossing into an opposing lane, or leaving the roadway. These crashes often occur at high speeds and are therefore likely to be severe. The crash may include impact with an object on the side of the road or overturning. Intersections are the locations where the highest potential for conflict occurs, as vehicles, bicycles, and pedestrians often cross paths. These crashes may occur at highway interchanges, signalized or stop-controlled intersections, or intersections without traffic control. Mitigation strategies include problem identification, education, and enforcement of proper road use behaviors.

Great Falls has a much lower reported roadway departure crash rate than both the County and State with 9.4 percent of crashes being roadway departure crashes, as compared to 17.0 percent and 28.8 percent, respectively. Roadway departure crashes are typically less likely in urban areas due to lower travel speeds and the presence of curbing on the roadside.

Crash records show that Great Falls has a higher reported percentage of intersection crashes as compared to both the County and the State at 43.8 and 32.4 percent, respectively. These statistics indicate that focus should be directed to intersection safety in Great Falls. Table 8 tabulates the total reported crashes and the percentage of crashes involving roadway departure or occurring at an intersection.

Table 8: Crash Type Statistics

| Location | Total Crashes | Roadway Departure Crashes | Intersection Crashes |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Great Falls | 7,979 | 754 | $9.4 \%$ | 4,200 | $52.6 \%$ |
| Cascade County | 10,211 | 1,740 | $17.0 \%$ | 4,476 | $43.8 \%$ |
| State of Montana | 106,268 | 30,587 | $28.8 \%$ | 34,398 | $32.4 \%$ |

### 4.2.3. Impaired Driving Crashes

Impaired driving is defined as operating a vehicle while under the influence of drugs or alcohol. There has generally been a greater focus on alcohol impairment, however, attention paid to drug impairment is increasing as awareness of impacts and methods for detection improve. Mitigation strategies include improved processes and regulations, enforcement, and prevention education.

Of the reported crashes, both Great Falls and Cascade County had a lower rate of alcohol/drug related crashes ( 6.4 and 7.5 percent, respectively) as compared to the State of Montana ( 10.0 percent). Table 9 tabulates the total reported crashes and the percentage of crashes involving an impaired driver.

Table 9: Crash Statistics for Alcohol/Drug Related Crashes

| Location | Total Crashes | Impaired Driver Involved |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Great Falls | 7,979 | 510 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Cascade County | 10,211 | 765 | $7.5 \%$ |
| State of Montana | 106,268 | 10,643 | $10.0 \%$ |

### 4.2.4. Occupant Protection

Occupant protection refers to the use of a safety belt or child protection seat by vehicle occupants. Seat belts offer the best chance for surviving or reducing the severity of injury in a crash. Overall, more than a quarter of people do not consistently use a seat belt in Montana. Improvement in seat belt use is imperative to achieve a goal of zero fatalities and zero serious injuries. Mitigation strategies include support policies, education, training, programs and activities, enforcement, and evaluation of the effectiveness of already implemented strategies.

Safety belt use data were reported on a per individual basis. As such, individuals involved in some crashes did not have the option to use a safety belt, for example motorcycles, pedestrians, or bicyclists. The data were adjusted to account for these users. Safety belt usage is approximately 95 percent in each City, County, and State. Table 10 gives statistics for safety belt use.

Table 10: Crash Statistics for Safety Belt Use

| Location | Number of <br> Individuals | Using Restraints |  | Not Using Restraints |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Great Falls | 18,780 | 17,747 | $94.5 \%$ | 1,033 |
| Cascade County | 22,935 | 21,715 | $94.7 \%$ | 1,220 |
| State of Montana | 226,127 | 213,597 | $94.5 \%$ | 12,530 |

### 4.2.5. Vehicle Type

Another area of concern, although not a defined emphasis area, is the type of vehicle involved in the crash. Although they generally occur less often, crashes involving either a motorcycle or a large vehicle can be very severe. As such, consideration should be given to these types of crashes.

Motorcycles were involved in less than 2 percent of all crashes in each the City, County and State with only 0.2 percent of crashes in the County involving a motorcycle. Large vehicles, i.e. semi-trucks, were involved in 3.6 percent of crashes within the City, less than both the County and the State with 4.7 and 6.1 percent, respectively. Table 11 presents crash statistics based on vehicle type.

Table 11: Crash Severity Statistics

| Location | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Crashes }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Crashes Involving } \\ \text { a Motorcycle }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Crashes Involving a } \\ \text { Large Vehicle }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Great Falls | 7,979 | 92 | $1.2 \%$ | 287 |  |
| Cascade County | 10,211 | 159 | $0.2 \%$ | 479 |  |$\left.] 4.6 \%\right)$

### 5.0 AREAS OF CONCERN

This section provides a list and description of areas of concern within the study area which should be taken into consideration as recommendations are developed for the LRTP. These areas were identified through review of existing traffic data, travel demand model projections, field review, public comment, and other resources. More discussion has already been provided in the previous sections and is reiterated here as appropriate.

### 5.1. Existing Transportation Conditions

The following roadways are currently either approaching or exceeding capacity (VOC $\geq 0.85$ ):

- $\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}$ St S $-10^{\text {th }}$ Ave S to Central Ave
- River Dr N $-15^{\text {th }}$ St N to $25^{\text {th }}$ St N

The following intersections experience a LOS of D or worse under existing conditions:

- 10th Avenue S / Fox Farm Road
- I-15 SB Ramps / Central Avenue W
- I-15 NB Ramps / Central Avenue W
- Vaughn Road / Central Avenue W
- I-15 SB Off Ramp / Airport Drive
- I-15 NB Ramps / Airport Drive
- River Drive N / 15th Street N
- River Drive N / 25th Street N
- Bootlegger Trail / U.S. 87
- 25th Avenue NE / 8th Street NE
- Smelter Avenue / 10th Street NE
- 6th Street SW / 4th Avenue SW
- Central Avenue W / 3rd Street NW
- River Drive N / 1st Avenue N
- Park Drive N / 2nd Avenue N
- River Drive S / 3rd Avenue S
- Fox Farm Road / 18th Avenue SW
- Fox Farm Road / Park Garden Road
- 13th Avenue S / 9th Street S
- 10th Avenue S / 29th Street S


### 5.2. Projected Transportation Conditions

The following roadways are projected to either approach or exceed capacity (VOC $\geq 0.85$ ):

- $\quad \mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}$ St N - Central Ave to River Dr N
- River Dr N $-15^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{N}$ to $38^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{N}$

The following intersections are projected to experience a LOS of D or worse by the year 2038:

- 10th Avenue S / Fox Farm Road
- I-15 SB Ramps / Central Avenue W
- I-15 NB Ramps / Central Avenue W
- Vaughn Road / Central Avenue W
- I-15 SB Off Ramp / Airport Drive
- I-15 NB Ramps / Airport Drive
- Tri Hill Frontage Rd / Airport Drive
- River Drive N / 15th Street N
- River Drive N / 25th Street N
- 36th Avenue NE / Bootlegger Trail
- Bootlegger Trail / U.S. 87
- Old Havre Highway / 15th Street N
- 25th Avenue NE / 8th Street NE
- Smelter Avenue / 10th Street NE
- River Drive N / 9th Street N
- NW Bypass / 3rd Street NW
- 6th Street SW / 4th Avenue SW
- Central Avenue W / 3rd Street NW
- River Drive N / 1st Avenue N
- Park Drive N / 2nd Avenue N
- River Drive S / 3rd Avenue S
- 2nd Street S / 3rd Avenue S
- Fox Farm Road / 18th Avenue SW
- Fox Farm Road / Park Garden Road
- 10th Avenue S / 2nd Street S
- 13th Avenue S / 9th Street S
- 11th Avenue S / 26th Street S
- 13th Avenue S / 26th Street S
- 15th Avenue S / 26th Street S
- 10th Avenue S / 29th Street S
- 10th Avenue S / 32nd Street S


### 5.3. SAFETY

There were 8,558 reported crashes within the study area between January $1^{\text {st }}, 2012$ and December $31^{\text {st }}$, 2016. Spikes in the number of crashes occur during peak hours on weekdays. Almost 50 percent of reported crashes occurred between 12:00 PM and 6:00 PM; over 78 percent of crashes occur on a weekday. In addition, over 50 percent of crashes occurred in an intersection or were related to an intersection. Rear-end and right-angle crashes accounted for almost 55 percent of crashes. A total of 82 incapacitating injury crashes and 17 fatal crashes occurred during the analysis time period.

The following are the intersections with the highest number of crashes per million entering vehicles:

1. 10th Avenue S / 9th Street S 1.80
2. Park Drive N / 2nd Avenue N 1.42
3. 10th Avenue S / 15th Street S 1.41
4. 10th Avenue S / Fox Farm Road 1.36
5. River Drive N / 1st Avenue N 1.17
6. 10th Avenue S / 25th Street S 1.09
7. 10th Avenue S / 32nd Street S 1.06
8. I-15 SB / Vaughn Road 1.04
9. River Drive N / 9th Street N 1.03
10. 11th Avenue S / 26th Street S 0.95

The following are the intersections with the highest severity index:

1. I-15 SB Ramps / Central Avenue W 3.00
2. I-15 SB Off Ramp / Airport Drive 2.38
3. Old Havre Highway / 15th Street N 2.09
4. Park Drive N / 1st Avenue N 2.05
5. Bootlegger Trail / U.S. 872.00
6. I-15 SB On Ramp / Airport Drive 2.00
7. 10th Avenue S / 38th Street S 1.88
8. 10th Avenue S / 20th Street S 1.83
9. 2nd Avenue N / 57th Street N 1.81
10. Tri Hill Frontage Rd / Airport Drive 1.80

The following are the intersections with the highest severity rate:

1. 10th Avenue $S$ / 9th Street $S \quad 2.98$
2. 10th Avenue S / 15th Street S 2.00
3. 10th Avenue S / Fox Farm Road 1.98
4. Park Drive N / 2nd Avenue N 1.82
5. 10th Avenue S / 25th Street S 1.77
6. River Drive N / 9th Street N 1.68
7. 10th Avenue S / 32nd Street S 1.63
8. River Drive N / 1st Avenue N 1.54
9. Central Avenue W / 3rd Street NW 1.51
10. 10th Avenue S / 20th Street S 1.51
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## 1 Demographics

### 1.1 Area Overview

The Great Falls Area ( 177 sq mi ) includes the City of Great Falls, Montana ( 23 sq mi ), Malmstrom Air Force Base, and other unincorporated areas that also comprise the major population center of Cascade County and the Great Falls, Montana Metropolitan Statistical Area. Most demographic information included in this chapter pertains to the City of Great Falls; data pertaining to other, surrounding communities' demographic information is specified.

The total population of Great Falls was 58,505 ( 6 percent of Montanans) as of the 2010 decennial census and an estimated 58,950 as of July 2011. The remaining communities in the Great Falls area (Belt, Cascade, Neihart, Black Eagle, Fort Shaw, Malmstrom Air Force Base, Simms, Sun Prairie, Sun River, Ulm, and Vaughn) and other portions of nearby unincorporated Cascade County areas have a combined population of 9,493, bringing the population for the Great Falls area to approximately 67,998 according to the 2010 Census. The population has increased over time and dramatically so during the post-war economic boom, with the only period of population decline being the 1970s and 80s. The cause of this decline could be attributed to the decline in production and the eventual closure of the Black Eagle Industrial Site in 1980. This site and associated industries were a significant job creator for the community.

### 1.2 Demographics

## Race and Ethnicity

90 percent of residents of the City of Great Falls are European American, or White, and 2.2 percent of the population is foreign born ${ }^{1}$. This compares with 89.1 percent and 1.9 percent, respectively, at the statewide level.

## Income

The median household income for Great Falls is $\$ 42,540$, with 15.8 percent of Great Falls residents living below the poverty level. Compared to Montana statewide figures (\$45,324 and 9.7 percent, respectively), Great Falls residents, on average, make slightly less annually and have more people living in poverty ${ }^{2}$.

## Military

In the City of Great Falls, there are 7,292 veterans (or 12.4 percent of the total population), with about 2,300, or 4 percent, of the total working population in the City currently employed in the Armed Forces. There are, in addition to this figure, 3,472 total residents on Malmstrom Air Force Base that are not

[^2]included in the municipal Census or ACS data (but are included in the population figure cited above for the Great Falls area and surrounding communities). Of those who live on the base, 2,048 are Armed Forces Personnel. In summary, there are an estimated 4,400 Armed Forces personnel in the Great Falls Area, nearly half of which live on base.

The population of Malmstrom AFB consists mostly of families with young children. The two population ranges that include the highest number of people are "20-24 years old" and "Under 5 years old".

## Age \& Education

The median age of the Great Falls area is 39 years old, with 19.9 percent of the total population under the age of 16 (too young to drive) and 8.4 percent over 75 years old (and typically less likely to or cannot drive). This means that roughly 30 percent of Great Falls area residents cannot drive or are less likely to drive. Increasing opportunities for and improving non-motorized infrastructure in the Great Falls area will not only benefit those who choose not to drive, but also those who cannot.

90 percent of residents over 25 years old in the Great Falls area have a high school degree or higher (including some college, an associate or bachelor degree, and/or a graduate or professional degree). This figure is 91.5 percent for the entire state of Montana.

## Commute and Trip Choice

The vehicle or type of transportation that people choose for their trips, either commuting to and from work, doing errands, or other trips, is available via the American Community Survey (ACS) and the National Household Travel Survey (NHTS). The former includes commute mode share data while the latter includes mode share choices for all trips, regardless of purpose.

[^3]
## Commuting (ACS)

Bicycling
Five year ACS averages show that approximately 0.8 percent of commuters choose to travel to and from work by bicycle in Great Falls, this is an increase from 0.5 percent when measured during the 2000 Census. When compared to the rest of the United States, this figure is higher than the average, 0.5 percent, but is less than Montana's average mode share for bicycling to work, which is 1.3 percent. In comparison to other major cities in Montana, Great Falls has fewer bike-to-work commuters than Missoula, Bozeman, Kalispell, and Helena, but outperforms Billings and Butte. See Figure l.

## Walking

About 2.7 percent of commuters in Great Falls walk to and from work. This is lower than the national ( 2.8 percent) and state (5.l percent) averages, and a decrease from the 2000 Census when 3.1 percent of commuters walked. Of the six other major cities used in the previous comparison, Great Falls has the lowest walking mode share. See Figure 1.


Figure 1:5 Year ACS Commute Share of Seven Largest Montana Cities

## All Trips (NHTS)

Trip mode share from the National Household Travel Survey is data that has been normalized using national averages from NHTS that provide non-motorized averages for percentage of all trips, not just commute trips. See Figure 2 for graph.

## Bicycling

Bicycle mode share for all trips in Great Falls is estimated at 1.4 percent, which is higher than the national average ( 1.0 percent) but lower than the statewide average for Montana ( 2.5 percent). In comparison to other Montana cities, Great Falls' total bicycle mode share is higher than Billings and Butte, but lower than the other four larger cities highlighted.

## Walking

An estimated 5.5 percent of all trips in Great Falls are walking trips, which is much higher than the ACS data outlining walking to and from work ( 2.7 percent), but it still remains lower than all six Montana cities in the graph and also lower than the national ( 6.1 percent) and Montana ( 10.6 percent) averages.


Figure 2: Overall Mode Share Based on ACS and NHTS of Seven Largest Montana Cities

## 2 Existing Plans, Codes, and Policies

### 2.1 Plans and Surveys

## City of Great Falls Downtown Access, Circulation, and Streetscape Plan (April 2013)

A design document intended to supplement recommendations, vision, and plans made in the Great Falls Downtown Master Plan (2011).

This plan provides several options for recommended bicycle and pedestrian improvements on $1^{\text {st }}$ Ave $S$, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave $\mathrm{S}, 5^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$, and $6^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ - all currently one way streets. Improvements such as better sidewalks, street trees, bike lanes, shared lane markings, and two-way cycle tracks are included. The final recommendation for bicycle facilities on all four of the streets (which are currently one-way couplets) will have lane configurations consisting of two one-way travel lanes, parallel parking on both sides, and a one-way buffered bike lane (three foot buffer on the travel lane side and two foot buffer on the parking lane side) in the remaining space of the 50 ft of each street. The extents are below:

- $1^{\text {st }}$ Ave S: $10^{\text {th }}$ St $S$ to Park Drive
- $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave S: Park Drive to $6^{\text {th }}$ St S
- $5^{\text {th }}$ St: $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave N to $6^{\text {th }}$ Ave S
- $6^{\text {th }}$ St: $5^{\text {th }}$ Ave $S$ to $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave $N$


## Great Falls Area 2009 Transportation Plan

The last comprehensive update was adopted in 2003 with a minor update in 2009. The Great Falls Metropolitan Area must, at a minimum, update the Transportation Plan and perform a conformity determination no less frequently than every four years (ref. 40 CFR 93.104(b)(3)).

The vision of the plan and the community is that "our community should grow in compact patterns that facilitate pedestrian, bicycle, and transit travel. Walking should be a practical, safe, and enjoyable means of travel throughout all neighborhoods and shopping areas. Bicycling should become a more viable transportation choice for all residents and visitors in Great Falls" (p. 1-3).

The plan suggests that policies should be enacted in order to require l) infill development where the land use pattern should be self-contained and promote compact, pedestrian-oriented development, and, 2) protecting and enhancing the area's air quality by reducing vehicle miles traveled and trips generated by using transit and non-motorized transportation modes (bicycling and walking) (p.l-7).
According to this document, when reviewing and updating the Bikeway Facilities Plan, planners/consultants should address the following issues:

- Balance the plan with a variety of facilities to meet the needs of cyclists with different skill levels.
- Link parks, schools, and other activity centers.
- Link the River's Edge Trail to the area-wide bike route system wherever possible.
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- Study the expansion of the existing trail system to connect to Wadsworth Park along the Sun River and flood control levees.
- Explore opportunities for unpaved multi-use trails for mountain bikes and hikers.
- Develop policies and procedures for obtaining easements or rights-of-way for non-motorized transportation corridors throughout the community.
- Coordinate the Bikeway Facilities Plan with recommendations in the Park and Recreation Master Plan (1995). In some areas, the seven new trails recommended in the Master Plan duplicate routes in the proposed Bikeway Facilities Plan (p. 1-11)

In Chapter l, pilot traffic-calming projects including bulbouts, a City-County Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee, increased trail and path construction, and review of design standards for roadways are suggested (p. 1-10-1-14).

Chapter 2 addresses bicycle and pedestrian traffic on the River's Edge Trail, in the Central Business District, as well as ADA wheelchair access ramps, and sidewalk locations on major streets. Maps providing where there exist sidewalk gaps, ADA wheelchair access ramps, lighted corridors, and existing trail system are also included in this chapter. The figures and maps in this chapter should be used as a starting point for prioritizing corridors for upgrades. Each individual block face and intersection should be separately evaluated for sufficiency of pedestrian and bicycle facilities, according to the Plan. ADA facilities in outlying areas are lacking, as well as sidewalks and other non-motorized transportation mode facilities.

The maps included in Chapter 5, which are completely dedicated to pedestrian and bicycle planning, differ significantly from the Existing/Proposed Trails Network map (Figure 2-18) included in Chapter 2 (Existing Conditions). Maps in Chapter 5 are somewhat confusing in that they lump all existing facilities regardless of type together in one line type and show proposed bike lanes, bike routes, and some trails as by individual facility type. Additionally new recommendations as proposed in the 2009 plan are all one color regardless of the recommended facility type. Committed and proposed bikeway network and system improvements are outlined and include extent, cost, and proposed location. The total cost for the bikeway network and system projects is estimated by this plan at $\$ 3,462,900$.

Chapter 7 includes further discussion of and suggestion for traffic calming in order to benefit pedestrians, especially around schools (p. 7-29). In Chapter 12, suggested street alignments, traffic calming, and ROW requirements are outlined. Most of the suggested cross sections include at least one option depicting facilities for pedestrians and bicyclist. These facilities typically include improved sidewalks and vegetated buffers, bike lanes, and shared use paths. Chapter 14 includes possible funding sources for bicycle and pedestrian projects, such as CMAQ and MACI (which have been used previously), and TIF.

## Downtown Great Falls 2013 Safety Plan

This plan makes relatively no mention of bicycling or walking. Chapter 2: Safety Plan Framework references the Downtown Master Plan in identifying one safety related goal/strategy, which is, to "improve pedestrian connectivity and safety Downtown and develop public/private partnerships to ensure Downtown is safe for all users" (p. 5).Chapter 6: Downtown Public Safety Resource \& Referral List makes a quick mention of the link to report non-emergency crimes, which include bike thefts (http://www.greatfallsmt.net/police/report-crime-online).

## City of Great Falls 2011 Downtown Master Plan

"The Downtown Master Plan provides a strategically focused, goal driven "blueprint" for the future growth and development of Downtown. The plan includes 82 strategies that each serve to make Downtown Great Falls a more desirable place to live, conduct business, recreate and visit."
This plan identifies several objectives that apply to bicycling and walking, both individually and when the two modes overlap. The following objectives and chapter sections apply to bicycling and walking and include visual and some semi-technical elements to consider in the redesign of streets and surrounding areas and corridors in order to increase viability and safety of bicycling and walking. In Chapter 3, under Goal 1: Connected Downtown:

- Objective 1 (improve pedestrian connectivity and safety)
- Objective 2 (develop a comprehensive Downtown bicycle network to connect into a city-wide system)
- Objective 4 (improve connectivity to the Missouri River, River's Edge Trail, and Gibson Park for bicycles and pedestrians)
- Exhibit 1: A Closer Look at Complete Streets
- Exhibit J: A Closer Look at One-way Conversion
- Objective 6: Optimize Downtown parking for all stakeholders (bicycle parking)

Figure 8: Implementation Table (Chapter 3) describes the intention of capital improvement projects and how basic upgrades of public infrastructure are great gateways to accomplishing projects related to bicycling and walking. Chapter 3's Strategy l: Connected, defines the objectives included above by individual, implementable projects including funding sources, project timeline range, and what type of project it is (capital improvement, program, regulation, etc.).

An online survey was included in the creation of this plan and nearly 450 respondents contributed to better understand demographics and preferences downtown (p. 69-72).

- 52 percent of respondents use downtown daily
- 56 percent come for the shopping, 52 percent work downtown, 42 percent enjoy dining in downtown, and 37 percent use other services provided by downtown businesses
- 94 percent use a car to get to downtown, 4 percent walk, 2 percent ride a bicycle, and none took the bus
- 47 percent of respondents believe that downtown is safe; 56 percent agree that it is clean
- Very few people, however believe that downtown is a desirable place to raise a family (only 10 percent)
- A cleaner and safer environment, with better landscaping and more green space, would be appealing to some ( 23 percent) respondents.
- Parking issues ( 9 percent) were identified by some respondents as detriments to downtown living


## Conclusions from the One-way Street Conversion Survey

This report is an important document to consider in light of bicycle and pedestrian planning because it shows public sentiment regarding traffic lane realignment and significant changes to existing
infrastructure. The Great Falls Business Improvement District (BID) asked the City to consider a proposal to convert two downtown, one-way couplets back to two-way streets. The couplets and segments in question are 5th and 6th Streets between 8th Avenue North and 10th Avenue South, and lst and 2nd Avenues South between Park Drive and 15th Street.

The project sought public comment and, overall, most respondents were in favor of keeping the streets one-way, in couplets, and improving them with trees and improved storefronts. The majority of customers and home and business owners on ALL streets highlighted in this survey analysis and conclusion said that one-way streets helped, instead of hindered, customers getting to businesses downtown. They also said that smooth traffic flow was more important than slower traffic. 53 percent said they would not support any changes to the streets identified in the survey.
One respondent who owns properties on $5^{\text {th }}$ St and $6^{\text {th }}$ St S, where 3 lanes merge to 2 lanes, had a different opinion. He/she reports fast automobile traffic and almost no one stopping for foot traffic, even school children unloading from the bus, even though there is a pedestrian crossing on the corner.

This report is significant as it depicts observed public resistance (from at least some of the population) to traffic lane realignment and significant changes to existing infrastructure.

### 2.2 Code

## City of Great Falls Municipal Code

The municipal code includes legality of certain actions and includes definitions of and ordinances and laws pertaining to bicycling and walking in Great Falls.

The code sets several definitions including defining a bicycle as a type of vehicle (10.3.010 - Definitions), and that bicyclists as operators of vehicles shall obey the instruction of any official traffic-control device" (10.21.020 - Obedience required).

The code further states that it is illegal to bicycle on the sidewalk within the CBD east of the west side of Park Drive. Bicycles operated by the Police Department are exempt from the CBD sidewalk law.
Additionally, any person bicycling on the sidewalk in the rest of the City, where it is legal, must yield the ROW to any pedestrian and give audible signals before passing them (10.72.010). In 12.32.020, this code is contradicted because it states that it is illegal for horses, mules, animals, buggy, wagon, bicycle or other vehicle to be ridden or driven on any sidewalk in the City.

Property owners are responsible for the maintenance of sidewalks in front of and adjoining their property. They are responsible also for reconstruction of buckled or dangerous sidewalks caused by natural deterioration (12.28.130).

Developers may propose and the City may require traffic calming to provide safety and encourage walking as transportation and will be determined on a case-by-case basis (17.32.130).
All new streets must meet the City's growth policy, as outlined in the 2009 Great Falls Area
Transportation Plan. Sidewalks must also be provided on both sides of public and private streets. In residential areas, a boulevard area (vegetated, usually) shall be included and must be at least six feet wide. Sidewalks must also be ADA compliant (17.32.080).

There is no bicycle parking requirement in Great Falls. However, when bicycle parking is provided, it may substitute for a vehicular parking space up to a maximum of 5 percent of the required number of parking space, or 10 spaces, whichever is less (17.36.3.010). Exhibit 36-6 in the Municipal Code gives recommended number of bicycle parking spaces at different types of buildings. The code does get stricter on where the bicycle parking spaces are located. They cannot be more than 100 feet from the entrance and should be as close as or closer than the nearest automobile parking space. Parking must be distributed to serve all buildings or entrances when there are more than one, must have adequate lighting, must hold the frame and not just the wheel, must be able to be used with a U-lock, must accommodate a variety of bicycle types, must be securely fastened to the ground, and must be accessible without moving another bicycle.

## Cascade County Zoning Regulations (2012):

A recreational trail is defined by Cascade County as a "linear path which may be dedicated to a single use or multiple uses". The zoning regulations definitions section then states that hiking trails, bike trails, cross-country ski trails, and horse trails are all examples of a recreation trail. The document does not, however, list bicycles as a recreation vehicle, reserving this definition for camping trailers, motor homes, and the like. Pedestrian walkways are listed as cross-routes that should affect the planning of landscaping plans.

## Cascade County Subdivision Regulations (2007):

In the section on "Blocks", the regulations document states that "rights-of-way for adequate and safe pedestrian access, at least 10 feet wide, must be provided where deemed essential to provide circulation to schools, playgrounds, shopping, transportation, and other community facilities". In Section VI-H, subsection b titled "Improvements", it states that subdivision street improvements including "pavement, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, and drainage must be constructed in accordance with the specifications prescribed" in the document using materials approved by the Cascade County Commissioners. Specifications are provided after in the form of sample designs and materials lists and procedures. Furthermore, any proposed road plan and profile must include the type and location of sidewalks and curbs. There is no mention of or reference to bicycling, bicycle infrastructure, or bicyclists as users in the whole of the document.

Table 1: Great Falls Municipal Code 17.36.3.010-Bicycle parking

| Land use | Number of recommended spaces |
| :---: | :---: |
| Multi-family housing | 1 space per 2 apartments |
| Primary or secondary school | 10 percent of the number of students, plus 3 percent of the number of employees |
| College or university | 6 percent of the number of students, plus 3 percent of the number of employees |
| Dorms, fraternities, sororities | 1 spaces per 3 students |
| Shopping mall | 5 percent of the number of vehicle parking spaces |
| Office | 5 percent of the number of vehicle parking spaces |
| Governmental | 10 percent of the number of vehicle parking spaces |
| Movie theater | 5 percent of the number of vehicle parking spaces |
| Restaurant | 5 percent of the number of vehicle parking spaces |
| Manufacturing/industrial | 3 percent of the number of vehicle parking spaces |
| Other | 5 percent to 10 percent of the number of vehicle parking spaces |

From field observations in Great Falls it appears that few businesses have provided bicycle parking.

### 2.3 Policies

## Great Falls Growth Policy - Transportation Element

The current Growth Policy (GP) for Great Falls has not been fully updated since 1999, receiving minor updates in 2003 and 2005. On December 20, 2011, Great Falls City Commission passed Resolution 9951 directing the Planning Advisory Board to begin the process of formally updating the City's GP. The GP is an official public document that is intended to guide future social, physical, environmental, and economic growth and development of the City. The updated GP will be adopted and used by the City of Great Falls to guide policies and decisions regarding future growth and development. The transportation element, specifically bicycling and walking, of the GP will be considered here.

The Pedestrian and Bicycle Circulation element of the GP assumes that most people in Great Falls will continue to use motor vehicles as their main mode of transportation (p. 18). The benefits of bicycling are
not only physical for the user, but if "enough people can be diverted from driving to bicycling or walking for some of their daily trips, motor vehicle traffic can potentially be reduced or expensive street improvements may be avoided or delayed." Residents also expressed a desire for more pedestrian and bicycling facilities in the area in 1999, which reflects their interest in having more choices in how to travel around the community. The expansion of the River's Edge Trail system is cited as another indicator that Great Falls residents desire more infrastructure, especially separated facilities.

Shared roadways (including shared lane markings) are "adequate on low-volume collectors or local streets where motor vehicles can safely pass bicyclists" (p. 20). Other standard roadway treatments like bike lanes, paved shoulders, and multi-use paths are also proposed.

Vision \#4 in the "Transportation Vision" is to "facilitate pedestrian, bicycle, and transit travel. Walking should be a practical, safe, and enjoyable means of travel throughout all neighborhoods and shopping areas. Bicycling should become a more viable transportation choice for all residents and visits in Great Falls." Vision \#6 is to "have streets, trails, and walkways that are planned, built, landscaped, and maintained as safe and attractive public spaces linking a balanced system of open lands, natural areas, recreation facilities, schools, and parks with trails and urban streetscapes" (p. 25-26). Goal $\# 2$ is to "make...non-motorized modes of transportation viable alternatives to the private automobile for travel in and around the community" (p. 26).

Policies or parts of policies in the GP that apply to bicycling and walking include:

- The land use pattern should promote pedestrian-oriented development, address transportation system needs, and enhance opportunities for walking and bicycling, while increasing connectivity and smooth flow of all transportation modes throughout the community
- New development on the urban fringes or in rural areas should give primary consideration to non-motorized circulation and to transit service
- Pedestrian bicycle access to natural features, historic and cultural resources, parks, schools, and other focal points should be improved. The emerging identities of new neighborhoods should include multiple transportation choices
- Air quality can be improved by using non-motorized transportation modes
- New streets should be in compliance with the Great Falls Area Transportation Plan
- Private enterprise should also be encouraged and supported to provide non-motorized transportation choices. On-street bicycle lanes or off-street pedestrian/bicycle paths should connect all neighborhoods
- The movement of traffic to, from, and within downtown should be a prime consideration in planning, designing, and building all roads as well as pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure.
- Pedestrian and bicycle facilities should be linked when planning transportation system improvements and when reviewing land development proposals. New public and private developments should accommodate the bicycle system by providing access to schools, parks, jobs, shopping centers, and transit facilities and should provide users with facilities for safe and direct crossings of Principal and Minor Arterials. Developers should be required to install paths that connect to the bikeway system recommended in the Bikeway Facilities Plan. In some cases, it may be appropriate to relax a requirement, such as for a sidewalk on one side of a residential street in favor of a comparable bicycle path in the development (p.26-30).

Further, strategies and actions regarding new standards and regulations that encourage pedestrian and bicycle-friendly development, traffic calming, bikeway facilities plan updates, a City-County BPAC, provide sufficient resources to construct trails and bikeways in Parks and Rec \& the Bikeway Facilities Plans, access to Smelter Hill by bicycle and foot are all encouraged and framed at the end of the Policy. Most policies, goals, strategies, and plans within the Growth Policy relate in one way or another to nonmotorized transportation choices. The universal incorporation of these modes in the GP is encouraging, but action is required to make the vision of the City and its residents a reality.

### 2.4 Programs

## Great Falls Transportation Improvement Program FFY 2011-15

This document includes review of projects related to walking and bicycling that have been completed since 2006 and which are planned for implementation during the Federal Fiscal Years (FFY) of 2011 to 2015.

Table 2: Great Falls Area Transportation Improvement Program FFY 2011-15

| Category | Project | FFY | Description | Funding Agency | Total cost | Status | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrian only | Sidewalks - GTF | 2006 | Sidewalks on <br> 3rd St <br> NW/Smelter/N <br> W Bypass | State/Federal | \$910,000 | Complete | n/a |
| Pedestrian only | City-wide sidewalks | 2009 | Sidewalks, various locations | State/Federal/ Local | \$4,310,100 | Complete | n/a |
| Bicycling and pedestrian | 38th St/8th Ave N/6th St SW | 2009 | Trail/Bike/Ped Improvements | State/Federal/ <br> Local | \$634,700 | Complete | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Bicycling and pedestrian | Bay Drive Bike/Ped Path | 2010 | Bike/Ped path | Federal/Local | \$936,000 | Complete | n/a |

Total cost of

| Category | Project | FFY | Description | Funding Agency | Total cost | Status | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrian only | ADA/Curb <br> Ramps Program I (MDT-lst AVE N-35th to 38th\$201,550; MDT 2nd Ave N 37th to 15th-\$408,760; 9th St N-River Drive-\$306,200) (City-25th St N, 26th St S, 8th Ave N, 38th St N, 6th St S \& Park Drive) | 2015 | Install ramps at various locations | CMAQ | \$2,047,389 | Planned | lst |
| Bicycling and pedestrian | Sun River Trail Connection | 2015 | Bike/Ped facility adjoining Country Club Blvd (from Warden Bridge to Bike/Ped Facility at $6^{\text {th }}$ St SW) | CMAQ | \$2,061,080 | Planned | 3rd |
| Pedestrian only | Great Falls <br> Sidewalk Infill <br> Project | n/a | Sidewalks | CMAQ | \$833,571 | Planned | 4th |
| Pedestrian only | 2001 Sidewalk <br> Program | 2011 | Construction, additional | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACI, } \\ & \text { FHWA/CMAQ } \end{aligned}$ | \$114,076 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Planned } \\ & (2008) \end{aligned}$ | n/a |
| Pedestrian only | Sidewalks-GTF lst Ave $N \& 2$ nd Ave N | 2011 | Construction | Other Highway Funds | \$1,360,000 | Planned (2008) | n/a |
| Bicycling and pedestrian | 2003 Bike/Ped Facility Improvements | 2012 | RW/IC/Constr uction-Release | MACI, <br> FHWA/CMAQ | \$91,809 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Planned } \\ & (2008) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | n/a |
| Pedestrian only | Sidewalks-Fox Farms Park Garden | 2012 | Construction- <br> Release | MACI, FHWA/CMAQ | \$32,856 | Planned (2008) | n/a |
| Pedestrian and disability | ADA/Curb Ramps Program | 2013 | PE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACI, } \\ & \text { FHWA/CMAQ } \end{aligned}$ | \$221,546 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Planned } \\ & (2008) \end{aligned}$ | n/a |
| Pedestrian and disability | ADA/Curb Ramps Program (Local) | 2014 | RW/IC/Constr uction | MACI, <br> FHWA/CMAQ | \$1,824,141 | Planned (2008) | n/a |
| Pedestrian only | Sidewalk Infill <br> Project | 2014 | PE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACI, } \\ & \text { FHWA/CMAQ } \end{aligned}$ | \$168,000 | Planned (2008) | n/a |

Total cost of
planned bicycling and walking
projects: $\$ 8,754,468$
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## 3 Bicycling

### 3.1 Types of Bikeways

Consistent with bikeway classifications throughout the nation, these Bikeway Design Guidelines identify the following bikeway classes by degree of separation from motor vehicle traffic.

## Paved Shoulder Bikeway

This type of bicycle facility may be helpful for Cascade County. The AASHTO Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities includes this bikeway type especially for application in rural communities in which "adding of improving paved shoulders often can be the best way to accommodate bicyclists". The paved shoulder also has geometric benefits for motorists, as well, which are described below under 'Bike Lanes’.


Shoulder Bikeway

## Shared Roadways

Bikeways where bicyclists and cars operate within the same travel lane, either side by side or in single file depending on roadway configuration. The most basic type of bikeway is a signed shared roadway. This facility is used to connect other bikeways (usually bike lanes), or designate preferred routes through high-demand corridors.


Shared Roadway

## Bicycle Boulevards

Shared roadways may also be designated by pavement markings, signage, and other treatments including directional signage, traffic diverters, chicanes, chokers, and/or other traffic calming devices to reduce vehicle speeds or volumes.


Bicycle Boulevard

## Bike Lanes

This type of separated bikeways uses signage and striping to delineate the right-of-way assigned to bicyclists and motorists. Bike lanes encourage predictable movements by both bicyclists and motorists.


Bike Lane

## Cycle Tracks

Bikeways that combine the user experience of a separate path with the on-street infrastructure of conventional bike lanes.


Cycle Track


Shared Use Path

## Why Separated On-Street Facilities

A national study comparing streets with bike lanes to those without found that 15 percent of bicyclists on streets without bike lanes rode on the sidewalks, versus 3 percent on the streets with bike lanes. In addition, on streets with bike lanes, 81 percent of bicyclists obeyed stop signs, versus 55 percent on streets without ${ }^{4}$.

One's chance of injury drops by about 50 percent when riding on a major city street with a bike lane and no parked cars (as opposed to a major city street without bike lanes and with parking) ${ }^{5}$.

Separated facilities also provide a buffer for pedestrians by creating more space between sidewalks and moving motor vehicle travel lanes. They also provided a breakdown lane for motorists and a clear recovery zone (for errant vehicles that leave the traveled way to recover into their own lane).

When Bozeman, Montana, installed a greater network of bike lanes, bicycle commuting mode share went from 4.7 percent of commute trips to 6.3 percent of commute trips between 2000 and 2010. Missoula's

[^4]bicycle commuting mode share also increased to 5.8 percent for similar reasons. Bozeman measured an instantaneous increase in bicycling and walking along West Babcock Street in 2007 of 256 percent when bike lanes and sidewalks were installed.

### 3.2 Facilities and Programs

The Great Falls area is fortunate to boast an approximately 47 mile off-street bicycling and walking system along the banks of the Missouri River. The city's first bike lane was installed in Summer 2013. Two signed east-west bike routes exist north of downtown. This relative lack of designated on-street bicycle infrastructure is a veritable blank slate and represents a significant opportunity to plan and implement a network of bicycle facilities in the Great Falls area.

## River's Edge Trail Background

According to the River's Edge Trail (RET) website, this $47+$ mile trail system "is the result of 20 years of cooperative partnership efforts by the City of Great Falls, Cascade County, Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife \& Parks, Montana Department of Transportation, electric utility PPL Montana, a volunteer trail advocacy group Recreational Trails, Inc., and a supportive community. As a result of this work, the River's Edge Trail has grown into a treasured community asset. Since 1990, the trail has grown to more


River's Edge Trail northwest of Downtown Great Falls than 47 miles.

The RET system is composed of:

- 20.34 miles of paved paths and trails,
- 1.42 miles of a mix of paved and unpaved or natural trails, and,
- 25.32 miles of unpaved or natural trails (primarily used for singletrack mountain bike riding and walking/hiking).

The history of the River's Edge Trail began with a conceptual plan for a riverside recreational trail in Great Falls (as developed by the City-County Planning Board staff in 1989). Dubbed the Riverfront Recreational Corridor, the trail was to extend 7 miles from the Broadwater Bay area downstream to Rainbow Falls. The trail, re-named the River's Edge Trail following a Name-the-Trail contest in the Great Falls Tribune, captured the interest and support of the community. A volunteer group that advocated local bike trails, also in 1989, as part of the Vision 2000 community planning process, began working with the City to develop the first segments of the trail. That group was formalized as a non-profit 501 c 3 corporation named Recreational Trails, Inc. (RTI).

Over the last 20 years RTI has continued to work with the City, County, FWP, PPL Montana and many other partners, agencies, groups and individuals to extend and improve the $47+$ mile trail. Much of the trail has been constructed on abandoned railroad and road rights-of-way and structures. Miles of new
trail connecting these segments have been constructed, as have many new tunnels, underpasses, bridges and trailheads. Volunteers have undertaken an on-going intensive cleanup of riverfront lands that had been littered with debris over the past decades, and have spent thousands of hours on weed control, tree planting, maintenance, and enhancement projects. ${ }^{6 "}$

## Popularity and Use

In March 2013, the Great Falls Tribune reported that the River’s Edge Trail is the envy of other communities, local and otherwise, with five waterfalls and breathtaking views ${ }^{7}$. In the first public open house and in many meetings with stakeholders for this plan, which were held on June 19, 2013, the attractiveness of the trail was a big talking point. Many see it as a central spine of the active transportation system and something that additional infrastructure should tie into, wherever possible.

## Signage

New signage on the River's Edge Trail, including wayfinding directions and distance to popular destinations and trail featured, was installed in summer 2013. Signage existing prior to this improvement featured standard paper maps of the trail system behind weatherproof glass (see photo). Trailhead markers with the River's Edge Trail logo and restrictions are also near many entrances/exits on the trail.


River's Edge Trail signage

## $5^{\text {th }}$ Street $\mathbf{N} / 2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue $N$ Bike Lanes

The $57^{\text {th }}$ Street $\mathrm{N} / 2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue N bike lanes were installed in June and July 2013 between the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave N gate of Malmstrom Air Force Base on the east, west to the intersection of $57^{\text {th }}$ St N and $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave N, and then north and northwest till $38^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{N} \&$ the River's Edge Trail extension. There is no parking along $57^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{N}$ for the entirety of the section with bike lanes. Bike lanes are against the curb. There are also minimal driveways, which may equate to fewer motorists crossing the path of bicyclists than on other residential streets or those with commercial density. The bike lanes were installed to connect the Air Force base population to the River's Edge Trail and to the community at large, among other benefits. Although ‘Bike Lane’ signs (R3-17, MUTCD) are optional, the City has requested that MDT install them along the route.

$57^{\text {th }}$ St $N / 2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave $N$ bike lanes, installed Summer 2013

[^5]

## $4^{\text {th }}$ Avenue North Bike Route

The bike route on $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave N is the newest of Great Falls' two signed shared roadways. It is an east-west route north of Downtown between River Dr on the west and $38^{\text {th }}$ St N on the east.

This is a quiet neighborhood street ( 25 mph speed limit), close to homes and parks. Many of the intersections on $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave N are uncontrolled (no stop signs or signals) and bicyclists must be vigilant at each intersection and check for cross traffic from perpendicular streets. There is also a problematic link with Gibson Park and the River's Edge Trail on the western terminus of the bike route. Crossing River Dr after the bike route ends require navigating another uncontrolled intersection of $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave N and a much busier road. MDT was initially hesitant to this improvement because of the lack of receiving infrastructure on the opposite side of the road (e.g. sidewalks, paths, etc.). The signs along $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave N indicating that it is a bike route are standard Dll-1 signs (MUTCD).


Bicyclist riding on the $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave N bike route


Children and supervisors crossing Park $\operatorname{Dr}$ (from $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave N ) without crosswalks or sidewalks (on the receiving end)

## $8^{\text {th }}$ Avenue North Bike Route

$8^{\text {th }}$ Ave N is a two-way, two lane "urban route" or collector road. It is 45 ft wide with two 15 ft travel lanes and two 7.5 ft parking lanes. It slopes downhill toward the west and uphill toward the east. Similar to $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave N, it provides connectivity between Park Drive on the west and $38^{\text {th }}$ St $N$ on the east. This road is busier than $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave $N$, with between 3,000 and 5,000 vehicles each day (depending on the section of the street) but still a 25 mph speed limit (and 20 mph for trucks) and has the same bikeway classification. There is not great connectivity to the River's Edge Trail or to parks on its western terminus. It is one of the most northern continuous east-west streets on the south side of the Missouri River. The signs along $8^{\text {th }}$ Ave N indicating that it is a bike route are standard Dll-1 signs (MUTCD).

### 3.3 Bicycle Parking

The existing policies and programs regarding bicycle parking for the City of Great Falls and for Cascade County state that there is not a requirement to provide bicycle parking. The installation of bike racks is currently completely up to the developer or the business owner. There is, however, a credit for vehicle parking spaces if bike parking spaces are also provided (17.36.3.010). The location of proposed bicycle parking spaces is reviewed by City staff and the Design Review Board when development plans are submitted to the City.

In Downtown, permission was granted to the Business Improvement District (BID), and its chair Joan Redeen, to place bike racks on sidewalks in the public right of way (ROW). As new bicycle parking has been installed on downtown streets, it has been accepted and utilized by the community. There are, however, no long-term maintenance agreements between the City and the BID or the individual business owners regarding the future upkeep of the existing and any additional racks.

If bike racks are installed independently and on private property, the City does not have any


Bicycle parking at the Great Falls Public Library responsibility to maintain them. Their only role is to encourage it and support future growth of bicycle parking.

Although there are many racks at public places like the Great Falls Public Library and in some locations in the BID, there is not a City or area-wide ordinance, initiative, or program to ensure that bicyclists have a place to park their bicycles when arriving at destinations. Without the assurance or predictability of bicycle parking, bicycling use may not be reaching its potential.

### 3.4 Maintenance

## River's Edge Trail

Maintenance of the River's Edge Trail is shared between the Great Falls Parks and Recreation Department, Recreational Trails, Inc., and contracted maintenance crews, with funding for maintenance provided by the former two. Great Falls' Parks and Recreation Department mows the two feet on either side of the trail (because of the trail's nature as a linear park facility), clears snow, cleans and empties toilets and trash cans, and arranges with Cascade County for weed abatement and spraying.

## Budget

All other maintenance, including repaving and crack sealing, is completed with a $\$ 5,000$ budget provided by the City and private funds from RTI, which contracts with private maintenance companies to complete repairs and maintenance. The funding provided is inadequate for annual trail needs and represents the capacity to repave less than 100 feet annually for the existing trail system. RTI would like to contribute monetarily to the City of Great Falls so that they can maintain the trail better and in its entirety.

A local engineering firm, $T D \& H$, is currently developing a comprehensive maintenance plan for the River's Edge Trail with initial and maintenance costs for current trail and future expansion. The plan seeks to help the trail function well and retain its popularity and utility. It is expected to be completed in Fall 2013.

Examining trail maintenance budgets from other cities and counties in the United States will provide some insight into how to best utilize a limited budget and what costs are in areas with similar climate
conditions and trail infrastructure. Although there are many variables in maintenance and construction costs (crossings, concrete vs. asphalt vs. natural surfaces, climate, use, etc.), experience from other places may yield ideas and innovation in Great Falls.

## Milwaukee County, Wisconsin

Milwaukee County maintains about 130 miles of paved and natural surface trails. The County spends $\$ 2,525$ per mile to maintain existing asphalt paths and between $\$ 24.13$ to $\$ 154.13$ per mile for snow plowing, depending on the trail and surface type, width, and amount of snowfall. Trimming back vegetation and removing storm-damaged material for approximately 16 weeks out of the year costs $\$ 150,000$. Landscaping on new trails and replacing landscaping on existing trails totals $\$ 110,000$ while drainage installation, asphalt and washout repair for two weeks of the year costs $\$ 20,000$.

## Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

On paths and trails within the WDNP’s jurisdiction, approximately $\$ 2,000$ per mile is spent on all maintenance costs combined according to their internal Trail Cost Model.

## Iowa Department of Transportation.

IDOT builds and maintains trails and paths of a variety of surface types. Total annual maintenance costs are estimated at approximately $\$ 1,500$ per mile.

## Rails to Trails Conservancy

According to the Conservancy's Rail Trail Maintenance \& Operation Manual, a minimum of $\$ 1,200$ per mile for privately owned trails and approximately $\$ 2,077$ per mile for government-maintained trails is spent on maintenance. This is applicable to Great Falls and the River's Edge Trail because parts of the RET are on former rail right of way ${ }^{8}$.

## Michigan Trails and Greenways Alliance

In 2007, the Michigan Trails and Greenways Alliance produced a document entitled "Statewide Greenways Maintenance Inventory and Case Studies", which outlines different maintenance costs on different trail type throughout the state ${ }^{9}$.

On unpaved, low-maintenance natural trails with few if any trailheads, MTGA found that costs were much lower than for hard surface trails that run through cities, under roads, and with many trailheads and accesses. On the former type, MTGA estimated maintenance costs at around $\$ 221$ to $\$ 500$ per mile. Some snowmobile clubs, where they exist, near the natural surface trails split the costs of maintenance equipment 60/40 with the county and then buy the equipment in earnest over 5 years. They also provide most of the maintenance labor.

[^6]Mid-level trails that require more maintenance than the aforementioned type cost between $\$ 984$ and $\$ 1,453$ per mile. These trails include paved, boardwalk, or other hardscaped trail surfaces.

High maintenance trails, which include hardscaped trails that run near of through cities and densely populated areas that also see high usage ( 178,000 users per year for the Pere Marquette Trail and 80,000 to 90,000 on the Kal-Haven Trail) have an estimated cost of $\$ 2,275$ to $\$ 3,500$ per mile. These costs cover weekly trash removal and toilet maintenance, tree removal, pruning, picnic table cleaning, graffiti removal, and pesticide spraying and invasive species removal.

## Street Sweeping

Currently, there is no preferential treatment for streets with designated (separated or otherwise) bikeways. In the case of $8^{\text {th }}$ Ave N (bike route), however, it is on a preferential schedule due to its nature as a snow route and a collector street.

## Spring, Summer, and Fall

In the fair weather seasons in Great Falls, sweeping is done from west to east in the older City core (grid system), and then continues into the surrounding areas (e.g. south of $10^{\text {th }}$ Ave $S$, and in the Riverview and Valley View neighborhoods). The Downtown core is on an enhanced schedule that includes 4 am sweeping so as to take advantage of the lack of motorized traffic and on-street parking (in commercial areas). Sweeping may also be performed as needed after heavy summer storms to clean up impacted areas (fallen branches, leaves, and other debris).

## Winter

The City of Great Falls also sweeps in the winter in order to clear debris from the streets. It is done during breaks in the snowfall and preference is give (as mentioned before) to snow routes and arterials and collectors.

## Montana Department of Transportation (MDT)

MDT sweeps all of the routes over which they have jurisdiction as needed. With the introduction of salt brine as a preventative measure, their sweeping has been cut down considerably. Although most sweeping is for spot improvements, maintenance crews do pay more attention to high usage routes such as $10^{\text {th }}$ Ave $\mathrm{S}, 14^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$, and other major roadways.

## On-street Snow Removal

The Great Falls area receives approximately 62 in of snow per year, receiving the most snow in March. The River's Edge Trail gets plowed before most streets because it is maintained by the Parks and Recreation Department, which is responsible for fewer routes than the Public Work Department, which maintains most roads.

### 3.5 Law Enforcement

As a matter of priority and utilizing manpower effectively, the Great Falls Police Department does not emphasize enforcement of bicycling laws as much as others. Because of a lack of available officers on duty, these infractions are usually overlooked.

### 3.6 Crashes

Bicyclists are typically at fault in the majority of crashes nationwide. This is often due to erratic and unsafe riding behavior including riding on the wrong side of the road, riding on sidewalks, and disobeying traffic control devices. Dedicated bicycling infrastructure such as bike lanes has been shown to improve behavior. This trend cannot be verified for Great Falls because there is not an "At Fault" option on Police reports when a crash occurs (at least not in the data provided).

For the period of January l, 2008 through December 2010, there have been a total of 48 crashes involving bicycles in the City of Great Falls. Three of the 48 were between bicyclists and pedestrians; the remaining 45 were motor vehicle-bicycle crashes. Three of these 45 were alcohol-related; none of the three bicyclist-pedestrian crashes were alcohol-related. 37 of the 48 crashes ( 77 percent) occurred at intersections, driveways, roadway access points, or other junctions. Only two of the 48 crashes occurred during inclement weather, two occurred at dusk, and five happened at night. There have been no fatal crashes involving a bicyclist recorded in the Great Falls area since the beginning of 2008.

When crash data is visualized, most have occurred on or near major arterials, state, and U.S. routes such as $10^{\text {th }}$ Ave S, $9^{\text {th }}$ St, Central Ave, and $6^{\text {th }}$ St SW.
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## 4 Walking

As stated previously, about 2.7 percent of commute trips to and from work in Great Falls are done on foot, and about 5.5 percent of all trips, regardless of type, are walking trips. Both of these figures are below state and national averages for commute trips and all trips, respectively. Great Falls' older core neighborhoods and grid street system with small blocks lend themselves to walking and non-motorized transportation.

### 4.1 Facilities and Programs

Pedestrians use sidewalks, trails, alleys, and bridges in and around Great Falls. Other than maintenance of sidewalks and other pedestrian facilities (either proprietary or shared with other users, like the River's Edge Trail), the City of Great Falls and Cascade County do not currently have any programs dedicated to encouraging walking.
The downtown core streets like $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave $S$ have had multiple pedestrian improvements recently. Bulbouts (or curb extensions) and new street trees and plants have provided simplified and safer street crossings and a more inviting environment, respectively. Bulbouts


Walking and jogging are very popular on the River's Edge Trail also have the ability to calm or slow down traffic. This is important in a core commercial areas where walking is more prevalent (even by people who travel by car to get there).

Several non-governmental groups exist in Great Falls that are dedicated to encouraging more active and fit residents, including Recreational Trails, Inc. (discussed previously) and Get Fit Great Falls (GFGF), a group that desires to have a healthier and more active community that is also more economically vibrant and physically active. Get Fit Great Falls is made up of representatives from 20 community organizations and agencies and although it is not officially a non-profit organization, it has been successful in its initial initiatives to encourage more walking and bicycling to Great Falls Voyagers baseball games, overall walkability of the City, and improving the relationship between pedestrians and other roadway users. Bicyclists and pedestrians sharing sidewalks can be dangerous according to GFGF and an improvement on the current situation is another goal of the organization. Focusing also on wheelchair accessibility and safety concerns for disabled users, GFGF has sought to work with the City to close sidewalk gaps and improve ADA access. Gaps in the pedestrian network and an analysis of needs will be provided later.
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### 4.2 Sidewalk Maintenance

Within the Great Falls city limits, there are no programs for sidewalk maintenance or replacement. Many Montana communities also have sidewalk networks that are fragmented, disconnected, and poorly maintained. This is due, in large part, to minimal sidewalk infrastructure required as part of 1970s and 1980s era development.
The presence of sidewalks along streets and in neighborhoods can have a dramatic impact on physical activity levels of residents and the transportation options available to the community. According to the National Complete Streets Coalition, 43 percent of people with safe places to walk within 10 minutes of home meet recommended activity levels, whereas only 27 percent of people without safe places to walk meet these activity levels. Furthermore, residents were found to be 65 percent more likely to walk in a neighborhood with sidewalks ${ }^{10}$.

One well-documented Montana example echoes the National Complete Streets Coalition's findings. The City of Bozeman performed a video monitoring program of West Babcock Street before and after a reconstruction. Following the addition of sidewalks, the study noted an immediate 273 percent increase in pedestrian activity ${ }^{11}$.

Often the biggest hurdle for communities is coming up with ways to fund sidewalk infill projects. Typically, available funding for sidewalk construction and maintenance in operational budgets is scarce. In many communities this is because sidewalk construction and maintenance is the legal responsibility of the adjacent property owner (in the case of existing development) or the developer (in the case of new development). Local ordinance and subdivision regulations typically govern sidewalk installation and maintenance responsibilities.
Per Montana state law, sidewalk maintenance including tree root heaves, crumbling, etc., is the responsibility of the adjoining property owner(s) and is only enforced by the City or the jurisdictional authority. In the case of sidewalks inside of Great Falls city limits, this authority would be the City. Otherwise, it would be Cascade County. At the City level, at least, this process is complaint-driven and is thus reactive, and not proactive. After receiving a hazardous sidewalks complaint, a member of the City's Engineering Department staff performs a site inspection to determine if it is, in fact, a condemnable defect. If that is the case, a letter is then issued to the property owner notifying them of the defect and that they will be allowed 30 days for repairs. In 90 percent of cases, according to the City of Great Falls, the owner complies and the defect is remedied. The remaining 10 percent require a condemnation process that continues with the City hiring a contractor to do the repairs and the owner being charged for any labor and materials needed. If the owner does not pay for the repairs after they have been completed, then a lien is place on the property.

[^7]In some cases where the defect is very minor, like small rises (usually less than one inch) in sidewalks sections that turn into "toe stubbers", especially in Downtown, grinding the concrete level has been done. Grinding, however, is limited to very minor offsets and to strong or newer concrete because old or deteriorated concrete tends to shatter.

In rare cases, the City or MDT has paid for sidewalk replacement or repair in full when it was part of a larger project, like the addition of ADA ramps, asphalt milling, and overlay projects on $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave N .

For the Montana Department of Transportation (MDT), their involvement in the issue depends on the extent of the repair required by the offset or deterioration. Their rule of thumb is that if is more than six linear feet of repair, then they will consider it more than "maintenance" and will fix it with public funds. Even with this program, businesses have also fixed larger repairs on their own.

### 4.3 Crashes

There have been 88 total pedestrian-related crashes recorded between January l, 2008 and December 2012 in the City of Great Falls. Seven of them were alcohol-related, with two of the seven proving fatal. 48 of the 88 total were at intersections, driveways, roadway access points, or were otherwise intersection-related. Only three of the 88 occurred during inclement weather, three were during dusk, and 28 at night (either on a lighted or unlit street).

Like bicycle-related crashes, there is a concentration of pedestrian crashes on or near major arterial roadways, state and U.S. routes (e.g. $10^{\text {th }}$ Ave $S$ between $13^{\text {th }}$ St $S$ and $26^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{S} ; 9^{\text {th }}$ St South; $15^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$; and in Downtown between $1^{\text {st }}$ Ave N and $\mathrm{l}^{\text {st }}$ Ave S ).

## Fatal Crashes

All three total fatal crashes since the beginning of 2008 occurred between midnight and 8:00 am. In these crashes, at least one pedestrian was killed while none of the motorists were killed. Alcohol played a factor in two of the three crashes.


## 5 Connectivity to Transit

Trips by transit (in Great Falls' case, by bus) often begin and end on foot or bicycle or both. When connectivity to transit is poor, ridership and ease of use of the system is also negatively affected. By improving sidewalks at and near bus stops, constructing bus shelters for waiting patrons, and planning routes near popular bicycling and walking routes, citizen connectivity to transit can improve.

Currently, the Great Falls Transit District (GFTD) bus route network is mostly a flag-down system, but there are plans and programs now in place to include fixed stops and the amenities that go along with them. A completely fixed stop system has been discussed internally at GFTD, but a plan for implementation has not been created yet. The advantages of a fixed stop system, especially for bicyclists and pedestrians, would be, among others, improved predictability of route time tables and scheduling, both for the user and the Transit District.

Overall ridership in the last year has increased and always goes up during the school year.

## Bicycling

Nearly all GFTD buses now have bike racks mounted on the front of the bus that allow users to use buses to connect longer legs of a trip, in case of an emergency or breakdown, or to avoid inclement weather or difficult topography. GFTD has not, however, tracked or counted their use to determine demand on certain routes, or where bicyclists board and alight most.

## Walking

The GFTD is currently focused heavily on addressing connectivity to newly implemented fixed stops via sidewalks and applicable improvements.

The City's Planning Department expressed interest in seeing GFTD provide a priority analysis on Safe Routes to Schools and sidewalks and their relationship with transit accessibility. According to the City and GFTD, there are transit users with limited mobility who use paratransit and other transit services because there are not sidewalks where they want to go or that access traditional bus stops and not necessarily because they require a paratransit ride.
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## 6 Needs Analysis

### 6.1 System Deficiencies

## Overview

Even though the River's Edge Trail provides a high-quality backbone to the community's transportation system, the active transportation network of bikeways and pedestrian facilities is still lacking significantly, especially connections between that network (proposed and existing) and the River's Edge Trail. Access from Downtown to the trail (and vice versa) is limited. Several other features in Great Falls, like the Missouri River $10^{\text {th }}$ Ave $S$ bridge, were designed and constructed without consideration for active transportation modes. Existing sidewalk gaps and the attitude and perception of motorists towards other modes also pose significant barriers to walking and bicycling being perceived and utilized as legitimate forms of transportation.

## Sidewalk Gaps

Most of the established areas of Great Falls have a very cohesive and continuous sidewalk network. On the outskirts and in new or fringe developments, however, such connectivity is lacking. Much of the latter areas were subdivided and built before being incorporating into the City (if at all), and most of the sidewalk gaps occur here. Developers and builders in unincorporated areas were not required to build sidewalks and they weren't included in the design of these neighborhoods.

There are currently 37.62 miles of sidewalk gaps out of the 196 miles of potential sidewalk mileage within the City limits. This means that slightly less than 20 percent of the potential total mileage for sidewalks (assuming they would be installed on every non-Interstate system street within the City limits) is still missing.

According to the public survey regarding walking in Great Falls, 44 percent of those surveyed believe that the sidewalk network near their home is complete, while 33 percent said that it was mostly complete. Only 8 percent of those surveyed live in an area where sidewalks are spotty at best and 15 percent live where there are no sidewalks at all. Additionally, 71 percent of respondents rated the present quality of pedestrian infrastructure in Great Falls as "Fair".


Some streets don't have any sidewalks

## ADA Ramps

An ADA ramp is an inclined ramp that allows access for those in wheelchairs, with other disabilities (including the elderly), and those pushing carts or strollers to transition gradually and safely between the sidewalk and the street, similar to the way a driveway curb cut allows a car to access a driveway and the roadway.

The City of Great Falls has made a significant effort is creating curb ramps or ADA ramps in recent years.
The Great Falls Transit District ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) advisory committee is currently without effective guidance or leadership, but its role has traditionally been to advise the Board of Trustees or Directors on issues regarding wheelchair access and accommodating and providing services for those with disabilities who use the transit system. In the past, their priority was a curb cut, or ADA ramp, program. Once that began to pick up speed and more ADA ramps were installed on sidewalks, interested members of that committee dwindled and stopped coming to meetings.

### 6.2 Public Meetings

Consultants from Robert Peccia \& Associates and Alta Planning + Design met with stakeholders of the project and with the public in June 2013. The overall sentiment throughout each of the meetings was positive and encouraging. Representatives from governments, non-profits, trails groups, bicycling advocacy groups, and health and recreational organizations were supportive of walking and bicycling as a way to contribute to the local economy, provide mobility and safe transportation choices for Great Falls area residents, and build upon and create a world class trail system.

## Stakeholder Meetings

Consultants met with the following groups during the stakeholder meetings: Get Fit Great Falls, Great Falls Transit District, Great Falls Public Work Department, Montana Department of Transportation, River's Edge Trail (Recreational Trails, Inc.), and the City's Trails Working Group.

Citizens and community groups that met with consultants have specific projects and broad or general goals that they would like to see implemented over coming years. Government representatives would like to implement the same and desire a plan that not only suggests visionary improvements to bicycling and walking in the area but also provides a plan for implementation so that the area is not left with disjointed bikeways as experiments, sidewalks without connectivity to key destinations, or facilities that are less safe than they should be. With such a plan, all parties agree that there will be a vision to follow and with which all parties can move forward.

## Public Open House \#1

At the first public open house for the Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan there were more than 30 in attendance not including City and State government representatives and the project consultants. Attendees were members of the public, representatives from non-profit organizations, avid bicyclists, potential and interested bicyclists and pedestrians, and some with ideas on how to best implement the plan.

After a presentation about the "why" behind the plan, attendees participating in two mapping stations: one dedicated to all roadways and traffic improvements, and one specifically for walking and bicycling. The comments received at these mapping stations (including the maps themselves) reflect the attendees' desired improvements for the City.

### 6.3 Survey Summary

## Bicycling

A public survey was created as part of the active transportation section of this plan in order to collect information about the preferences and key identifiers of different types of people interested in bicycling in the Great Falls area. Of the 298 total respondents to the "Bicycling Survey", 152 of them ( 52 percent) were women, while 142 (48 percent) were men.
The survey was not statistically valid (because of the reach and response) and was distributed and promoted primarily by stakeholder groups in the transportation planning process and advertised in the newspaper.

## Age

28 percent (the largest group of respondents by age) were between 50 and 59 years old. In total, there were only two respondents under 20 years old.

## Education

The most common response when asked about the highest level of education acquired was "Bachelor Degree" with 41 percent of respondents having achieved this level. The next most common response was "Graduate Degree".

## Income

There was a fairly even split between income levels among those surveyed, with about 20-25 percent in each level, except for the $\$ 0-\$ 24,999$ range, which only had 5 percent of the total respondents. The other levels were: $\$ 25,000-\$ 49,999 ; \$ 50,000-\$ 74,999 ; \$ 75,000-\$ 99,999 ;$ and $\$ 100,000$ and above.

## Types of Bicyclists

It is important to consider bicyclists of all skill levels when creating a non-motorized plan or project. Bicyclist skill level greatly influences expected speeds and behavior, both in separated bikeways and on shared roadways. Bicycle infrastructure should accommodate as many user types as possible, with decisions for separate or parallel facilities based on providing a comfortable experience for the greatest number of people. The bicycle planning and engineering professions currently use several systems to classify the population, which can assist in understanding the characteristics and infrastructure preferences of different bicyclists. The most conventional framework classifies the "design cyclist" as 'Experienced and Confident' or 'Casual and Less Confident'. ${ }^{12}$ A more detailed understanding of the US population

[^8]as a whole was developed by planners in Portland, $\mathrm{OR}^{13}$ and supported by data collected nationally since 2005. This classification provides the following alternative categories to address varying attitudes towards bicycling in the US:

Strong and Fearless (approximately 1 percent of population)
Characterized by bicyclists that will typically ride anywhere regardless of roadway conditions or weather. These bicyclists can ride faster than other user types, prefer direct routes and will typically choose roadway connections -- even if shared with vehicles - over separate bikeways such as shared use paths.

## Enthused and Confident (5-10 percent of population)

This user group encompasses bicyclists who are fairly comfortable riding on all types of bikeways but usually choose low traffic streets or shared use paths when available. These bicyclists may deviate from a more direct route in favor of a preferred facility type. This group includes all kinds of bicyclists such as commuters, recreationalists, racers and utilitarian bicyclists.

Interested but Concerned (approximately 60 percent of population)
This user type comprises the bulk of the population and represents bicyclists who typically only ride on low traffic streets or shared use paths under favorable weather conditions. These people perceive significant barriers to increased cycling, specifically traffic and other safety issues. These people may become "Enthused \& Confident" with encouragement, education and experience.

No Way, No How (approximately 30 percent of population)
Persons in this category are not bicyclists, and perceive severe safety issues with riding in traffic. Some people in this group may


Figure 3: Typical Distribution of Bicyclist Types eventually become more regular cyclists with time and education. A significant portion of these people will not ride a bicycle under any circumstances.

[^9]When considering responses from all 298 respondents, they all self-identified as the following types of bicyclists or potential bicyclists:

- Strong and Fearless: 19 percent
- Enthused and Confident: 39 percent
- Interested but Concerned: 34 percent
- No way No how: 8 percent

The 8 percent (or 25 people) who chose the last category not only identified themselves as those who do not and will not ride a bicycle but they also, for the most part, also opposed bicycle infrastructure of any kind and often stated that bicyclists should pay for bike lanes themselves via licensing fees or that federal or state money should be used instead of local money. Only four of the 25 said that local money should be used in concert with state and federal funds.

44 percent of the 152 female respondents answered that they were bicyclists or potential bicyclists that were "Interested but Concerned", whereas only 23 percent of the 142 male respondents considered themselves part of this type of bicyclist. The most common type of self-identified male bicyclist was the "Enthused and Confident" type ( 38.5 percent of the 142).
"Strong and Fearless" male bicyclists came a close second at 31 percent of the 142 respondents. Interestingly, only 7 percent of females surveyed identified themselves in this category.

## Preferred Facilities

Survey takers were given the chance to select which facilities and types of bikeways they preferred or wished to have in their community (on a scale of 1-5, with one being least desirable and five being the most, depending on how much they liked it and how desirable it was). Most of the bikeways types received an average score of 3.5 , but shared use paths received a 4.3 , which is indicative of the fact that Great Falls residents are familiar with this type of facility (River's Edge Trail) and may not be familiar with other types.

## Destinations

When asked what their normal destinations are in Great Falls, respondents showed that trails, open space, and community spaces are among the most visited and cherished. The top 5 destinations among respondents were:

- River’s Edge Trail
- Downtown Great Falls
- Gibson Park
- Giant Springs Interpretive Center
- Riverfront parks


## Funding

An overwhelming majority of those surveyed believe that local funds should be prioritized and complement existing State or Federal funds in order to provide bicycle facilities. 66 percent of them said that they would be willing to pay more taxes, pay more in street assessments, or by local bond to implement a bicycle network more quickly.

## Improving Bicycling

When asked what methods they would prefer in order to improve bicycling in Great Falls, the only choice that received a higher score than 4 (on a scale of 1 to 5 ), was "Maintain existing bike paths" with all other options receiving an average score of 3.6 , the lowest being 3.34 ("Traffic calming to slow cars"). This does not mean that survey respondents don't want bicycling improvements and different methods to accomplish a cohesive system, but it does mean that improving maintenance of existing facilities, especially paths and trails, is the number one priority for them right now.

## Please rate the following potential projects for improving bicycling



## Walking

A public survey dealing with walking and the preferences of pedestrians in the Great Falls area ran concurrently with the Bicycling Survey discussed previously. A total of 192 responses from Great Falls area residents were gathered. Of these 192 people surveyed, $116(61 \%)$ of them were women, while 75 (39\%) were men.

## Age, Education, and Income

The age, education, and income characteristics for this survey were nearly identical to the Bicycling Survey.

## Walking Habits

In addition to demographic information, respondents were also asked about their walking habits. About half (49 percent) of respondents walk a few times per week, the next most common response was " $5+$ times per week" with only a cumulative 10 percent of respondents saying that they walk a few times per month or never.
Most respondents walk primarily for exercise and the next reasons are, in this order: spending time outdoors, transportation to a destination, social visits, and walking to school.

An overwhelming amount of people surveys responded that they currently enjoy walking on the River's Edge Trail, with the next most popular responses being "riverfront parks", "Downtown Great Falls", and "grocery stores".

## Proximity to Destinations

Nearly 50 percent of respondents say that it only takes one to five minutes to walk to a park or playground, 30 percent have a 6-10 minute walk to a small grocery store, and 35 percent have an 11-20 minute walk to a supermarket. There was an even split of about 18 percent of respondents who lived 2130 minutes walking from a supermarket, fast food restaurant, pharmacy, or trail or greenway. Only 10 percent of respondents lived within a one to five minute walk from a trail or greenway.

## Sidewalk Network

44 percent of the 192 respondents believe that the sidewalk network near their home is complete. Additional analysis of this section of the Walking Survey is provided in earlier in section 6 of this memorandum.

## Preference

70 percent of respondents said that they would walk more often if there were more sidewalks, greenway trails, and safe roadway crossings (in that order) according to the preference survey question.

Automobile speed \& traffic, lack of sidewalks \& trails, and a lack of pedestrian crossings at intersections were the top 3 reasons why people surveyed choose not to walk. Connectivity was also a big draw for respondents who said that they would like to see more pedestrian connectivity between neighborhood, shopping centers, park, and other destinations more than any other improvement. Marked crosswalks and sidewalks rounded out the top three.

Interestingly, $10^{\text {th }}$ Ave $S$ and Fox Farm Rd seemed to pop up more than others in open-ended questions that asked for additional thoughts on locations or corridors that could be improved for pedestrians. Respondents cited these as routes and barriers that were difficult to use and were unattractive as a pedestrian.

## Appendix B

Existing Intersection Operations

|  |  | Intersection Level Of Service Report |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Intersection 1:57th St S and 2nd Ave N |  |
| Control Type: | Signalized | Delay (sec / veh): | 21.0 |
| Analysis Method: | HCM 6th Edition | Level Of Service: | C |
| Analysis Period: | 15 minutes | Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.270 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | estboun |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $71 \Gamma$ |  |  | $715$ |  |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | 11F |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 250.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 230.00 | 100.00 | 250.00 | 200.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 400.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Curb Present | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 90 |
| Coordination Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Actuation Type | Fully actuated |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | LeadGreen |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 20 | 45 | 45 | 20 | 45 | 0 | 20 | 45 | 0 | 20 | 45 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 11 | 35 | 35 | 10 | 34 | 0 | 12 | 27 | 0 | 18 | 33 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 54 | 46 | 46 | 54 | 46 | 46 | 26 | 15 | 15 | 26 | 18 | 18 |
| g/C, Green / Cycle | 0.60 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.60 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1157 | 1550 | 1318 | 1112 | 1550 | 1463 | 1238 | 1550 | 1459 | 1180 | 1550 | 1467 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 772 | 798 | 678 | 699 | 784 | 740 | 443 | 259 | 244 | 383 | 303 | 287 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 7.55 | 12.13 | 12.13 | 7.68 | 11.59 | 11.61 | 23.48 | 34.03 | 34.12 | 24.54 | 30.26 | 30.30 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.13 | 0.72 | 0.84 | 0.02 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.11 | 1.39 | 1.56 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.31 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 7.68 | 12.85 | 12.98 | 7.70 | 11.83 | 11.88 | 23.59 | 35.42 | 35.68 | 24.89 | 30.54 | 30.61 |
| Lane Group LOS | A | B | B | A | B | B | C | D | D | C | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/n] | 0.33 | 2.20 | 1.89 | 0.19 | 0.80 | 0.79 | 0.78 | 2.54 | 2.48 | 1.62 | 0.99 | 0.97 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ff} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 8.26 | 54.88 | 47.23 | 4.85 | 20.03 | 19.77 | 19.59 | 63.57 | 61.95 | 40.40 | 24.77 | 24.31 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 0.59 | 3.95 | 3.40 | 0.35 | 1.44 | 1.42 | 1.41 | 4.58 | 4.46 | 2.91 | 1.78 | 1.75 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 14.87 | 98.79 | 85.01 | 8.73 | 36.05 | 35.58 | 35.25 | 114.43 | 111.52 | 72.71 | 44.58 | 43.77 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.68 | 12.85 | 12.98 | 7.70 | 11.85 | 11.88 | 23.59 | 35.52 | 35.68 | 24.89 | 30.57 | 30.61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | A | B | B | A | B | B | C | D | D | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 12.37 |  |  | 11.24 |  |  | 33.53 |  |  | 27.84 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 20.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft2/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 36.45 | 36.45 | 36.45 | 36.45 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection | 2.562 | 2.254 | 2.420 | B |
| Crosswalk LOS | B | B | 2.48 |  |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan_ | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 667 | 644 | 25.69 | 622 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 20.00 | 20.67 | 1.804 | 21.36 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 2.216 | 1.704 | A | 1.730 |
| Bicycle LOS | B | A | A |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



|  |  | Intersection Level Of Service Report |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: | Signalized | Intersection 1: 57th St S and 2nd Ave N |  |
| Analysis Method: | HCM 6th Edition (sec / veh): | Level Of Service: | 21.7 |
| Analysis Period: | 15 minutes | Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.321 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | estboun |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $71 \Gamma$ |  |  | $715$ |  |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | 11F |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 250.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 230.00 | 100.00 | 250.00 | 200.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 400.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Curb Present | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 90 |
| Coordination Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Actuation Type | Fully actuated |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | LeadGreen |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 20 | 45 | 45 | 20 | 45 | 0 | 20 | 45 | 0 | 20 | 45 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 10 | 21 | 21 | 10 | 21 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 38 | 48 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 47 | 39 | 39 | 47 | 38 | 38 | 34 | 15 | 15 | 34 | 26 | 26 |
| g/C, Green / Cycle | 0.52 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1027 | 1550 | 1318 | 1132 | 1550 | 1430 | 1005 | 1550 | 1359 | 1301 | 1550 | 1459 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 570 | 678 | 576 | 615 | 646 | 596 | 400 | 253 | 221 | 552 | 451 | 424 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 11.29 | 16.10 | 15.82 | 10.97 | 17.20 | 17.27 | 18.47 | 33.15 | 33.30 | 21.40 | 25.83 | 25.86 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.37 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.02 | 0.97 | 1.10 | 0.06 | 0.61 | 0.79 | 0.57 | 0.62 | 0.66 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.11 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.03 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 11.66 | 17.02 | 16.70 | 10.99 | 18.17 | 18.37 | 18.54 | 33.77 | 34.10 | 21.97 | 26.44 | 26.52 |
| Lane Group LOS | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | C | C | C | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.63 | 2.36 | 1.71 | 0.20 | 2.36 | 2.27 | 0.33 | 1.41 | 1.36 | 3.89 | 3.26 | 3.10 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ff/ln] | 15.87 | 59.10 | 42.82 | 5.00 | 59.03 | 56.86 | 8.34 | 35.22 | 34.08 | 97.33 | 81.41 | 77.46 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 1.14 | 4.26 | 3.08 | 0.36 | 4.25 | 4.09 | 0.60 | 2.54 | 2.45 | 7.01 | 5.86 | 5.58 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 28.57 | 106.38 | 77.07 | 9.00 | 106.25 | 102.34 | 15.01 | 63.39 | 61.34 | 175.20 | 146.54 | 139.43 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 11.66 | 17.02 | 16.70 | 10.99 | 18.24 | 18.37 | 18.54 | 33.82 | 34.10 | 21.97 | 26.47 | 26.52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | C | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 16.02 |  |  | 17.83 |  |  | 31.61 |  |  | 24.66 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 21.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.321 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft²/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 36.45 | 36.45 | 36.45 | 36.45 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection | 2.616 | 2.292 | 2.457 | B |
| Crosswalk LOS | B | B | B |  |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan_ | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 356 | 356 | 356 | 956 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 30.42 | 30.42 | 30.42 | 1.697 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 2.155 | 1.846 | A | 2.065 |
| Bicycle LOS | B | A | B |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 2: 10th Ave S and 20th St S
Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
Signalized
HCM 6 th Edition
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 14.2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.464 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $71$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\text { 7 } 1 \text { F }$ |  |  | 7\\| |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 275.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 275.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Curb Present | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 130 |
| Coordination Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Actuation Type | Fixed time |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | LeadGreen |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 31 | 31 | 31 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.10 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1152 | 1352 | 1141 | 408 | 2887 | 1432 | 332 | 2937 | 1517 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 154 | 322 | 309 | 278 | 1977 | 980 | 225 | 2011 | 1039 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 43.51 | 40.38 | 45.21 | 13.76 | 9.68 | 9.68 | 17.11 | 8.98 | 8.98 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 7.04 | 2.15 | 5.36 | 0.29 | 0.86 | 1.72 | 1.32 | 0.62 | 1.19 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.48 | 0.04 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.14 | 0.41 | 0.41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 50.54 | 42.53 | 50.57 | 14.05 | 10.54 | 11.40 | 18.42 | 9.59 | 10.17 |
| Lane Group LOS | D | D | D | B | B | B | B | A | B |
| Critical Lane Group | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| (voth-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 1.98 | 2.57 | 4.84 | 0.19 | 6.34 | 6.53 | 0.60 | 5.02 | 5.36 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 49.45 | 64.15 | 121.05 | 4.73 | 158.58 | 163.17 | 15.10 | 125.55 | 133.91 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 3.56 | 4.62 | 8.45 | 0.34 | 10.47 | 10.72 | 1.09 | 8.70 | 9.15 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{n}]$ | 89.01 | 115.46 | 211.26 | 8.51 | 261.84 | 267.92 | 27.18 | 217.43 | 228.81 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 50.54 | 42.53 | 42.53 | 50.57 | 50.57 | 50.57 | 14.05 | 10.75 | 11.40 | 18.42 | 9.78 | 10.17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | D | D | D | D | D | B | B | B | B | A | B |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 45.71 |  |  | 50.57 |  |  | 10.85 |  |  | 10.01 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 14.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.464 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft2/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 56.31 | 56.31 | 56.31 | 3.079 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersectign | 2.137 | 1.869 | C | 3.057 |
| Crosswalk LOS | B | A | C |  |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 477 | 477 | 6.47 | 1369 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 37.70 | 37.70 | 2.355 | 6.47 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 1.805 | 1.807 | B | 2.263 |
| Bicycle LOS | A | A | B |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 6 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| SG: 2 36s | SG: 4 94s |
| :---: | :---: |
| SG: 102 15s | SG: 104 15s |
| SG: 6 36s | SG: 8 94s |
| SG: 106 15s | SG: 108 15s |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

 Intersection 2: 10th Ave S and 20th St SControl Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
Signalized
HCM 6 th Edition
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 21.9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.535 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $71$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 7\\| |  |  | 7\\|F |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 275.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 275.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Curb Present | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 115 |
| Coordination Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Actuation Type | Fixed time |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | LeadGreen |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 41 | 41 | 41 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.36 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1184 | 1426 | 1172 | 282 | 2954 | 1494 | 344 | 2954 | 1539 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 313 | 508 | 459 | 143 | 1644 | 831 | 176 | 1644 | 857 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 35.56 | 26.34 | 28.52 | 30.93 | 16.63 | 16.63 | 28.50 | 17.75 | 17.75 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 10.16 | 1.30 | 1.76 | 1.46 | 1.47 | 2.88 | 2.33 | 2.03 | 3.85 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.65 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.10 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 0.65 | 0.65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 45.72 | 27.64 | 30.28 | 32.39 | 18.10 | 19.51 | 30.84 | 19.78 | 21.60 |
| Lane Group LOS | D | C | C | C | B | B | C | B | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 5.94 | 2.86 | 3.29 | 0.37 | 8.17 | 8.60 | 0.79 | 9.96 | 10.81 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 148.39 | 71.55 | 82.19 | 9.34 | 204.36 | 214.90 | 19.74 | 248.95 | 270.35 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 9.93 | 5.15 | 5.92 | 0.67 | 12.86 | 13.40 | 1.42 | 15.13 | 16.21 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 248.28 | 128.79 | 147.95 | 16.82 | 321.59 | 335.11 | 35.53 | 378.33 | 405.17 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 45.72 | 27.64 | 27.64 | 30.28 | 30.28 | 30.28 | 32.39 | 18.50 | 19.51 | 30.84 | 20.39 | 21.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | C | C | C | C | C | C | B | B | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 38.46 |  |  | 30.28 |  |  | 18.72 |  |  | 20.61 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 21.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.535 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft2/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 48.85 | 48.85 | 48.85 | 38.85 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersectign | 2.175 | 1.866 | C | 3.107 |
| Crosswalk LOS | B | A | C |  |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 713 | 713 | 1113 | 1113 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 23.81 | 23.81 | 11.31 | 11.31 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 2.122 | 1.796 | B | 2.375 |
| Bicycle LOS | B | A | B |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 6 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| SG: 2 46s | SG: 4 69s |
| :---: | :---: |
| SG: $102 \quad 15$ s | SG: $104 \quad 15 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| SG: 6 46s | SG: $8 \mathrm{69s}$ |
| SG: 106 15s | SG: $108 \quad 15 \mathrm{~s}$ |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

## Intersection 3: 10th Ave S and 6th St SW/Fox Farm Rd

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 6th Edition 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
37.6

Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):
0.894

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $11$ |  |  | $1 \\|$ |  |  | IIr |  |  | 1才Ir |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 150.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 500.00 | 100.00 | 300.00 | 300.00 | 100.00 | 300.00 | 525.00 | 100.00 | 500.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Curb Present | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 110 |
| Coordination Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Actuation Type | Fully actuated |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | LeadGreen |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 15 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 15 | 60 | 60 | 20 | 60 | 60 | 20 | 60 | 60 | 20 | 60 | 60 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All red [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Split [s] | 10 | 20 | 20 | 12 | 22 | 22 | 17 | 20 | 20 | 58 | 61 | 61 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Rest In Walk |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Recall | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Maximum Recall | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pedestrian Recall | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | C | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 51 | 39 | 39 | 51 | 41 | 41 | 12 | 35 | 35 | 8 | 32 | 32 |
| g/C, Green / Cycle | 0.47 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.47 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.11 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.08 | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0.04 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 818 | 1399 | 1277 | 1146 | 2974 | 1334 | 1482 | 2897 | 1314 | 2825 | 2877 | 1273 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 491 | 500 | 456 | 532 | 1117 | 501 | 160 | 926 | 420 | 218 | 832 | 368 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 21.41 | 24.44 | 25.46 | 20.32 | 22.96 | 31.56 | 48.29 | 29.64 | 28.37 | 49.69 | 37.64 | 28.94 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 1.76 | 0.88 | 1.68 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 16.56 | 12.21 | 0.33 | 0.43 | 4.61 | 3.93 | 0.16 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.33 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.44 | 0.32 | 0.73 | 0.90 | 0.13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 23.17 | 25.32 | 27.14 | 20.40 | 23.29 | 48.13 | 60.50 | 29.97 | 28.80 | 54.29 | 41.57 | 29.10 |
| Lane Group LOS | C | C | C | C | C | D | E | C | C | D | D | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/n] | 2.85 | 1.89 | 2.78 | 0.78 | 1.73 | 12.47 | 4.23 | 4.29 | 2.72 | 2.27 | 10.02 | 0.98 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ff/ln] | 71.15 | 47.14 | 69.56 | 19.61 | 43.30 | 311.77 | 105.64 | 107.15 | 68.12 | 56.85 | 250.54 | 24.45 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 5.12 | 3.39 | 5.01 | 1.41 | 3.12 | 18.26 | 7.60 | 7.68 | 4.90 | 4.09 | 15.21 | 1.76 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 128.07 | 84.86 | 125.21 | 35.30 | 77.93 | 456.56 | 189.91 | 192.03 | 122.62 | 102.33 | 380.33 | 44.00 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 23.17 | 25.32 | 27.14 | 20.40 | 23.29 | 48.13 | 60.50 | 29.97 | 28.80 | 54.29 | 41.57 | 29.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | C | C | C | C | C | D | E | C | C | D | D | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 25.08 |  |  | 38.94 |  |  | 35.91 |  |  | 43.04 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 37.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.894 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft2/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 46.37 | 46.37 | 46.37 | 46.37 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersectign | 2.483 | 2.619 | B | C |
| Crosswalk LOS | B | B | C |  |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan_ | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 273 | 309 | 273 | 1018 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 41.02 | 39.31 | 41.02 | 13.25 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 1.885 | 2.112 | B | B |
| Bicycle LOS | A | B | B |  |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report

## Intersection 3: 10th Ave S and 6th St SW/Fox Farm Rd

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 6th Edition 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
49.7

Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):

D
0.984

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $11$ |  |  | $1 \\|$ |  |  | IIr |  |  | 1才Ir |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 150.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 500.00 | 100.00 | 300.00 | 300.00 | 100.00 | 300.00 | 525.00 | 100.00 | 500.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Curb Present | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 135 |
| Coordination Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Actuation Type | Fully actuated |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | LeadGreen |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 15 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 15 | 60 | 60 | 20 | 60 | 60 | 20 | 60 | 60 | 20 | 60 | 60 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All red [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Split [s] | 10 | 37 | 37 | 11 | 38 | 38 | 67 | 63 | 63 | 24 | 20 | 20 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Rest In Walk |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Recall | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Maximum Recall | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pedestrian Recall | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | C | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 45 | 34 | 34 | 45 | 35 | 35 | 44 | 63 | 63 | 12 | 31 | 31 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.06 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 827 | 1419 | 1327 | 976 | 2985 | 1339 | 1498 | 2937 | 1316 | 2882 | 2915 | 1339 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 366 | 356 | 333 | 216 | 771 | 346 | 492 | 1382 | 619 | 248 | 664 | 305 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 40.40 | 46.34 | 49.63 | 37.54 | 39.22 | 44.60 | 44.27 | 27.10 | 22.60 | 60.64 | 51.10 | 42.96 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.23 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 4.57 | 12.28 | 36.84 | 0.82 | 0.61 | 9.05 | 17.96 | 0.50 | 0.33 | 6.41 | 6.54 | 0.48 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.49 | 0.73 | 0.94 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.65 | 0.95 | 0.64 | 0.35 | 0.81 | 0.93 | 0.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 44.97 | 58.62 | 86.47 | 38.37 | 39.83 | 53.65 | 62.24 | 27.61 | 22.93 | 67.05 | 57.64 | 43.44 |
| Lane Group LOS | D | E | F | D | D | D | E | C | C | E | E | D |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 3.61 | 9.20 | 13.77 | 1.75 | 2.16 | 7.57 | 17.67 | 10.87 | 4.40 | 3.63 | 10.83 | 2.38 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 90.33 | 229.99 | 344.34 | 43.79 | 53.94 | 189.36 | 441.74 | 271.68 | 109.90 | 90.75 | 270.86 | 59.49 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 6.50 | 14.17 | 19.86 | 3.15 | 3.88 | 12.09 | 24.56 | 16.27 | 7.83 | 6.53 | 16.23 | 4.28 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 162.60 | 354.35 | 496.50 | 78.82 | 97.10 | 302.20 | 614.08 | 406.83 | 195.87 | 163.35 | 405.82 | 107.08 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 44.97 | 57.74 | 86.47 | 38.37 | 39.83 | 53.65 | 62.24 | 27.61 | 22.93 | 67.05 | 57.64 | 43.44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | E | F | D | D | D | E | C | C | E | E | D |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 67.03 |  |  | 46.47 |  |  | 37.32 |  |  | 58.42 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 49.71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft2/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 58.80 | 58.80 | 58.80 | 38.80 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersectign | 2.579 | 2.682 | C | 2.999 |
| Crosswalk LOS | B | B | C |  |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 474 | 489 | 859 | 222 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 39.29 | 38.53 | 21.96 | 23.33 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 2.179 | 1.932 | C | 2.305 |
| Bicycle LOS | B | A | B |  |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#11: Vaughn Rd and l-15 SB

 Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

| Delay $(\mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}):$ | 10.1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.260 |

0.260

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 219 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 12 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.60 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 11.10 | 8.30 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 219 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 12 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.8830 | 0.2500 | 1.0000 | 0.8440 | 0.7500 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 62 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 248 | 4 | 0 | 32 | 16 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Prority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 10.11 | 9.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | B | A |  | A | A |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.06 | 1.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 26.50 | 26.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 10.10 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 8.49 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#11: Vaughn Rd and I-15 SB

 Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

| Delay (sec / veh): | 10.1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.177 |

10.1
0.177

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 143 | 1 | 0 | 53 | 50 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 7.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 7.60 | 4.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 143 | 1 | 0 | 53 | 50 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9410 | 0.2500 | 1.0000 | 0.7790 | 0.8930 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 38 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 14 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 152 | 4 | 0 | 68 | 56 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 10.11 | 9.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | B | A |  | A | A |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 16.44 | 16.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 10.09 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 5.62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#12: Vaughn Rd and I-15 NB

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 7.3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.000 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 237 | 19 | 76 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.00 | 5.30 | 14.50 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 237 | 19 | 76 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 0.8590 | 0.5940 | 0.8260 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 69 | 8 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 276 | 32 | 92 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Prority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#12: Vaughn Rd and l-15 NB

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 7.3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.000 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 165 | 55 | 334 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 6.10 | 1.80 | 4.80 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 165 | 55 | 334 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 0.7500 | 0.8090 | 0.9180 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 55 | 17 | 91 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 220 | 68 | 364 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Prority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |


\section*{Intersection Level Of Service Report \#8: Central Ave and I15 SB <br> 0.499 <br> | Delay (sec / veh): | 28.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | D |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.499 |}

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |  | hwestbo |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $\dagger \Gamma$ |  |  | I/ |  |  | 111 |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 130 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 191 | 39 | 123 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 3.10 | 0.00 | 6.50 | 11.30 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 130 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 191 | 39 | 123 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.8550 | 1.0000 | 0.7500 | 1.0000 | 0.6920 | 0.7500 | 0.7690 | 0.8150 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 38 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 69 | 13 | 40 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 152 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 276 | 52 | 160 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 28.03 | 27.54 | 8.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | D | D | A |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 2.63 | 2.63 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 65.65 | 65.65 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 27.07 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 4.94 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 7.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


\section*{Intersection Level Of Service Report \#8: Central Ave and I15 SB <br> | Delay (sec / veh): | 42.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | E |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.432 |}

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |  | hwestbo |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $\dagger \Gamma$ |  |  | I/ |  |  | 111 |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 66 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 166 | 30 | 230 | 299 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 6.50 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 66 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 166 | 30 | 230 | 299 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9170 | 1.0000 | 0.7500 | 1.0000 | 0.8470 | 0.8330 | 0.8980 | 0.8690 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 18 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 49 | 9 | 64 | 86 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 72 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 196 | 36 | 256 | 344 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 42.03 | 39.90 | 10.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | E | E | B |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.96 | 1.96 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 48.88 | 48.88 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 38.84 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 3.54 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 5.73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#9: Central Ave and I-15 NB



Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

| Delay (sec / veh): | 19.9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.080 |C

0.080

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $T$ |  |  | $11$ |  |  | $\$ 11$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 15 | 0 | 177 | 6 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 202 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.80 | 16.70 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 11.40 | 13.60 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 15 | 0 | 177 | 6 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 202 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.5360 | 1.0000 | 0.8510 | 0.7500 | 0.7190 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8420 | 0.7330 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 7 | 0 | 52 | 2 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 28 | 0 | 208 | 8 | 424 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 19.87 | 19.21 | 15.45 | 7.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | C | C | C | A | A |  |  | A | A |  |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 2.07 | 2.07 | 2.07 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 51.73 | 51.73 | 51.73 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 15.98 |  |  | 0.15 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 3.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#9: Central Ave and I-15 NB



Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

| Delay (sec / veh): | 29.1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | D |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.303 |

0.303

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $T$ |  |  | $11$ |  |  | $\$ 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 57 | 0 | 170 | 5 | 249 | 0 | 0 | 471 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 1.80 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 4.60 | 0.90 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 57 | 0 | 170 | 5 | 249 | 0 | 0 | 471 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.7130 | 1.0000 | 0.7590 | 0.4170 | 0.8650 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9350 | 0.8310 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 20 | 0 | 56 | 3 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 80 | 0 | 224 | 12 | 288 | 0 | 0 | 504 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 29.07 | 27.04 | 20.30 | 8.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | D | D | C | A | A |  |  | A | A |  |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 3.98 | 3.98 | 3.98 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 99.39 | 99.39 | 99.39 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 22.61 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 5.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection Level Of Service Report \#10: Central Ave and Vaughn Rd

| Delay (sec / veh): | 27.1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | $D$ |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.377 |

0.377

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 77 | 60 | 71 | 410 | 184 | 65 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 9.10 | 6.70 | 7.00 | 5.10 | 11.40 | 6.20 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 77 | 60 | 71 | 410 | 184 | 65 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.7700 | 0.7890 | 0.8450 | 0.8010 | 0.8520 | 0.7740 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 25 | 19 | 21 | 128 | 54 | 21 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 100 | 76 | 84 | 512 | 216 | 84 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 27.07 | 18.19 | 8.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | D | C | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 2.47 | 2.47 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 61.70 | 61.70 | 5.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 23.23 |  | 1.15 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 4.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection Level Of Service Report \#10: Central Ave and Vaughn Rd

| Delay (sec / veh): | 65.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | F |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.576 |

F
0.576

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 68 | 121 | 66 | 361 | 462 | 76 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.90 | 1.60 | 1.50 | 4.00 | 3.40 | 2.60 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 68 | 121 | 66 | 361 | 462 | 76 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.6540 | 0.9450 | 0.7500 | 0.7910 | 0.8680 | 0.7310 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 26 | 32 | 22 | 114 | 133 | 26 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 104 | 128 | 88 | 456 | 532 | 104 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.58 | 0.25 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 65.02 | 52.12 | 9.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | F | F | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 6.75 | 6.75 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 168.80 | 168.80 | 7.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 57.91 |  | 1.48 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 10.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report <br> \#6: 14th St SW and I-315 WB

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 23.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.254 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | Vestbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \mid$ |  |  | $7 F$ |  |  | $\stackrel{H}{t}$ |  |  | H\| |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Offset [s] | Semi-actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permiss | Permiss | Overlap | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Group | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Lead / Lag | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 35 | 40 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 25 | 19 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | no | no |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | no | no |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | no | no |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Version 2.00-10
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | C | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| 11_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 11 | 11 | 27 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 11 | 11 |
| $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{C}$, Green / Cycle | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.45 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| (v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.03 |
| s , saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1019 | 1710 | 1392 | 1181 | 1685 | 1527 | 1636 | 1454 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 178 | 321 | 624 | 283 | 316 | 48 | 290 | 257 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 27.05 | 20.04 | 9.94 | 22.89 | 22.00 | 28.67 | 23.49 | 20.99 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 1.40 | 10.79 | 4.15 | 0.34 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp , platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.53 | 0.58 | 0.76 | 0.18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 27.24 | 20.13 | 10.07 | 23.06 | 23.41 | 39.47 | 27.64 | 21.33 |
| Lane Group LOS | C | C | B | C | C | D | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.79 | 0.39 | 2.12 | 0.52 | 3.10 | 0.55 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 4.78 | 5.84 | 19.74 | 9.76 | 53.01 | 13.05 | 77.54 | 13.75 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.34 | 0.42 | 1.42 | 0.70 | 3.82 | 0.94 | 5.58 | 0.99 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 8.60 | 10.51 | 35.54 | 17.57 | 95.41 | 23.49 | 139.58 | 24.76 |

Version 2.00-10
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 27.24 | 20.13 | 10.07 | 23.06 | 23.41 | 23.41 | 39.47 | 39.47 | 39.47 | 27.64 | 27.64 | 21.33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | C | C | B | C | C | C | D | D | D | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 13.14 |  |  | 23.35 |  |  | 39.47 |  |  | 26.53 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 23.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.254 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report <br> \#6: 14th St SW and I-315 WB

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 19.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.536 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | Vestbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $71 \Gamma$ |  |  | $71$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  | 22 | 131 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 19 | 638 | 12 | 142 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 5 | 76 | 146 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 40.00 | 6.60 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 2.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.80 | 1.80 | 8.30 | 4.20 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 5 | 76 | 146 | 22 | 131 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 19 | 638 | 12 | 142 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 19 | 37 | 6 | 33 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 161 | 3 | 36 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 5 | 77 | 148 | 22 | 133 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 19 | 646 | 12 | 144 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | no |  | no | no |  | no | no |  | no | no |  | no |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate $/$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Offset [s] | Semi-actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permiss | Permiss | Overlap | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Group | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Lead / Lag | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 35 | 40 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 25 | 19 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | no | no |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | no | no |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | no | no |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Version 2.00-10
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | C | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| 11_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 10 | 10 | 44 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 29 | 29 |
| $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{C}$, Green / Cycle | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.73 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| (v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.44 | 0.10 |
| s , saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 819 | 1604 | 1443 | 1209 | 1667 | 1514 | 1505 | 1395 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 164 | 265 | 1050 | 223 | 275 | 46 | 721 | 668 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 27.03 | 21.97 | 2.49 | 25.56 | 22.75 | 28.72 | 14.48 | 9.09 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.07 | 0.60 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 1.35 | 11.38 | 8.39 | 0.16 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp , platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.03 | 0.29 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.91 | 0.22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 27.10 | 22.57 | 2.55 | 25.75 | 24.11 | 40.09 | 22.87 | 9.25 |
| Lane Group LOS | C | C | A | C | C | D | C | A |
| Critical Lane Group | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.07 | 0.94 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 1.72 | 0.51 | 8.46 | 0.96 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 1.71 | 23.40 | 7.27 | 7.21 | 43.07 | 12.75 | 211.56 | 24.03 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.12 | 1.68 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 3.10 | 0.92 | 13.23 | 1.73 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 3.07 | 42.12 | 13.09 | 12.99 | 77.53 | 22.96 | 330.84 | 43.26 |

Version 2.00-10
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 27.10 | 22.57 | 2.55 | 25.75 | 24.11 | 24.11 | 40.09 | 40.09 | 40.09 | 22.87 | 22.87 | 9.25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | C | C | A | C | C | C | D | D | D | C | C | A |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 9.78 |  |  | 24.34 |  |  | 40.09 |  |  | 20.42 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 19.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.536 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report <br> \#5: 14th St SW and I-315 EB

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 14.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.175 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $71 \Gamma$ |  |  | $71 \Gamma$ |  |  | $71 \Gamma$ |  |  | $11 \Gamma$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Offset [s] | Semi-actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permiss | Permiss | Overlap | Permiss | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Group | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Lead / Lag | - | - | - | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 60 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 22 | 18 | 0 | 22 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 0 | 18 | 20 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | no | no |  | no | no | no | no |  | no | no |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | no | no |  | no | no | no | no |  | no | no |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | no | no |  | no | no | no | no |  | no | no |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Version 2.00-10

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 15 | 15 | 34 | 15 | 15 | 33 | 28 | 12 | 12 | 28 | 10 | 10 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.57 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.54 | 0.47 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.47 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1140 | 1872 | 1588 | 1294 | 1820 | 1538 | 1631 | 1822 | 1615 | 1432 | 1839 | 1615 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 299 | 452 | 912 | 342 | 439 | 836 | 920 | 360 | 319 | 797 | 307 | 270 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 21.49 | 18.04 | 6.95 | 23.72 | 18.38 | 6.55 | 8.80 | 20.24 | 19.36 | 8.71 | 21.23 | 20.89 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 1.13 | 0.30 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.32 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.03 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 21.52 | 18.22 | 7.21 | 24.85 | 18.67 | 6.60 | 8.83 | 20.56 | 19.38 | 8.72 | 21.39 | 20.92 |
| Lane Group LOS | C | B | A | C | B | A | A | C | B | A | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.09 | 0.84 | 1.92 | 2.26 | 1.18 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.94 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.07 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 2.32 | 20.94 | 47.91 | 56.41 | 29.43 | 9.15 | 8.37 | 23.62 | 1.09 | 3.74 | 10.46 | 1.72 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.17 | 1.51 | 3.45 | 4.06 | 2.12 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 1.70 | 0.08 | 0.27 | 0.75 | 0.12 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 4.18 | 37.70 | 86.24 | 101.54 | 52.97 | 16.46 | 15.06 | 42.51 | 1.95 | 6.74 | 18.82 | 3.09 |

Version 2.00-10
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 21.52 | 18.22 | 7.21 | 24.85 | 18.67 | 6.60 | 8.83 | 20.56 | 19.38 | 8.72 | 21.39 | 20.92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | C | B | A | C | B | A | A | C | B | A | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 9.51 |  |  | 19.20 |  |  | 16.09 |  |  | 16.74 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 14.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.175 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 2 | 7 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 6 | 3 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report <br> \#5: 14th St SW and I-315 EB

## Control Type: <br> Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay $(\mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}):$ | 13.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.368 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $71 \Gamma$ |  |  | 1\| |  |  | 71F |  |  | 1 1 F |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  | 95 | 396 | 262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 13 | 82 | 260 |  |  |  | 107 | 168 | 10 | 102 | 50 | 31 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 2.40 | 1.20 | 4.30 | 1.30 | 0.40 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 12.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 13 | 82 | 260 | 95 | 396 | 262 | 107 | 168 | 10 | 102 | 50 | 31 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 3 | 22 | 69 | 25 | 106 | 70 | 29 | 45 | 3 | 27 | 13 | 8 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 14 | 87 | 277 | 101 | 422 | 279 | 114 | 179 | 11 | 109 | 53 | 33 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | no |  | no | no |  | no | no |  | no | no |  | no |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate $/$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Offset [s] | Semi-actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permiss | Permiss | Overlap | Permiss | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Group | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Lead / Lag | - | - | - | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 45 | 0 | 20 | 45 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 22 | 18 | 0 | 22 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 0 | 18 | 20 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | no | no |  | no | no | no | no |  | no | no |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | no | no |  | no | no | no | no |  | no | no |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | no | no |  | no | no | no | no |  | no | no |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Version 2.00-10

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 18 | 18 | 38 | 18 | 18 | 38 | 32 | 14 | 14 | 32 | 14 | 14 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.64 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.64 | 0.54 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.54 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 980 | 1855 | 1596 | 1276 | 1876 | 1609 | 1573 | 1900 | 1615 | 1497 | 1900 | 1430 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 181 | 566 | 1018 | 416 | 572 | 1027 | 1004 | 459 | 390 | 897 | 459 | 345 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 26.77 | 15.19 | 4.75 | 19.22 | 18.69 | 4.75 | 6.76 | 19.06 | 17.38 | 6.92 | 17.75 | 17.67 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.30 | 1.88 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.54 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.12 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.74 | 0.27 | 0.11 | 0.39 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 26.95 | 15.32 | 4.89 | 19.52 | 20.56 | 4.89 | 6.81 | 19.60 | 17.41 | 6.98 | 17.86 | 17.79 |
| Lane Group LOS | C | B | A | B | C | A | A | B | B | A | B | B |
| Critical Lane Group | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.19 | 0.81 | 1.09 | 1.12 | 5.03 | 1.10 | 0.59 | 2.00 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 0.55 | 0.34 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 4.75 | 20.31 | 27.29 | 28.03 | 125.69 | 27.47 | 14.87 | 49.98 | 2.78 | 14.22 | 13.67 | 8.53 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.34 | 1.46 | 1.96 | 2.02 | 8.70 | 1.98 | 1.07 | 3.60 | 0.20 | 1.02 | 0.98 | 0.61 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 8.55 | 36.56 | 49.12 | 50.46 | 217.62 | 49.44 | 26.77 | 89.97 | 5.01 | 25.60 | 24.60 | 15.36 |

Version 2.00-10
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 26.95 | 15.32 | 4.89 | 19.52 | 20.56 | 4.89 | 6.81 | 19.60 | 17.41 | 6.98 | 17.86 | 17.79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | C | B | A | B | C | A | A | B | B | A | B | B |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.11 |  |  | 14.98 |  |  | 14.72 |  |  | 11.77 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 13.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.368 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 2 | 7 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 6 | 3 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#4: I-15 SB Off and Airport RD Frontage



Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
12.7

B
0.272

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | theastbo |  |  | thwestbo |  |  | hwestbo |  |  | theastbo |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $T$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $F$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 5 | 0 | 44 | 159 | 54 | 96 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 4 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 2.00 | 11.30 | 10.10 | 7.40 | 3.10 | 12.50 | 8.30 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 0.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 5 | 0 | 44 | 159 | 54 | 96 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 4 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.4170 | 1.0000 | 0.5240 | 0.8110 | 0.9000 | 0.7060 | 0.4000 | 0.7500 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.7690 | 0.5000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 3 | 0 | 21 | 49 | 15 | 34 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 2 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 12 | 0 | 84 | 196 | 60 | 136 | 20 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 8 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Stop | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no | no |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 10.78 | 0.00 | 9.10 | 12.67 | 12.44 | 8.90 | 7.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | B |  | A | B | B | A | A | A |  |  | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 0.44 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 8.59 | 0.00 | 8.59 | 39.68 | 39.68 | 11.00 | 1.87 | 1.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 9.31 |  |  | 11.33 |  |  | 4.15 |  |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 9.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#4: I-15 SB Off and Airport RD Frontage

 Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
0.660

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $T$ |  |  | $\dagger \Gamma$ |  |  | $4$ |  |  | $F$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 55 | 217 | 26 | 47 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 286 | 1 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 2.00 | 1.80 | 18.90 | 11.50 | 2.10 | 37.50 | 6.70 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [v | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 55 | 217 | 26 | 47 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 286 | 1 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.7240 | 0.8350 | 0.7220 | 0.6910 | 0.6670 | 0.7500 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6810 | 0.2500 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 19 | 65 | 9 | 17 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 1 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 76 | 260 | 36 | 68 | 12 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 420 | 4 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Stop | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.66 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 14.08 | 0.00 | 11.47 | 35.33 | 33.80 | 8.64 | 8.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | B |  | B | E | D | A | A | A |  |  | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 5.82 | 5.82 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 10.19 | 0.00 | 10.19 | 145.42 | 145.42 | 5.15 | 2.56 | 2.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 11.47 |  |  | 30.19 |  |  | 3.29 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 13.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#3: I-15 SB On and Airport RD

 Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

| Delay (sec / veh): | 8.6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.046 |

0.046

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 32 | 23 | 251 | 6 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 43.80 | 21.70 | 14.00 | 16.70 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 32 | 23 | 251 | 6 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6670 | 0.6390 | 0.8720 | 0.3750 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 72 | 4 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 48 | 36 | 288 | 16 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Prority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS |  |  | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.49 | 6.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  | 4.90 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 1.06 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#3: l-15 SB On and Airport RD



Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

| Delay (sec / veh): | 11.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.063 |

0.063

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 25 | 21 | 542 | 14 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 64.00 | 19.10 | 7.30 | 0.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 25 | 21 | 542 | 14 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6250 | 0.7500 | 0.7450 | 0.7000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 182 | 5 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 40 | 28 | 728 | 20 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS |  |  | B | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.91 | 8.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  | 6.49 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#2: I-15 NB and Airport Rd

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 16.9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.000 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{F}{F}$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Stop | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 14.89 | 16.91 | 10.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | B | C | B |  |  |  |  | A | A | A | A |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 3.34 | 3.34 | 3.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.85 | 25.85 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 11.69 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 3.09 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 1.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#2: I-15 NB and Airport Rd

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 55.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | F |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.053 |

Intersection Setup


## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 2 | 2 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 197 | 307 | 236 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 47.40 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 40.40 | 20.80 | 0.70 | 17.40 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 2 | 2 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 197 | 307 | 236 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | 0.7750 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6910 | 0.8210 | 0.6910 | 0.8680 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 60 | 111 | 68 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 4 | 4 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 240 | 444 | 272 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Stop | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 48.66 | 55.37 | 12.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | E | F | B |  |  |  |  | A | A | A | A |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.74 | 3.74 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 13.96 | 13.96 | 13.96 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 93.56 | 93.56 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 19.19 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 5.83 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 4.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report <br> \#1: Tri Hill and Frontage Airport Rd

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Delay (sec / veh): } & 13.5 \\
\text { Level Of Service: } & \text { B } \\
\text { Volume to Capacity (v/c): } & 0.202
\end{array}
$$

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 83 | 19 | 9 | 189 | 97 | 88 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 21.70 | 31.10 | 22.20 | 28.60 | 25.70 | 5.70 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 83 | 19 | 9 | 189 | 97 | 88 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.7410 | 0.4750 | 0.5630 | 0.8750 | 0.9330 | 0.7590 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 28 | 10 | 4 | 54 | 26 | 29 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 112 | 40 | 16 | 216 | 104 | 116 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 13.48 | 11.42 | 7.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | B | B | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 24.73 | 24.73 | 0.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 12.93 |  | 0.55 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 3.47 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report <br> \#1: Tri Hill and Frontage Airport Rd

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Delay (sec / veh): } & 14.5 \\
\text { Level Of Service: } & \text { B } \\
\text { Volume to Capacity }(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}): & 0.256
\end{array}
$$

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 75 | 7 | 9 | 160 | 207 | 70 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.70 | 0.00 | 22.20 | 33.80 | 18.90 | 15.80 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 75 | 7 | 9 | 160 | 207 | 70 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.5680 | 0.4380 | 0.7500 | 0.8000 | 0.8480 | 0.8330 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 33 | 4 | 3 | 50 | 61 | 21 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 132 | 16 | 12 | 200 | 244 | 84 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 14.52 | 12.30 | 8.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | B | B | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.12 | 1.12 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 28.04 | 28.04 | 0.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 14.28 |  | 0.47 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 3.22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }_{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{4}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{4}$ | 性 |  |  | А1 |  |
| Volume（veh／h） | 14 | 324 | 79 | 11 | 132 | 201 | 66 | 223 | 43 | 362 | 179 | 15 |
| Number | 7 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1776 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 18 | 405 | 99 | 14 | 165 | 251 | 82 | 279 | 54 | 452 | 224 | 19 |
| Adj No．of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Cap，veh／h | 343 | 537 | 457 | 210 | 531 | 826 | 244 | 408 | 78 | 419 | 400 | 34 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.02 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.01 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1691 | 1776 | 1509 | 1691 | 1776 | 1509 | 1691 | 2828 | 540 | 1691 | 1615 | 137 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 18 | 405 | 99 | 14 | 165 | 251 | 82 | 165 | 168 | 452 | 0 | 243 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1691 | 1776 | 1509 | 1691 | 1776 | 1509 | 1691 | 1687 | 1680 | 1691 | 0 | 1752 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.5 | 14.1 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.5 | 14.1 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.32 | 1.00 |  | 0.08 |
| Lane Grp $\operatorname{Cap}$（c），veh／h | 343 | 537 | 457 | 210 | 531 | 826 | 244 | 243 | 242 | 419 | 0 | 434 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.05 | 0.75 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 1.08 | 0.00 | 0.56 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 426 | 945 | 804 | 298 | 945 | 1178 | 419 | 418 | 417 | 419 | 0 | 434 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（1） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 16.4 | 21.6 | 17.8 | 17.8 | 18.6 | 8.4 | 26.4 | 27.8 | 27.9 | 25.8 | 0.0 | 22.5 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 66.4 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 0.2 | 7.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 4.2 |
| LnGrp Delay ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ），s／veh | 16.4 | 23.8 | 18.1 | 17.9 | 18.9 | 8.6 | 27.2 | 31.1 | 31.5 | 92.1 | 0.0 | 24.1 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | C | B | B | B | A | C | C | C | F |  | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 522 |  |  | 430 |  |  | 415 |  |  | 695 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 22.4 |  |  | 12.9 |  |  | 30.5 |  |  | 68.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ）， s |  | 14.9 | 5.9 | 25.7 |  | 22.0 | 6.2 | 25.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+R \mathrm{R}$ ），s |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s |  | 17.0 | 4.5 | 36.5 |  | 17.0 | 4.5 | 36.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s |  | 8.5 | 2.4 | 16.1 |  | 19.0 | 2.5 | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s |  | 1.4 | 0.0 | 4.6 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 37.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 3 |  | $\checkmark$ | 7 |  | 4 | $4$ | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | ＊$\uparrow$ |  |
| Volume（veh／h） | 19 | 326 | 92 | 39 | 313 | 403 | 149 | 435 | 53 | 338 | 206 | 25 |
| Number | 7 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1845 | 1845 | 1845 | 1845 | 1845 | 1845 | 1845 | 1845 | 1900 | 1900 | 1845 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 21 | 362 | 102 | 43 | 348 | 448 | 166 | 483 | 59 | 376 | 229 | 28 |
| Adj No．of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Cap，veh／h | 221 | 532 | 452 | 255 | 555 | 824 | 337 | 603 | 73 | 394 | 362 | 44 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.02 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1757 | 1845 | 1568 | 1757 | 1845 | 1568 | 1757 | 3147 | 383 | 1757 | 1613 | 197 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 21 | 362 | 102 | 43 | 348 | 448 | 166 | 268 | 274 | 376 | 0 | 257 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1757 | 1845 | 1568 | 1757 | 1845 | 1568 | 1757 | 1752 | 1777 | 1757 | 0 | 1810 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.6 | 13.2 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 12.3 | 14.4 | 6.4 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 9.7 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.6 | 13.2 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 12.3 | 14.4 | 6.4 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 9.7 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.22 | 1.00 |  | 0.11 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 221 | 532 | 452 | 255 | 555 | 824 | 337 | 336 | 341 | 394 | 0 | 406 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.09 | 0.68 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.63 | 0.54 | 0.49 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.63 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 292 | 889 | 756 | 304 | 889 | 1108 | 394 | 393 | 399 | 394 | 0 | 406 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 19.3 | 23.9 | 20.5 | 19.2 | 22.8 | 11.9 | 27.3 | 29.2 | 29.2 | 29.0 | 0.0 | 26.5 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 9.6 | 9.9 | 33.4 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 0.3 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 6.5 | 9.2 | 3.2 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 5.2 |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 19.5 | 25.4 | 20.8 | 19.5 | 24.0 | 12.5 | 28.4 | 38.8 | 39.1 | 62.4 | 0.0 | 29.7 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | C | C | B | C | B | C | D | D | E |  | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 485 |  |  | 839 |  |  | 708 |  |  | 633 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 24.2 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  | 36.5 |  |  | 49.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R c$ ），$s$ |  | 19.5 | 7.4 | 26.8 |  | 22.0 | 6.4 | 27.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s |  | 17.0 | 4.5 | 36.5 |  | 17.0 | 4.5 | 36.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s |  | 13.2 | 3.3 | 15.2 |  | 18.0 | 2.6 | 16.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s |  | 1.4 | 0.0 | 6.5 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 31.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 477 | 254 | 26 | 364 | 84 | 35 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | Yield |
| Storage Length | - | 250 | 150 | - | 0 | 250 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Mvmt Flow | 568 | 302 | 31 | 433 | 100 | 42 |
| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 568 | 0 | 1063 | 568 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 568 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 495 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.17 | - | 6.47 | 6.27 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.47 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.47 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.263 | - | 3.563 | 3.363 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 980 | - | 242 | 513 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 557 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 602 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 980 | - | 234 | 513 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 234 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 557 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 583 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.6 | 25.9 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | D |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 NBLn2 |  | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 234 | 513 | - | - | 980 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.427 | 0.081 | - | -0.032 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 31.4 | 12.6 | - | - | 8.8 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | D | B | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 2 | 0.3 | - | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 494 | 256 | 54 | 622 | 100 | 28 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | Yield |
| Storage Length | - | 250 | 150 | - | 0 | 250 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Mumt Flow | 568 | 294 | 62 | 715 | 115 | 32 |
| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 568 | 0 | 1407 | 568 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 568 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 839 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.15 | - | 6.45 | 6.25 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.45 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.45 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.245 | - | 3.545 | 3.345 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 989 | - | 151 | 517 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 561 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 419 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 989 | - | 142 | 517 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 142 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 561 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 393 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.7 | 75.1 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | F |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 NBLn2 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 142 | 517 | - | - | 989 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.809 | 0.062 | - | -0.063 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 92.7 | 12.4 | - | - | 8.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | B | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 5.1 | 0.2 | - | - | 0.2 | - |


|  | 3 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 |  | 4 | $4$ | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 2 | 391 | 135 | 8 | 200 | 0 | 184 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number | 7 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1759 | 1759 | 1900 | 1759 | 1759 | 1900 | 1759 | 1759 | 1900 | 1900 | 1759 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 2 | 483 | 167 | 10 | 247 | 0 | 227 | 1 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Cap, veh/h | 671 | 647 | 224 | 361 | 911 | 0 | 539 | 9 | 292 | 0 | 352 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1066 | 1251 | 432 | 735 | 1759 | 0 | 1675 | 46 | 1457 | 0 | 1759 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 2 | 0 | 650 | 10 | 247 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1066 | 0 | 1683 | 735 | 1759 | 0 | 1675 | 0 | 1502 | 0 | 1759 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 2.8 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 11.1 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.26 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 671 | 0 | 871 | 361 | 911 | 0 | 539 | 0 | 301 | 0 | 352 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.03 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 1021 | 0 | 1425 | 603 | 1490 | 0 | 1149 | 0 | 848 | 0 | 993 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 5.6 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 11.1 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 5.6 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 11.1 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A |  | A | B | A |  | B |  | B |  |  |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 652 |  |  | 257 |  |  | 260 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 8.0 |  |  | 5.2 |  |  | 13.4 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R c$ ), $s$ |  | 12.1 |  | 23.3 |  | 12.1 |  | 23.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 20.0 |  | 30.0 |  | 20.0 |  | 30.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 6.4 |  | 12.8 |  | 0.0 |  | 13.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 0.7 |  | 5.3 |  | 0.0 |  | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\%$ |  | 4 |  | $\dagger$ | 7 | $t$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 273 | 227 | 51 | 480 | 1 | 167 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Number | 7 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1792 | 1792 | 1900 | 1792 | 1792 | 1900 | 1792 | 1792 | 1900 | 1900 | 1792 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 0 | 317 | 264 | 59 | 558 | 1 | 194 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Cap, veh/h | 202 | 467 | 389 | 406 | 923 | 2 | 478 | 0 | 309 | 220 | 110 | 126 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 815 | 905 | 754 | 799 | 1789 | 3 | 1355 | 0 | 1524 | 385 | 544 | 620 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 0 | 0 | 581 | 59 | 0 | 559 | 194 | 0 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 815 | 0 | 1659 | 799 | 0 | 1792 | 1355 | 0 | 1524 | 1549 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.45 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.40 |  | 0.40 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 202 | 0 | 856 | 406 | 0 | 925 | 478 | 0 | 309 | 456 | 0 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.60 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 309 | 0 | 1073 | 511 | 0 | 1159 | 851 | 0 | 728 | 864 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS |  |  | A | B |  | A | B |  | B | B |  |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 581 |  |  | 618 |  |  | 218 |  |  | 5 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.1 |  |  | 13.5 |  |  | 11.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ |  | 12.2 |  | 23.4 |  | 12.2 |  | 23.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 17.0 |  | 23.0 |  | 17.0 |  | 23.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 6.7 |  | 11.3 |  | 2.1 |  | 13.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 0.5 |  | 5.7 |  | 0.6 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 268 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 150 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1515 | 1466 |  |  |  |  | 530 |  |
| v/c | 0.18 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.28 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.64 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.16 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.9 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  | 14.5 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | 14.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | B |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 36th Ave. NE / Bootlegger <br> Tr. |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | $6 / 17 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 36th Avenue NE $\quad$ North/South Street: Bootlegger Trail

Intersection Orientation: North-South $\quad$ Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 41 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 12 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.64 | 0.80 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.79 | 0.60 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 64 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 19 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 5 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 13 | 0 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.81 | 0.25 | 0.76 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 16 | 0 | 381 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |


| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 64 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 397 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1343 | 1584 |  |  |  |  | 822 |  |
| v/c | 0.05 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.48 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.15 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 2.67 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.8 | 7.3 |  |  |  |  | 13.4 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | 13.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | B |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Intersection | Bootlegger Tr. / U.S. 87 |  |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Podlovic | Ceccia \& Associates | \|Jurisdiction |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Exills |  |  |
| Date Performed | 6/18/2013 |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: Bootlegger Trail
North/South Street: U.S. 87
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 106 | 118 | 5 | 0 | 113 | 8 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.76 | 0.92 | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.71 | 0.67 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 139 | 128 | 11 | 0 | 159 | 11 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration | L | T | TR | LT |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 10 | 0 | 435 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.73 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 15 | 0 | 595 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | L | $L T$ |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) | 139 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 610 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1420 | 1457 |  |  |  |  | 946 |  |
| v/c | 0.10 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.64 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.32 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 4.88 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.8 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  | 15.4 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | 15.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | C |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | Bootlegger Tr. / U.S. 87 |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 6/18/2013 | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: Bootlegger Trail
North/South Street: U.S. 87
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 391 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 11 |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.80 | 0.91 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.81 |  |  |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR <br> (veh/h) | 488 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 11 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |  |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Configuration | $L$ | $T$ | $T R$ | $L T$ |  | $T R$ |  |
| Upstream Signal | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 0 | 216 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.83 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 7 | 0 | 260 | 8 | 0 | 4 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | $L$ | $L T$ |  | $L T R$ |  |  | $L T R$ |  |
| V (veh/h) | 488 | 0 |  | 12 |  |  | 267 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1351 | 1395 |  | 96 |  |  | 750 |  |
| v/c | 0.36 | 0.00 |  | 0.13 |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length | 1.67 | 0.00 |  | 0.41 |  |  | 1.62 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 9.2 | 7.6 |  | 47.8 |  |  | 12.4 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | $E$ |  |  | $B$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- | 47.8 |  |  | 12.4 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- | E |  |  |  |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | \|ntersection | Old Havre Hwy / 15th St. N |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 19 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: Old Havre Highway
North/South Street: 15th Street North
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 8 | 135 | 7 | 0 | 379 | 181 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.40 | 0.89 | 0.58 | 0.25 | 0.77 | 0.72 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 19 | 151 | 12 | 0 | 492 | 251 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 13 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Configuration | L | T | TR | L | T | $R$ |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 89 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.63 | 0.50 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 103 | 7 | 16 | 7 | 8 | 4 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | $L$ | L |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 19 | 0 |  | 19 |  |  | 126 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 994 | 1428 |  | 467 |  |  | 360 |  |
| v/c | 0.02 | 0.00 |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.35 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.06 | 0.00 |  | 0.13 |  |  | 1.53 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.7 | 7.5 |  | 13.0 |  |  | 20.3 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 13.0 |  |  | 20.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | B |  |  | C |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | \|ntersection | Old Havre Hwy / 15th St. N |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | \|nurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 6/19/2013 | 2013 - Existing |  |
| Analysis Year |  |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description $\quad$ Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |
| East/West Street: Old Havre Highway | North/South Street: | 15th Street North |  |
| Intersection Orientation: North-South | Study Period (hrs): 0.25 |  |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 9 | 323 | 8 | 1 | 181 | 161 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.56 | 0.89 | 0.67 | 0.25 | 0.87 | 0.84 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 16 | 362 | 11 | 4 | 208 | 191 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Configuration | L | T | TR | L | T | $R$ |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 188 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.94 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.25 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 200 | 8 | 23 | 8 | 7 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | L | L |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 16 | 4 |  | 15 |  |  | 231 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1375 | 1197 |  | 414 |  |  | 502 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.00 |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.46 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.04 | 0.01 |  | 0.11 |  |  | 2.39 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.6 | 8.0 |  | 14.0 |  |  | 18.1 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 14.0 |  |  | 18.1 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | B |  |  | C |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 25th Ave. NE / 8th St. NE |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | 6/27/2013 |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 25th Avenue NE
North/South Street: 8th Street NE
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 167 | 57 | 153 | 270 | 8 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.63 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.68 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 7 | 269 | 96 | 206 | 369 | 11 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 6 | 48 | 9 | 17 | 19 | 62 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.50 | 0.92 | 0.56 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.71 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 12 | 52 | 16 | 32 | 35 | 87 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) | 7 | 206 |  | 154 |  |  | 80 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1182 | 1197 |  | 234 |  |  | 162 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.17 |  | 0.66 |  |  | 0.49 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.02 | 0.62 |  | 4.10 |  |  | 2.38 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.1 | 8.6 |  | 45.8 |  |  | 47.2 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 45.8 |  |  | 47.2 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | E |  |  | E |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | \|ntersection | 25th Ave. NE / 8th St. NE |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | 6/27/2013 |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 25th Avenue NE
North/South Street: 8th Street NE
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 14 | 190 | 28 | 59 | 119 | 3 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.50 | 0.78 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.38 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 28 | 243 | 31 | 67 | 143 | 7 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 2 | 24 | 17 | 36 | 67 | 175 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.25 | 0.75 | 0.53 | 0.75 | 0.84 | 0.84 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 8 | 32 | 32 | 48 | 79 | 208 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 28 | 67 |  | 335 |  |  | 72 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1444 | 1301 |  | 546 |  |  | 448 |  |
| v/c | 0.02 | 0.05 |  | 0.61 |  |  | 0.16 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.06 | 0.16 |  | 4.12 |  |  | 0.57 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.5 | 7.9 |  | 21.5 |  |  | 14.6 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 21.5 |  |  | 14.6 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | $B$ |  |












| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 6th St. SW/ 4th Ave. SW |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 2 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 4th Avenue SW
North/South Street: 6th Street SW
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 3 | 227 | 292 | 2 | 316 | 2 |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.38 | 0.86 | 0.73 | 0.50 | 0.83 | 0.50 |  |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR <br> (veh/h) | 7 | 263 | 399 | 4 | 380 | 4 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |  |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Configuration | L | $T$ | $T R$ | $L$ | $T$ | $T R$ |  |
| Upstream Signal | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 1 | 7 | 41 | 1 | 4 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.88 | 0.60 | 0.25 | 0.33 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 4 | 4 | 7 | 68 | 4 | 12 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | L | L |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 7 | 4 |  | 84 |  |  | 15 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1186 | 936 |  | 358 |  |  | 419 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.00 |  | 0.23 |  |  | 0.04 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.02 | 0.01 |  | 0.90 |  |  | 0.11 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.1 | 8.9 |  | 18.1 |  |  | 13.9 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 18.1 |  |  | 13.9 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | $B$ |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information |  |  | Site Information |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | \|ntersection | 6th St. SW/ 4th Ave. SW |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 2 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 4th Avenue SW
North/South Street: 6th Street SW
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 10 | 443 | 137 | 4 | 726 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.83 | 0.89 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.89 | 0.56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR <br> (veh/h) | 12 | 497 | 182 | 8 | 815 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undian Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Configuration | L | $T$ | $T R$ | $L$ | $T$ | $T R$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream Signal | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 2 | 14 | 70 | 1 | 4 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.70 | 0.76 | 0.25 | 0.50 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 4 | 4 | 20 | 92 | 4 | 8 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | L | L |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 12 | 8 |  | 104 |  |  | 28 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 808 | 923 |  | 182 |  |  | 303 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 0.57 |  |  | 0.09 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.05 | 0.03 |  | 3.05 |  |  | 0.30 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 9.5 | 8.9 |  | 48.3 |  |  | 18.1 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | E |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 48.3 |  |  | 18.1 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | E |  |  | C |  |






|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 |  |  |  | ${ }_{1}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }_{1}$ | 4 | F |
| Volume (vph) | 46 | 564 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 68 | 364 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  | 0.950 | 0.967 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3154 | 1417 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1504 | 1531 | 1417 | 1583 | 1667 | 1417 |
| FIt Permitted |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  | 0.950 | 0.967 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3154 | 1417 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1504 | 1531 | 1417 | 1583 | 1667 | 1417 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 285 |  |  |  |  |  | 102 |  |  | 485 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  | 1038 |  |  | 1127 |  |  | 1081 |  |  | 976 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 23.6 |  |  | 25.6 |  |  | 24.6 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 61 | 752 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 29 | 25 | 21 | 91 | 485 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 813 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 93 | 25 | 21 | 91 | 485 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(t) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(tt) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(tt) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (tt) | 20 | 100 | 20 |  |  |  | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(tt) | 20 | 6 | 20 |  |  |  | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 |  |  |  |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm |  |  |  | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | , | 2 | , |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |  |  |  | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | P |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |  |  | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 44.0\% | 44.0\% | 44.0\% |  |  |  | 18.7\% | 18.7\% | 18.7\% | 37.3\% | 37.3\% | 37.3\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max | Max |  |  |  | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |  |  | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | , | 0 | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 29.1 | 29.1 |  |  |  | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.47 | 0.47 |  |  |  | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.55 | 0.35 |  |  |  | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.30 | 0.74 |
| Control Delay |  | 16.7 | 3.8 |  |  |  | 31.8 | 31.8 | 0.5 | 22.4 | 25.7 | 10.3 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 16.7 | 3.8 |  |  |  | 31.8 | 31.8 | 0.5 | 22.4 | 25.7 | 10.3 |
| LOS |  | B | A |  |  |  | C | C | A | C | C | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 13.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 13.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  |  | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Ped | Ped | Ped | Max | Max | Max |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Max | Max | Max | Gap | Gap | Gap |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.6 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Max | Max | Max | Gap | Gap | Gap |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Skip | Skip | Skip | Min | Min | Min |
| Stops (vph) |  | 422 | 23 |  |  |  | 58 | 59 | 0 | 14 | 53 | 47 |
| Fuel Used(gal) |  | 9 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| CO Emissions (g/hr) |  | 653 | 142 |  |  |  | 93 | 96 | 11 | 19 | 81 | 265 |
| NOX Emissions (g/hr) |  | 127 | 28 |  |  |  | 18 | 19 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 52 |
| VOC Emissions (g/hr) |  | 151 | 33 |  |  |  | 22 | 22 | 3 | 4 | 19 | 61 |
| Dilemma Vehicles (\#) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 110 | 0 |  |  |  | 32 | 33 | 0 | 7 | 31 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) |  | 202 | 23 |  |  |  | 70 | 72 | 0 | 20 | 59 | 23 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 958 |  |  | 1047 |  |  | 1001 |  |  | 896 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1473 | 813 |  |  |  | 251 | 256 | 322 | 607 | 639 | 842 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.55 | 0.35 |  |  |  | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.58 |
| 17 - Park Dr / 1st Ave N 8/19/2013 AM Scott Randall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 8 Report |  |  |



|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |  |  | \% | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ | $\overline{7}$ |
| Volume (vph) | 60 | 617 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 432 | 20 | 51 | 9 | 43 | 526 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  | 0.950 | 0.956 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3154 | 1417 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1504 | 1514 | 1417 | 1583 | 1667 | 1417 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  | 0.950 | 0.956 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3154 | 1417 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1504 | 1514 | 1417 | 1583 | 1667 | 1417 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 183 |  |  |  |  |  | 102 |  |  | 554 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1038 |  |  | 1127 |  |  | 1081 |  |  | 976 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 23.6 |  |  | 25.6 |  |  | 24.6 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 63 | 649 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 455 | 21 | 54 | 9 | 45 | 554 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 712 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 239 | 54 | 9 | 45 | 554 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(t) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (tt) | 20 | 100 | 20 |  |  |  | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 |  |  |  | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |  |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 |  |  |  |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | , |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm |  |  |  | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |  |  |  | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |

Scott Randall

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  |  | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 37.3\% | 37.3\% | 37.3\% |  |  |  | 29.3\% | 29.3\% | 29.3\% | 33.3\% | 33.3\% | 33.3\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |  |  |  | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max | Max |  |  |  | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |  |  | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 23.3 | 23.3 |  |  |  | 14.2 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.37 | 0.37 |  |  |  | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.62 | 0.29 |  |  |  | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.79 |
| Control Delay |  | 20.9 | 4.7 |  |  |  | 36.6 | 36.6 | 2.1 | 21.8 | 23.7 | 11.7 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 20.9 | 4.7 |  |  |  | 36.6 | 36.6 | 2.1 | 21.8 | 23.7 | 11.7 |
| LOS |  | C | A |  |  |  | D | D | A | C | C | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 17.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 33.1 |  |  | 12.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |  |  |  | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |  |  |  | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 13.8 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Max | Max | Max | Gap | Gap | Gap |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |  |  |  | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |  |  |  | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |  |  |  | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Gap | Gap | Gap | Min | Min | Min |
| Stops (vph) |  | 529 | 24 |  |  |  | 189 | 191 | 3 | 9 | 36 | 71 |
| Fuel Used(gal) |  | 11 | 2 |  |  |  | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| CO Emissions (g/hr) |  | 788 | 119 |  |  |  | 323 | 326 | 33 | 11 | 51 | 395 |
| NOX Emissions (g/hr) |  | 153 | 23 |  |  |  | 63 | 63 | , |  | 10 | 77 |
| VOC Emissions (g/hr) |  | 183 | 28 |  |  |  | 75 | 75 | 8 | 3 | 12 | 92 |
| Dilemma Vehicles (\#) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 111 | 0 |  |  |  | 82 | 83 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) |  | 216 | 42 |  |  |  | \#208 | \#210 | 8 | 14 | 40 | 85 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 958 |  |  | 1047 |  |  | 1001 |  |  | 896 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1157 | 635 |  |  |  | 407 | 410 | 458 | 505 | 531 | 829 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.62 | 0.29 |  |  |  | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.67 |
| 17 - Park Dr / 1st Ave N 8/19/2013 PM Scott Randall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 8 Report Page 2 |  |  |


| Intersection Summary $\quad$ Other |
| :--- |
| Area Type: |
| Cycle Length: $75 \quad$ Intersection LOS: C |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 63.5 |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79 |
| Intersection Signal Delay: $20.2 \quad$ Level of Service B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $58.7 \% \quad$ |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |
| 90th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 75 |
| 70th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 68.8 |
| 50th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 62 |
| 30th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 57.6 |
| 10th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 54.3 <br> \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. <br> Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |

Splits and Phases:


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3:
$\downarrow 4 \geqslant \downarrow$

| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | $1 / 4$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Volume (veh/h) | 372 | 18 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
| Sign Control | Free |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |
| Grade | $0 \%$ |  | $0 \%$ |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.76 | 0.90 | 0.71 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.75 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 489 | 20 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 116 |


| Pedestrians | 9 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Lane Width (tt) | 12.0 |

Walking Speed (tt/s) 4.0
Percent Blockage 1
Right turn flare (veh) None
Median type

| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Upstream signal (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0 | 999 | 9 | 1042 | 989 |  |

VC1, stage 1 conf vol
$\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{vCu}, \text { unblocked vol } & 0 & 999 & 9 & 1042 & 989\end{array}$

| tC , single (s) | 4.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s)

| $\mathrm{tF}(\mathrm{s})$ | 2.2 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| p 0 queue free \% | 70 | 49 | 100 | 100 | 33 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1623 | 170 | 1065 | 97 | 172 |


| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total | 326 | 183 | 87 | 116 |
| Volume Left | 326 | 163 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Right | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| CSH | 1623 | 1623 | 170 | 172 |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.51 | 0.67 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 32 | 32 | 64 | 99 |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.2 | 7.6 | 46.6 | 60.7 |
| Lane LOS | A | A | E | F |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.9 |  | 46.6 | 60.7 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | E | F |

Approach LOS
E F

## Intersection Summary

| Average Delay | 21.3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $25.3 \%$ |

Analysis Period (min) 15

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3:


| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | MKM |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Volume (veh/h) | 558 | 25 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Sign Control | Free |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |
| Grade | $0 \%$ |  | $0 \%$ |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.62 | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.83 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 627 | 40 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| Pedestrians | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width ( (t) | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (t/s) | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

Right turn flare (veh) None
Median type

| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Upstream signal (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0 | 1294 | 2 | 1324 | 1274 |

$\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol
$\mathrm{vC2}$, tage 2 conf vol

| vCu, unblocked vol | 0 | 1294 | 2 | 1324 | 1274 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 |

tC, 2 stage (s)

| $\mathrm{tF}(\mathrm{s})$ | 2.2 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| p 0 queue free \% | 61 | 3 | 100 | 100 | 0 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1623 | 100 | 1080 | 12 | 103 |


| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total | 418 | 249 | 96 | 120 |
| Volume Left | 418 | 209 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Right | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| CSH | 1623 | 1623 | 100 | 103 |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.97 | 1.17 |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 47 | 47 | 145 | 198 |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.6 | 7.8 | 159.0 | 221.3 |
| Lane LOS | A | A | F | F |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.3 |  | 159.0 | 221.3 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | F | F |

Approach LOS
53.7

Average Delay
Intersection Capacity Utilization 31.2\%
Analysis Period (min)
31.2\% ICU Level of Service A

15

| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | River Dr. S / 3rd Ave. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 1 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 3rd Avenue South
North/South Street: River Drive South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 183 | 47 | 115 | 267 |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.70 | 0.87 | 1.00 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 0 | 261 | 64 | 164 | 306 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | TR | L | T |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 11 |  | 104 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.69 | 1.00 | 0.79 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 131 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 3 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  | LR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  | $L$ |  | $L R$ |  |  |  |  |
| v (veh/h) |  | 164 |  | 146 |  |  |  |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) |  | 1240 |  | 611 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c |  | 0.13 |  | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length |  | 0.46 |  | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  | 8.3 |  | 12.7 |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | $A$ |  | $B$ |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | $B$ |  |  |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | River Dr. S / 3rd Ave. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 1 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 3rd Avenue South
North/South Street: River Drive South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 161 | 33 | 79 | 331 |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 0.76 | 0.69 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 1.00 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 0 | 211 | 47 | 91 | 359 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | TR | L | T |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 61 |  | 281 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.59 | 1.00 | 0.59 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 476 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  | LR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  | $L$ |  | $L R$ |  |  |  |  |
| v (veh/h) |  | 91 |  | 579 |  |  |  |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) |  | 1293 |  | 630 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c |  | 0.07 |  | 0.92 |  |  |  |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length |  | 0.23 |  | 11.89 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  | 8.0 |  | 44.4 |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | $A$ |  | $E$ |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 44.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | $E$ |  |  |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 2nd St. S / 3rd Ave. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | 7/1/2013 |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 3rd Avenue South
North/South Street: 2nd Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 153 | 157 |  |  | 81 | 25 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.71 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.81 | 0.69 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 215 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 36 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | $L T$ |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 30 |  | 98 |  |  |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.83 | 1.00 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 36 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LR |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LT |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) | 215 |  |  |  |  |  | 163 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1449 |  |  |  |  |  | 658 |  |
| v/c | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.25 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.52 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.97 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.3 |  |
| LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | 12.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | B |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 2 nd St. S / 3rd Ave. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 7/1/2013 | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 3rd Avenue South
North/South Street: 2nd Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 286 | 170 |  |  | 219 | 45 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.78 | 0.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.66 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 366 | 239 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 68 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 1 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LT |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 39 |  | 114 |  |  |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.89 | 1.00 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 43 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LR |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | $L T$ |  |  |  |  |  | $L R$ |  |
| V (veh/h) | 366 |  |  |  |  |  | 191 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1254 |  |  |  |  |  | 371 |  |
| v/c | 0.29 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.51 |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length | 1.22 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.83 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 24.6 |  |
| LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  | $C$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  | 24.6 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- | $C$ |  |  |  |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | Fox Farm Rd. / 18th Ave. |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | $6 / 18 / 2013$ | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 18th Avenue SW $\quad$ North/South Street: Fox Farm Road

Intersection Orientation: North-South $\quad$ Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 602 | 1 | 4 | 165 | 52 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.31 | 0.71 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.88 | 0.65 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 16 | 847 | 4 | 8 | 187 | 80 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | L |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 195 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 13 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.81 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.54 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 240 | 8 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 24 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |


| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | L |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 16 | 8 |  | 32 |  |  | 264 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1304 | 796 |  | 288 |  |  | 169 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 0.11 |  |  | 1.56 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.04 | 0.03 |  | 0.37 |  |  | 17.52 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.8 | 9.6 |  | 19.1 |  |  | 328.8 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | $F$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 19.1 |  |  | 328.8 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | $F$ |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | Fox Farm Rd. / 18th Ave. |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | $6 / 18 / 2013$ | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 18th Avenue SW $\quad$ North/South Street: Fox Farm Road

Intersection Orientation: North-South $\quad$ Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 3 | 310 | 0 | 13 | 612 | 167 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.83 | 0.25 | 0.54 | 0.92 | 0.84 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 4 | 373 | 0 | 24 | 665 | 198 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | L |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 76 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.79 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.38 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 96 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |


| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | L |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 4 | 24 |  | 7 |  |  | 115 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 788 | 1193 |  | 673 |  |  | 171 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.02 |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.67 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.02 | 0.06 |  | 0.03 |  |  | 3.94 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 9.6 | 8.1 |  | 10.4 |  |  | 61.0 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | B |  |  | $F$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 10.4 |  |  | 61.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | B |  |  | $F$ |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | Fox Farm Rd. / Park Garden <br> Rd. |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | $6 / 18 / 2013$ | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: Park Garden Road $\quad$ North/South Street: Fox Farm Road

Intersection Orientation: North-South $\quad$ Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 13 | 415 | 1 | 11 | 104 | 30 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.81 | 0.79 | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.59 | 0.75 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 16 | 525 | 4 | 35 | 176 | 40 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 102 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 36 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.40 | 0.53 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 136 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 19 | 67 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |


| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 16 | 35 |  | 90 |  |  | 147 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1343 | 1047 |  | 441 |  |  | 222 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.03 |  | 0.20 |  |  | 0.66 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.04 | 0.10 |  | 0.76 |  |  | 4.09 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.7 | 8.6 |  | 15.2 |  |  | 48.2 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | E |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 15.2 |  |  | 48.2 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | E |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | Fox Farm Rd. / Park Garden <br> Rd. |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | $6 / 18 / 2013$ | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: Park Garden Road $\quad$ North/South Street: Fox Farm Road

Intersection Orientation: North-South $\quad$ Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 18 | 195 | 5 | 46 | 391 | 130 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.31 | 0.72 | 0.84 | 0.77 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 24 | 267 | 16 | 63 | 465 | 168 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 69 | 6 | 25 | 6 | 8 | 27 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.69 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.68 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 92 | 12 | 36 | 12 | 19 | 39 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |


| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 24 | 63 |  | 70 |  |  | 140 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 958 | 1287 |  | 321 |  |  | 213 |  |
| v/c | 0.03 | 0.05 |  | 0.22 |  |  | 0.66 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.08 | 0.15 |  | 0.82 |  |  | 4.00 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.9 | 7.9 |  | 19.3 |  |  | 49.4 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | E |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 19.3 |  |  | 49.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | E |  |








| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 13th Ave. S / 9th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 7/1/2013 | Analysis Year | Existing - 2013 |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |
| East/West Street: 13th Avenue South |  | North/South Street: 9th Street South |  |
| Intersection Orientation: North-South |  | Study Period (hrs): 0.25 |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 112 | 18 | 28 | 167 | 41 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.63 | 0.70 | 0.64 | 0.54 | 0.67 | 0.60 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 7 | 160 | 28 | 51 | 249 | 68 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 4 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 8 | 34 | 6 | 15 | 31 | 18 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.67 | 0.71 | 0.75 | 0.54 | 0.71 | 0.56 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 11 | 47 | 8 | 27 | 43 | 32 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| V (veh/h) | 7 | 51 |  | 102 |  |  | 66 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1255 | 1370 |  | 457 |  |  | 409 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.04 |  | 0.22 |  |  | 0.16 |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length | 0.02 | 0.12 |  | 0.85 |  |  | 0.57 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.9 | 7.7 |  | 15.1 |  |  | 15.5 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | $C$ |  |  | $C$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- | 15.1 |  |  | 15.5 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- | $C$ |  |  | $C$ |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 13th Ave. S / 9th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 7/1/2013 | Analysis Year | Existing - 2013 |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |
| East/West Street: 13 th Avenue South |  | North/South Street: 9th Street South |  |
| Intersection Orientation: North-South |  | Study Period (hrs): 0.25 |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 13 | 181 | 35 | 64 | 115 | 82 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.81 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.62 | 0.87 | 0.82 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 16 | 198 | 43 | 103 | 132 | 100 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 2 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 27 | 69 | 10 | 14 | 87 | 42 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.68 | 0.82 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.78 | 0.70 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 39 | 84 | 20 | 24 | 111 | 60 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 16 | 103 |  | 195 |  |  | 143 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1348 | 1319 |  | 389 |  |  | 317 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.08 |  | 0.50 |  |  | 0.45 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.04 | 0.25 |  | 2.71 |  |  | 2.24 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.7 | 8.0 |  | 23.2 |  |  | 25.4 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 23.2 |  |  | 25.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | D |  |




HCS 2010 Signalized Intersection Results Summary





| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 11th Ave. S / 26th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 6/27/2013 | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 11th Avenue South
North/South Street: 26th Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 191 | 38 | 123 | 291 | 0 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.25 | 0.87 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.69 | 0.25 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 4 | 219 | 55 | 155 | 421 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- |  | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | O | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration | LT |  | TR | LT |  | $R$ |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 2 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 88 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.68 | 0.25 | 0.88 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 8 | 4 | 0 | 39 | 4 | 100 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 17 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  | LT |  | $R$ |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | $L T$ | $L T$ | $L T$ |  | $R$ |  | $L T R$ |  |
| V (veh/h) | 4 | 155 | 43 |  | 100 |  | 12 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1149 | 1286 | 175 |  | 914 |  | 174 |  |
| v/c | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 |  | 0.11 |  | 0.07 |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length | 0.01 | 0.41 | 0.93 |  | 0.37 |  | 0.22 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.1 | 8.2 | 32.1 |  | 9.4 |  | 27.2 |  |
| LOS | $A$ | $A$ | $D$ |  | $A$ |  | $D$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- | 16.3 |  |  | 27.2 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- | $C$ |  |  | $D$ |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 11th Ave. S / 26th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | 6/27/2013 |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 11th Avenue South
North/South Street: 26th Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 2 | 393 | 49 | 120 | 161 | 4 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.50 | 0.86 | 0.53 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.50 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 4 | 456 | 92 | 127 | 174 | 8 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration | LT |  | TR | LT |  | $R$ |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 42 | 2 | 294 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.81 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) (veh/h) | 0 | 4 | 4 | 56 | 4 | 362 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  | LT |  | $R$ |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LT | LT | LT |  | $R$ |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 4 | 127 | 60 |  | 362 |  | 8 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1405 | 1032 | 193 |  | 770 |  | 345 |  |
| v/c | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.31 |  | 0.47 |  | 0.02 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.01 | 0.42 | 1.26 |  | 2.54 |  | 0.07 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.6 | 9.0 | 31.9 |  | 13.8 |  | 15.7 |  |
| LOS | A | A | D |  | B |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- | 16.3 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- | C |  |  | C |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 13th Ave. S / 26th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed |  | Analysis Year | 2013-Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 13th Avenue South
North/South Street: 26th Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 12 | 190 | 5 | 29 | 287 | 26 |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.60 | 0.74 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.84 | 0.43 |  |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR <br> (veh/h) | 19 | 256 | 7 | 43 | 341 | 60 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |  |
| Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lanes | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Configuration | $L T$ |  | $T R$ | $L T$ |  | $T R$ |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.50 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 7 | 8 | 27 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | $L T$ | $L T$ |  | $L T R$ |  |  | $L T R$ |  |
| V (veh/h) | 19 | 43 |  | 16 |  |  | 42 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1159 | 1309 |  | 486 |  |  | 531 |  |
| v/c | 0.02 | 0.03 |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.08 |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length | 0.05 | 0.10 |  | 0.10 |  |  | 0.26 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.2 | 7.8 |  | 12.7 |  |  | 12.4 |  |
| LOS | A | $A$ |  | $B$ |  |  | $B$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- | 12.7 |  |  | 12.4 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- | $B$ |  |  | $B$ |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 13th Ave. S / 26th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | 6/27/2013 |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 13th Avenue South
North/South Street: 26th Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 8 | 401 | 6 | 33 | 169 | 22 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.50 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.55 | 0.94 | 0.69 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 16 | 514 | 8 | 59 | 179 | 31 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration | LT |  | TR | LT |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 26 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.72 | 0.25 | 0.83 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.50 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 36 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 12 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LT | LT |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 16 | 59 |  | 20 |  |  | 52 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1359 | 1055 |  | 433 |  |  | 371 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.06 |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.14 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.04 | 0.18 |  | 0.14 |  |  | 0.48 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.7 | 8.6 |  | 13.7 |  |  | 16.3 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 13.7 |  |  | 16.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | B |  |  | C |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 15th Ave. S / 26th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 1 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 15th Avenue South
North/South Street: 26th Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | 1 | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 10 | 155 | 18 | 71 | 196 | 19 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.42 | 0.84 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 0.78 | 0.68 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 23 | 184 | 36 | 100 | 251 | 27 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration | LT |  | TR | LT |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 6 | 23 | 29 | 4 | 23 | 30 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.52 | 0.66 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.75 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 8 | 44 | 43 | 8 | 47 | 40 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LT | LT |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 23 | 100 |  | 95 |  |  | 95 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1268 | 1357 |  | 432 |  |  | 431 |  |
| v/c | 0.02 | 0.07 |  | 0.22 |  |  | 0.22 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.06 | 0.24 |  | 0.83 |  |  | 0.83 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.9 | 7.9 |  | 15.7 |  |  | 15.7 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 15.7 |  |  | 15.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 15th Ave. S / 26th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 1 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 15th Avenue South
North/South Street: 26th Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 24 | 277 | 17 | 23 | 123 | 12 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.50 | 0.82 | 0.60 | 0.64 | 0.83 | 0.75 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 48 | 337 | 28 | 35 | 148 | 16 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 4 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration | LT |  | TR | LT |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 22 | 24 | 29 | 29 | 35 | 98 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.79 | 0.50 | 0.81 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.70 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 27 | 48 | 35 | 39 | 47 | 140 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LT | LT |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 48 | 35 |  | 226 |  |  | 110 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1417 | 1170 |  | 531 |  |  | 421 |  |
| v/c | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 0.43 |  |  | 0.26 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.11 | 0.09 |  | 2.11 |  |  | 1.03 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.6 | 8.2 |  | 16.7 |  |  | 16.5 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 16.7 |  |  | 16.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 10th Ave. S / 29th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 6/27/2013 | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 10th Avenue South
Intersection Orientation: East-West
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 11 | 637 | 140 | 97 | 954 | 6 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.55 | 0.85 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 0.79 | 0.50 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 19 | 749 | 229 | 136 | 1207 | 12 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | -- | -- | 2 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration | L | $T$ | TR | L | $T$ | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 1 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 17 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.67 | 0.75 | 0.38 | 0.71 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 7 | 4 | 35 | 4 | 7 | 23 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | - 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound | Westbound | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | $L$ | $L$ |  | $L T R$ |  |  | $L T R$ |  |
| V (veh/h) | 19 | 136 |  | 46 |  |  | 34 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 567 | 701 |  | 106 |  |  | 70 |  |
| v/c | 0.03 | 0.19 |  | 0.43 |  |  | 0.49 |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length | 0.10 | 0.71 |  | 1.85 |  |  | 1.97 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 11.6 | 11.4 |  | 62.8 |  |  | 97.7 |  |
| LOS | $B$ | $B$ |  | $F$ |  |  | $F$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- | 62.8 |  |  | 97.7 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- | F |  |  | F |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 10th Ave. S / 29th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 6/27/2013 | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 10th Avenue South
Intersection Orientation: East-West
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 54 | 1307 | 39 | 25 | 1183 | 15 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.68 | 0.98 | 0.75 | 0.63 | 0.95 | 0.75 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 79 | 1333 | 52 | 39 | 1245 | 20 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | -- | -- | 2 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration | L | $T$ | TR | L | $T$ | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.88 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.71 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 11 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound | Westbound | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | L | L |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 79 | 39 |  | 98 |  |  | 28 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 545 | 490 |  | 131 |  |  | 476 |  |
| v/c | 0.14 | 0.08 |  | 0.75 |  |  | 0.06 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.50 | 0.26 |  | 4.34 |  |  | 0.19 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 12.7 | 13.0 |  | 87.4 |  |  | 13.0 |  |
| LOS | B | B |  | F |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 87.4 |  |  | 13.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | $F$ |  |  | B |  |




| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | \|ntersection | 32nd St. S/11th Ave. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 2 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 11th Avenue South
North/South Street: 32nd Street South
Intersection Orientation: East-West
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 16 | 38 | 2 | 2 | 145 | 109 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.67 | 0.79 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.59 | 0.68 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 23 | 48 | 8 | 8 | 245 | 160 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 50 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 4 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 51 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.33 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0.63 | 0.50 | 0.71 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 12 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 71 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound | Westbound | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) | 23 | 8 |  | 24 |  |  | 86 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1157 | 1287 |  | 437 |  |  | 660 |  |
| v/c | 0.02 | 0.01 |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.13 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.06 | 0.02 |  | 0.17 |  |  | 0.45 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.2 | 7.8 |  | 13.7 |  |  | 11.3 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 13.7 |  |  | 11.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | $B$ |  |  | B |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | \|ntersection | 32nd St. S/11th Ave. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | \|nurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | $7 / 2 / 2013$ | 2013 - Existing |  |
| Analysis Year |  |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description $\quad$ Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |
| East/West Street: 11 Avenue South | North/South Street: 32nd Street South |  |  |
| Intersection Orientation: East-West | Study Period (hrs): 0.25 |  |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 74 | 146 | 10 | 2 | 87 | 90 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.84 | 0.78 | 0.63 | 0.50 | 0.73 | 0.83 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 88 | 187 | 15 | 4 | 119 | 108 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 1 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 4 | 12 | 1 | 16 | 12 | 51 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.25 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.80 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 8 | 19 | 4 | 19 | 16 | 63 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound | Westbound | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 88 | 4 |  | 31 |  |  | 98 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1345 | 1378 |  | 399 |  |  | 608 |  |
| v/c | 0.07 | 0.00 |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.16 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.21 | 0.01 |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.57 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.9 | 7.6 |  | 14.8 |  |  | 12.1 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 14.8 |  |  | 12.1 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | B |  |  | B |  |





Saturation Headway Adjustment Worksheet

| Prop. Left-Turns | 0.0 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prop. Right-Turns | 0.2 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |  |
| Prop. Heavy Vehicle | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| hLT-adj | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| hRT-adj | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 |
| hHV-adj | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| hadj, computed | -0.1 |  | -0.2 |  | -0.0 |  | -0.0 |  |

## Departure Headway and Service Time



Capacity and Level of Service

|  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 460 |  | 478 |  | 484 |  | 487 |  |
| Delay (s/veh) | 17.29 |  | 18.61 |  | 19.68 |  | 20.40 |  |
| LOS | C |  | C |  | C |  | C |  |
| Approach: Delay (s/veh) | 17.29 |  | 18.61 |  | 19.68 |  | 20.40 |  |
| LOS | C |  | C |  | C |  | C |  |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) | 19.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Saturation Headway Adjustment Worksheet

| Prop. Left-Turns | 0.2 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prop. Right-Turns | 0.1 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  |
| Prop. Heavy Vehicle | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| hLT-adj | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| hRT-adj | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 |
| hHV-adj | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| hadj, computed | 0.0 |  | -0.1 |  | -0.0 |  | -0.0 |  |

## Departure Headway and Service Time



Capacity and Level of Service

|  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 370 |  | 378 |  | 647 |  | 646 |  |
| Delay (s/veh) | 11.30 |  | 11.23 |  | 17.50 |  | 16.94 |  |
| LOS | B |  | B |  | C |  | C |  |
| Approach: Delay (s/veh) | 11.30 |  | 11.23 |  | 17.50 |  | 16.94 |  |
| LOS | B |  | B |  | C |  | C |  |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) | 15.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix C

Projected Intersection Operations

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: 57th St S and 2nd Ave N

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
Signalized
HCM 6 th Edition
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 21.6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.312 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $7 \mid \Gamma$ |  |  | $71 F$ |  |  | $71 F$ |  |  | 71F |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 250.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 230.00 | 100.00 | 250.00 | 200.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 400.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Curb Present | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 90 |
| Coordination Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Actuation Type | Fully actuated |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | LeadGreen |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 20 | 45 | 45 | 20 | 45 | 0 | 20 | 45 | 0 | 20 | 45 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 12 | 33 | 33 | 10 | 31 | 0 | 15 | 27 | 0 | 20 | 32 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 53 | 45 | 45 | 53 | 45 | 45 | 27 | 15 | 15 | 27 | 18 | 18 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.59 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.59 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.30 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1139 | 1550 | 1318 | 1089 | 1550 | 1465 | 1226 | 1550 | 1458 | 1168 | 1550 | 1466 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 745 | 779 | 662 | 661 | 765 | 723 | 446 | 259 | 244 | 381 | 313 | 296 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 7.96 | 13.05 | 13.05 | 8.17 | 12.27 | 12.30 | 22.99 | 34.48 | 34.57 | 24.25 | 29.93 | 29.97 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.16 | 0.92 | 1.09 | 0.03 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.13 | 1.85 | 2.09 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.34 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.56 | 0.57 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 8.12 | 13.97 | 14.15 | 8.20 | 12.57 | 12.63 | 23.12 | 36.33 | 36.66 | 24.68 | 30.24 | 30.31 |
| Lane Group LOS | A | B | B | A | B | B | C | D | D | C | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.39 | 2.69 | 2.32 | 0.23 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 2.99 | 2.90 | 1.85 | 1.15 | 1.12 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 9.83 | 67.17 | 58.00 | 5.80 | 24.10 | 23.74 | 22.49 | 74.68 | 72.53 | 46.34 | 28.63 | 28.02 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.71 | 4.84 | 4.18 | 0.42 | 1.74 | 1.71 | 1.62 | 5.38 | 5.22 | 3.34 | 2.06 | 2.02 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 17.70 | 120.90 | 104.41 | 10.44 | 43.38 | 42.73 | 40.48 | 134.42 | 130.55 | 83.41 | 51.54 | 50.44 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.12 | 13.97 | 14.15 | 8.20 | 12.59 | 12.63 | 23.12 | 36.46 | 36.66 | 24.68 | 30.27 | 30.31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | A | B | B | A | B | B | C | D | D | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 13.45 |  |  | 11.95 |  |  | 34.22 |  |  | 27.60 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 21.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.312 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft2/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 36.45 | 36.45 | 36.45 | 36.45 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection | 2.573 | 2.274 | 2.437 | B |
| Crosswalk LOS | B | B | 2.459 |  |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan_ | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 622 | 578 | 489 | 600 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 21.36 | 22.76 | 25.69 | 1.841 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 2.319 | 1.726 | A | 1.756 |
| Bicycle LOS | B | A | A |  |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: 57th St S and 2nd Ave N

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
Signalized
HCM 6 th Edition
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
22.3

HCM 6th Edition
15 minutes
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $7 \mid \Gamma$ |  |  | $71 F$ |  |  | $71 F$ |  |  | 71F |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 250.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 230.00 | 100.00 | 250.00 | 200.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 400.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Curb Present | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 90 |
| Coordination Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Actuation Type | Fully actuated |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | LeadGreen |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 20 | 45 | 45 | 20 | 45 | 0 | 20 | 45 | 0 | 20 | 45 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 10 | 21 | 21 | 10 | 21 | 0 | 11 | 23 | 0 | 36 | 48 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 45 | 38 | 38 | 45 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 15 | 15 | 35 | 28 | 28 |
| g/C, Green / Cycle | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.39 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1002 | 1550 | 1318 | 1116 | 1550 | 1429 | 972 | 1550 | 1360 | 1299 | 1550 | 1459 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 529 | 644 | 548 | 572 | 614 | 566 | 399 | 255 | 224 | 569 | 476 | 448 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 12.34 | 17.64 | 17.29 | 11.96 | 18.75 | 18.83 | 17.56 | 33.24 | 33.40 | 20.72 | 25.12 | 25.14 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.49 | 1.22 | 1.17 | 0.03 | 1.30 | 1.47 | 0.08 | 0.72 | 0.93 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.70 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.13 | 0.31 | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.49 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 12.83 | 18.87 | 18.46 | 11.99 | 20.05 | 20.29 | 17.64 | 33.95 | 34.33 | 21.38 | 25.78 | 25.84 |
| Lane Group LOS | B | B | B | B | C | C | B | C | C | C | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/n] | 0.75 | 2.86 | 2.07 | 0.24 | 2.85 | 2.73 | 0.37 | 1.61 | 1.55 | 4.37 | 3.65 | 3.46 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ff/ln] | 18.81 | 71.58 | 51.79 | 6.03 | 71.23 | 68.31 | 9.35 | 40.28 | 38.80 | 109.34 | 91.17 | 86.43 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 1.35 | 5.15 | 3.73 | 0.43 | 5.13 | 4.92 | 0.67 | 2.90 | 2.79 | 7.80 | 6.56 | 6.22 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 33.85 | 128.85 | 93.23 | 10.85 | 128.21 | 122.95 | 16.83 | 72.50 | 69.84 | 195.09 | 164.10 | 155.58 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 12.83 | 18.87 | 18.46 | 11.99 | 20.13 | 20.29 | 17.64 | 34.02 | 34.33 | 21.38 | 25.80 | 25.84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | B | B | B | B | C | C | B | C | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 17.73 |  |  | 19.67 |  |  | 31.60 |  |  | 24.02 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 22.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.363 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft2/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 36.45 | 36.45 | 36.45 | 36.45 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection | 2.632 | 2.314 | 2.475 | B |
| Crosswalk LOS | B | B | 2.50 |  |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan_ | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 356 | 356 | 28.80 | 956 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 30.42 | 30.42 | 1.716 | 12.27 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 2.233 | 1.883 | A | 2.131 |
| Bicycle LOS | B | A | B |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report

 Intersection 2: 10th Ave S and 20th St SControl Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
Signalized
HCM 6th Edition 15 minutes
Delay (sec / veh):
13.8
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
B
0.439

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $75$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 7\\| |  |  | $7 \\| \$$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 275.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 275.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Curb Present | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Offset [s] | Fixed time |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 31 | 31 | 31 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1158 | 1353 | 1157 | 433 | 2887 | 1432 | 356 | 2937 | 1517 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 164 | 323 | 313 | 296 | 1977 | 980 | 242 | 2011 | 1039 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 43.02 | 40.22 | 44.68 | 13.24 | 9.45 | 9.45 | 16.15 | 8.81 | 8.81 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 5.54 | 1.99 | 4.73 | 0.26 | 0.78 | 1.56 | 1.09 | 0.57 | 1.10 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.46 | 0.04 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.13 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 48.56 | 42.21 | 49.41 | 13.50 | 10.22 | 11.01 | 17.24 | 9.37 | 9.90 |
| Lane Group LOS | D | D | D | B | B | B | B | A | A |
| Critical Lane Group | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 1.83 | 2.41 | 4.55 | 0.18 | 5.87 | 6.04 | 0.56 | 4.68 | 4.99 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 45.66 | 60.26 | 113.71 | 4.60 | 146.85 | 150.99 | 13.99 | 116.97 | 124.75 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 3.29 | 4.34 | 8.05 | 0.33 | 9.85 | 10.07 | 1.01 | 8.23 | 8.65 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 82.19 | 108.47 | 201.15 | 8.27 | 246.22 | 251.75 | 25.17 | 205.66 | 216.34 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 48.56 | 42.21 | 42.21 | 49.41 | 49.41 | 49.41 | 13.50 | 10.42 | 11.01 | 17.24 | 9.54 | 9.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | D | D | D | D | D | B | B | B | B | A | A |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 44.73 |  |  | 49.41 |  |  | 10.51 |  |  | 9.75 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 13.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.439 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft²/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 56.31 | 56.31 | 56.31 | 36.31 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection | 2.129 | 1.864 | C | C |
| Crosswalk LOS | B | A | 2035 |  |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan_ | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 1369 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 477 | 477 | 6.47 | 1369 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 37.70 | 37.70 | 2.316 | 6.47 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 1.792 | 1.796 | B | 2.228 |
| Bicycle LOS | A | A | B |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 6 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 2: 10th Ave S and 20th St S

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
Signalized HCM 6th Edition 15 minutes
Delay (sec / veh):
27.5
Level Of Service: C
Volume to Capacity (v/c): 0.582

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \mathrm{~F}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $1 \\|$ |  |  | $1 \\|$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 275.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 275.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Curb Present | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 135 |
| Coordination Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Actuation Type | Fixed time |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | LeadGreen |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 51 | 51 | 51 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 |
| $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{C}$, Green / Cycle | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.12 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| s , saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1176 | 1425 | 1153 | 247 | 2954 | 1494 | 306 | 2954 | 1540 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 314 | 538 | 471 | 112 | 1619 | 819 | 143 | 1619 | 844 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 41.24 | 29.16 | 32.63 | 41.89 | 21.12 | 21.12 | 38.26 | 22.73 | 22.73 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 12.46 | 1.26 | 1.86 | 2.83 | 1.90 | 3.72 | 4.18 | 2.77 | 5.23 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.70 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.15 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.72 | 0.72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 53.70 | 30.42 | 34.49 | 44.72 | 23.02 | 24.84 | 42.44 | 25.50 | 27.96 |
| Lane Group LOS | D | C | C | No | D | C | C | D | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 7.66 | 3.57 | 4.18 | No | No | No | No | No | Yes |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 191.61 | 89.15 | 104.40 | 0.56 | 11.48 | 12.03 | 1.13 | 14.10 | 15.29 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 12.20 | 6.42 | 7.52 | 13.91 | 286.98 | 300.71 | 28.26 | 352.57 | 382.20 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 305.12 | 160.46 | 187.93 | 1.00 | 17.04 | 17.72 | 2.03 | 20.26 | 21.70 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 53.70 | 30.42 | 30.42 | 34.49 | 34.49 | 34.49 | 44.72 | 23.53 | 24.84 | 42.44 | 26.32 | 27.96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | C | C | C | C | C | D | C | C | D | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 44.36 |  |  | 34.49 |  |  | 23.86 |  |  | 26.66 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 27.53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.582 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft2/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 58.80 | 58.80 | 58.80 | 3.426 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersectign | 2.201 | 1.886 | C | 3.157 |
| Crosswalk LOS | B | A | C |  |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 756 | 756 | 1096 | 1096 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 26.13 | 26.13 | 2.419 | 13.78 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 2.168 | 1.815 | B | 2.552 |
| Bicycle LOS | B | A | B |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 6 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report

## Intersection 3: 10th Ave S and 6th St SW/Fox Farm Rd

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 6th Edition 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
45.6

Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):

D
7.072

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $11$ |  |  | $1 \\|$ |  |  | IIr |  |  | 1才Ir |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 150.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 500.00 | 100.00 | 300.00 | 300.00 | 100.00 | 300.00 | 525.00 | 100.00 | 500.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Curb Present | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 135 |
| Coordination Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Actuation Type | Fully actuated |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | LeadGreen |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 15 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 15 | 60 | 60 | 20 | 60 | 60 | 20 | 60 | 60 | 20 | 60 | 60 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All red [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Split [s] | 10 | 53 | 53 | 10 | 53 | 53 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 52 | 51 | 51 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Rest In Walk |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Recall | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Maximum Recall | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pedestrian Recall | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | C | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 63 | 53 | 53 | 63 | 53 | 53 | 16 | 46 | 46 | 11 | 41 | 41 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.47 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.47 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.12 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.22 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.04 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 801 | 1399 | 1277 | 1136 | 2974 | 1334 | 1482 | 2897 | 1314 | 2825 | 2877 | 1273 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 480 | 550 | 502 | 509 | 1169 | 524 | 171 | 991 | 449 | 225 | 881 | 390 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 27.30 | 26.99 | 28.22 | 23.90 | 26.80 | 38.40 | 58.88 | 34.59 | 32.95 | 61.03 | 45.59 | 33.95 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 2.13 | 0.80 | 1.52 | 0.09 | 0.34 | 20.38 | 20.96 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 5.71 | 5.39 | 0.16 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.36 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.78 | 0.94 | 0.14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 29.43 | 27.79 | 29.74 | 23.98 | 27.15 | 58.77 | 79.84 | 34.91 | 33.37 | 66.74 | 50.98 | 34.11 |
| Lane Group LOS | C | C | C | C | C | E | E | C | C | E | D | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 2.33 | 2.45 | 3.59 | 1.05 | 2.32 | 17.34 | 6.09 | 5.80 | 3.66 | 3.13 | 14.03 | 1.30 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 58.26 | 61.19 | 89.74 | 26.26 | 58.07 | 433.46 | 152.33 | 144.89 | 91.60 | 78.29 | 350.83 | 32.45 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 4.19 | 4.41 | 6.46 | 1.89 | 4.18 | 24.17 | 10.14 | 9.74 | 6.60 | 5.64 | 20.18 | 2.34 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 104.87 | 110.13 | 161.52 | 47.28 | 104.53 | 604.18 | 253.54 | 243.59 | 164.88 | 140.93 | 504.42 | 58.41 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 29.43 | 27.79 | 29.74 | 23.98 | 27.15 | 58.77 | 79.84 | 34.91 | 33.37 | 66.74 | 50.98 | 34.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | C | C | C | C | C | E | E | C | C | E | D | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 29.13 |  |  | 47.16 |  |  | 43.72 |  |  | 52.75 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 45.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 7.072 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft2/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 58.80 | 58.80 | 58.80 | 38.80 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersectign | 2.509 | 2.643 | C | 2.956 |
| Crosswalk LOS | B | B | C |  |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 711 | 711 | 222 | 681 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 28.03 | 28.03 | 53.33 | 29.34 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 1.917 | 2.164 | B | 2.428 |
| Bicycle LOS | A | B | B |  |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report

## Intersection 3: 10th Ave S and 6th St SW/Fox Farm Rd

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 6th Edition 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):
80.4

F
469.735

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $11$ |  |  | $1 \\|$ |  |  | IIr |  |  | 1才Ir |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 150.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 500.00 | 100.00 | 300.00 | 300.00 | 100.00 | 300.00 | 525.00 | 100.00 | 500.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Curb Present | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 135 |
| Coordination Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Actuation Type | Fully actuated |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | LeadGreen |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Overlap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 15 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 15 | 60 | 60 | 20 | 60 | 60 | 20 | 60 | 60 | 20 | 60 | 60 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All red [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Split [s] | 10 | 39 | 39 | 11 | 40 | 40 | 50 | 66 | 66 | 19 | 35 | 35 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Rest In Walk |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Recall | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Maximum Recall | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pedestrian Recall | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | C | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 45 | 34 | 34 | 45 | 35 | 35 | 45 | 62 | 62 | 13 | 30 | 30 |
| g/C, Green / Cycle | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.26 | 0.08 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 692 | 1419 | 1327 | 923 | 2985 | 1339 | 1498 | 2937 | 1316 | 2882 | 2915 | 1339 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 335 | 355 | 332 | 143 | 770 | 345 | 499 | 1343 | 602 | 287 | 652 | 299 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 44.14 | 49.57 | 50.59 | 43.01 | 39.76 | 46.73 | 45.01 | 31.52 | 24.83 | 59.86 | 52.40 | 44.07 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 7.57 | 34.44 | 98.41 | 4.73 | 0.79 | 16.92 | 88.73 | 1.20 | 0.50 | 7.49 | 75.23 | 0.68 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.59 | 0.94 | 1.16 | 0.59 | 0.25 | 0.79 | 1.15 | 0.81 | 0.44 | 0.86 | 1.16 | 0.34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 51.71 | 84.00 | 149.00 | 47.74 | 40.55 | 63.65 | 133.74 | 32.71 | 25.32 | 67.35 | 127.63 | 44.75 |
| Lane Group LOS | D | F | F | D | D | E | F | C | C | E | F | D |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/n] | 4.79 | 14.39 | 20.27 | 2.29 | 2.68 | 10.21 | 28.85 | 15.25 | 5.80 | 4.47 | 17.84 | 2.99 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ff/ln] | 119.76 | 359.79 | 506.63 | 57.25 | 67.10 | 255.22 | 721.21 | 381.19 | 145.11 | 111.75 | 445.90 | 74.65 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 8.38 | 20.61 | 29.96 | 4.12 | 4.83 | 15.45 | 41.18 | 21.65 | 9.76 | 7.94 | 26.75 | 5.37 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 209.50 | 515.33 | 749.07 | 103.05 | 120.79 | 386.23 | 1029.51 | 541.29 | 243.89 | 198.43 | 668.79 | 134.37 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 51.71 | 83.58 | 149.00 | 47.74 | 40.55 | 63.65 | 133.74 | 32.71 | 25.32 | 67.35 | 127.63 | 44.75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | F | F | D | D | E | F | C | C | E | F | D |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 104.20 |  |  | 53.09 |  |  | 61.91 |  |  | 106.43 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | F |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 80.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 469.735 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft2/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 58.80 | 58.80 | 58.80 | 38.80 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersectign | 2.637 | 2.728 | B | C |
| Crosswalk LOS | B | B | C |  |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan_ | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 504 | 519 | 904 | 444 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 37.78 | 37.04 | 20.28 | 40.83 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 2.316 | 2.016 | 3.144 | 2.471 |
| Bicycle LOS | B | B | C | B |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

 \#11: Vaughn Rd and I-15 SB

| Control Type: | Two-way stop |
| :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Method: | HCM2010 |
| Analysis Period: | 15 minutes |


| Delay (sec / veh): | 11.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.361 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 219 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 12 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.60 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 11.10 | 8.30 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.36 | 1.36 | 1.00 | 1.36 | 1.36 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 298 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 16 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.8830 | 0.2500 | 1.0000 | 0.8440 | 0.7500 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 84 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 337 | 4 | 0 | 44 | 21 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

## Shane Forsythe

Version 2.00-10
Scenario 3: 3: Future AM Scenario
Intersection Settings

| Prority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 11.04 | 10.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | B | B |  | A | A |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.68 | 1.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 42.07 | 42.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 11.04 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 9.27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |

## Shane Forsythe

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#11: Vaughn Rd and I-15 SB

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 11.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.254 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 143 | 1 | 0 | 53 | 50 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 7.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 7.60 | 4.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.36 | 1.36 | 1.00 | 1.36 | 1.36 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 194 | 1 | 0 | 72 | 68 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9410 | 0.2500 | 1.0000 | 0.7790 | 0.8930 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 52 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 19 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 206 | 4 | 0 | 92 | 76 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 10.97 | 10.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | B | B |  | A | A |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.03 | 1.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 25.74 | 25.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 10.96 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 6.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |

# Intersection Level Of Service Report 

\#12: Vaughn Rd and I-15 NB

| Control Type: | Two-way stop |
| :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Method: | HCM2010 |
| Analysis Period: | 15 minutes |


| Delay (sec / veh): | 7.3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.000 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 237 | 19 | 76 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.00 | 5.30 | 14.50 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.37 | 1.37 | 1.37 | 1.37 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 325 | 26 | 104 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 0.8590 | 0.5940 | 0.8260 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 95 | 11 | 31 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 378 | 44 | 126 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

## Shane Forsythe

Version 2.00-10
Scenario 3: 3: Future AM Scenario
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

## Shane Forsythe

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#12: Vaughn Rd and l-15 NB

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 7.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.000 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 165 | 55 | 334 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 6.10 | 1.80 | 4.80 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.37 | 1.37 | 1.37 | 1.37 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 226 | 75 | 458 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 0.7500 | 0.8090 | 0.9180 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 75 | 23 | 125 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 301 | 93 | 499 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

\#8: Central Ave and I15 SB


Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

| Delay (sec / veh): | 178.9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | $F$ |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 1.188 | 1.188

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $7 \Gamma$ |  |  | $11$ |  |  | $1 \\|$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 130 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 191 | 39 | 123 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 3.10 | 0.00 | 6.50 | 11.30 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.00 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 183 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 269 | 55 | 173 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.8550 | 1.0000 | 0.7500 | 1.0000 | 0.6920 | 0.7500 | 0.7690 | 0.8150 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 54 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 97 | 18 | 56 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 214 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 389 | 73 | 225 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Shane Forsythe

Version 2.00-10
Scenario 3: 3: Future AM Scenario
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 1.19 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 178.88 | 176.96 | 9.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | F | F | A |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 11.32 | 11.32 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 282.97 | 282.97 | 0.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 170.57 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 5.32 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 37.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


\section*{Intersection Level Of Service Report \#8: Central Ave and I15 SB <br> | Delay (sec / veh): | 314.9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | $F$ |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 1.339 | 1.339}

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | Vestbound |  |  | hwestbo |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \Gamma$ |  |  | I/ |  |  | 1\} |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 66 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 166 | 30 | 230 | 299 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 6.50 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.00 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 93 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 234 | 42 | 324 | 422 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9170 | 1.0000 | 0.7500 | 1.0000 | 0.8470 | 0.8330 | 0.8980 | 0.8690 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 25 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 69 | 13 | 90 | 121 | , | , | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 101 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 276 | 50 | 361 | 486 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 1.34 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 314.89 | 307.18 | 11.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | F | F | B |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 7.96 | 7.96 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 198.90 | 198.90 | 1.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 29.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 285.07 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 3.83 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 27.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

\#9: Central Ave and I-15 NB
Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 113.1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | F |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.274 |

0.274

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | Vestboun |  |  | theastbo |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $T$ |  |  | $11$ |  |  | \$1/ |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes



## Shane Forsythe

Version 2.00-10
Scenario 3: 3: Future AM Scenario
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.80 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 113.09 | 109.47 | 100.54 | 8.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | F | F | F | A | A |  |  | A | A |  |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 13.79 | 13.79 | 13.79 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 344.63 | 344.63 | 344.63 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 102.06 |  |  | 0.15 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 25.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#9: Central Ave and I-15 NB <br> Delay (sec / veh): <br> Volume to Capacity (v/c): <br> Delay (sec / veh): Level Of Service: Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c})$ : <br> 445.2 <br> F <br> 1.211

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthboun |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |  | theastbo |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $4$ |  |  | 1 \# |  |  | \\|̂ |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 57 | 0 | 170 | 5 | 249 | 0 | 0 | 471 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 1.80 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 4.60 | 0.90 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.64 | 1.64 | 1.64 | 1.64 | 1.64 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.64 | 1.64 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 93 | 0 | 279 | 8 | 408 | 0 | 0 | 772 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.7130 | 1.0000 | 0.7590 | 0.4170 | 0.8650 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9350 | 0.8310 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 33 | 0 | 92 | 5 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 130 | 0 | 368 | 19 | 472 | 0 | 0 | 826 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 1.21 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 445.19 | 435.47 | 417.85 | 9.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | F | F | F | A | A |  |  | A | A |  |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 33.98 | 33.98 | 33.98 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 849.39 | 849.39 | 849.39 | 1.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 424.99 |  |  | 0.37 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 103.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

| Delay (sec / veh): | 406.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | F |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 1.518 |

406.0
1.518

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 77 | 60 | 71 | 410 | 184 | 65 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 9.10 | 6.70 | 7.00 | 5.10 | 11.40 | 6.20 |
| Growth Rate | 1.63 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 1.63 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 126 | 98 | 116 | 668 | 300 | 106 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.7700 | 0.7890 | 0.8450 | 0.8010 | 0.8520 | 0.7740 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 41 | 31 | 34 | 208 | 88 | 34 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 164 | 124 | 137 | 834 | 352 | 137 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

## Shane Forsythe

Version 2.00-10
Scenario 3: 3: Future AM Scenario
Intersection Settings

| Prority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 1.52 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 405.95 | 378.42 | 8.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | F | F | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 20.34 | 20.34 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 508.50 | 508.50 | 11.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 394.10 |  | 1.26 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 65.63 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection Level Of Service Report
\#10: Central Ave and Vaughn Rd
Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

1,422.7
F
3.231

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 68 | 121 | 66 | 361 | 462 | 76 |  |  |  |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.90 | 1.60 | 1.50 | 4.00 | 3.40 | 2.60 |  |  |  |
| Growth Rate | 1.63 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 1.63 |  |  |  |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 111 | 197 | 108 | 588 | 753 | 124 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.6540 | 0.9450 | 0.7500 | 0.7910 | 0.8680 | 0.7310 |  |  |  |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 42 | 52 | 36 | 186 | 217 | 42 |  |  |  |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 170 | 208 | 144 | 743 | 868 | 170 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 3.23 | 0.66 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 1422.75 | 1365.77 | 11.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | F | F | B | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 38.77 | 38.77 | 0.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 969.13 | 969.13 | 20.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 1391.39 |  | 1.92 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 229.11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

 \#6: 14th St SW and I-315 WBSignalized
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 22.2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.295 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | Vestbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \mid$ |  |  | $7 F$ |  |  | $\stackrel{H}{t}$ |  |  | H\| |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes



## Shane Forsythe

Version 2.00-10
Scenario 3: 3: Future AM Scenario
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Offset [s] | Semi-actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permiss | Permiss | Overlap | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Group | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Lead / Lag | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 35 | 40 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 25 | 19 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | no | no |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | no | no |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | no | no |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Shane Forsythe

Version 2.00-10
Scenario 3: 3: Future AM Scenario
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | C | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 13 | 13 | 30 | 13 | 13 | 2 | 12 | 12 |
| $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{C}$, Green / Cycle | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.49 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.04 |
| s , saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 994 | 1710 | 1392 | 1176 | 1685 | 1527 | 1636 | 1454 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 183 | 356 | 686 | 305 | 350 | 52 | 329 | 292 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 26.76 | 19.09 | 8.52 | 21.98 | 21.31 | 28.58 | 22.73 | 19.90 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 1.41 | 10.57 | 4.12 | 0.31 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp , platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.56 | 0.60 | 0.78 | 0.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 26.96 | 19.18 | 8.65 | 22.16 | 22.72 | 39.15 | 26.86 | 20.21 |
| Lane Group LOS | C | B | A | C | C | D | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.82 | 0.44 | 2.44 | 0.57 | 3.57 | 0.62 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 5.43 | 6.74 | 20.40 | 11.02 | 60.90 | 14.26 | 89.30 | 15.53 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.39 | 0.49 | 1.47 | 0.79 | 4.38 | 1.03 | 6.43 | 1.12 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 9.77 | 12.13 | 36.71 | 19.83 | 109.62 | 25.67 | 160.74 | 27.96 |

## Shane Forsythe

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 26.96 | 19.18 | 8.65 | 22.16 | 22.72 | 22.72 | 39.15 | 39.15 | 39.15 | 26.86 | 26.86 | 20.21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | C | B | A | C | C | C | D | D | D | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 11.92 |  |  | 22.63 |  |  | 39.15 |  |  | 25.69 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 22.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.295 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report <br> \#6: 14th St SW and I-315 WB

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 19.6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.621 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthboun |  |  | uthbound |  |  | astboun |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $7 \hat{}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{t}{4}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\dagger}{\dagger}$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 5 | 76 | 146 | 22 | 131 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 19 | 638 | 12 | 142 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 40.00 | 6.60 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 2.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.80 | 1.80 | 8.30 | 4.20 |
| Growth Rate | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 6 | 88 | 169 | 26 | 152 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 22 | 740 | 14 | 165 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 | 0.9880 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 2 | 22 | 43 | 7 | 38 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 187 | 4 | 42 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 6 | 89 | 171 | 26 | 154 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 22 | 749 | 14 | 167 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | no |  | no | no |  | no | no |  | no | no |  | no |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate // | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Offset [s] | Semi-actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permiss | Permiss | Overlap | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Group | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Lead / Lag | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 35 | 40 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 25 | 19 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | no | no |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | no | no |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | no | no |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Version 2.00-10
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | C | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| 11_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 11 | 11 | 49 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 33 | 33 |
| $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{C}$, Green / Cycle | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.81 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| (v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.51 | 0.12 |
| s , saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 804 | 1604 | 1443 | 1196 | 1668 | 1513 | 1505 | 1395 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 167 | 290 | 1168 | 234 | 301 | 51 | 820 | 760 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 26.79 | 21.32 | 1.24 | 25.10 | 22.21 | 28.59 | 12.61 | 7.06 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.09 | 0.59 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 1.37 | 11.05 | 11.60 | 0.14 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp , platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.04 | 0.31 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.52 | 0.61 | 0.93 | 0.22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 26.88 | 21.91 | 1.30 | 25.31 | 23.59 | 39.64 | 24.21 | 7.20 |
| Lane Group LOS | C | C | A | C | C | D | C | A |
| Critical Lane Group | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.08 | 1.06 | 0.08 | 0.34 | 1.97 | 0.58 | 9.92 | 0.92 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 2.04 | 26.57 | 1.93 | 8.44 | 49.22 | 14.38 | 247.97 | 23.06 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.15 | 1.91 | 0.14 | 0.61 | 3.54 | 1.04 | 15.08 | 1.66 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 3.67 | 47.82 | 3.47 | 15.19 | 88.60 | 25.89 | 377.09 | 41.51 |

Version 2.00-10
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 26.88 | 21.91 | 1.30 | 25.31 | 23.59 | 23.59 | 39.64 | 39.64 | 39.64 | 24.21 | 24.21 | 7.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | C | C | A | C | C | C | D | D | D | C | C | A |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.77 |  |  | 23.83 |  |  | 39.64 |  |  | 21.15 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 19.57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.621 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report

\#5: 14th St SW and I-315 EB

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 13.3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.218 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | estboun |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $71 \Gamma$ |  |  | 1\|「 |  |  | 1\|「 |  |  | $115$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes



## Shane Forsythe

Version 2.00-10
Scenario 3: 3: Future AM Scenario
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Offset [s] | Semi-actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permiss | Permiss | Overlap | Permiss | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Group | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Lead / Lag | - | - | - | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 60 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 22 | 18 | 0 | 22 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 0 | 18 | 20 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | no | no |  | no | no | no | no |  | no | no |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | no | no |  | no | no | no | no |  | no | no |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | no | no |  | no | no | no | no |  | no | no |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Shane Forsythe

Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 17 | 17 | 37 | 17 | 17 | 36 | 29 | 12 | 12 | 29 | 11 | 11 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.62 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.60 | 0.49 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1114 | 1872 | 1588 | 1272 | 1820 | 1538 | 1616 | 1822 | 1615 | 1422 | 1839 | 1615 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 334 | 530 | 979 | 387 | 515 | 920 | 948 | 376 | 333 | 816 | 346 | 304 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 19.78 | 16.28 | 6.04 | 22.47 | 16.66 | 5.14 | 8.16 | 20.04 | 18.95 | 8.07 | 20.26 | 19.85 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.31 | 1.21 | 0.27 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.39 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.03 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.03 | 0.19 | 0.44 | 0.55 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.28 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 19.82 | 16.44 | 6.35 | 23.68 | 16.93 | 5.19 | 8.20 | 20.43 | 18.97 | 8.08 | 20.43 | 19.88 |
| Lane Group LOS | B | B | A | C | B | A | A | C | B | A | C | B |
| Critical Lane Group | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.12 | 0.97 | 2.14 | 2.75 | 1.37 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 1.18 | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.51 | 0.08 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 3.03 | 24.30 | 53.51 | 68.66 | 34.27 | 9.31 | 9.90 | 29.58 | 1.34 | 4.43 | 12.70 | 1.94 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.22 | 1.75 | 3.85 | 4.94 | 2.47 | 0.67 | 0.71 | 2.13 | 0.10 | 0.32 | 0.91 | 0.14 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 5.46 | 43.75 | 96.31 | 123.59 | 61.69 | 16.75 | 17.82 | 53.25 | 2.41 | 7.97 | 22.86 | 3.49 |

## Shane Forsythe

Version 2.00-10
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 19.82 | 16.44 | 6.35 | 23.68 | 16.93 | 5.19 | 8.20 | 20.43 | 18.97 | 8.08 | 20.43 | 19.88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | B | B | A | C | B | A | A | C | B | A | C | B |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.48 |  |  | 17.81 |  |  | 15.78 |  |  | 15.87 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 13.32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.218 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 2 | 7 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 6 | 3 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report <br> \#5: 14th St SW and I-315 EB

## Control Type: <br> Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 12.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.457 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $71 \Gamma$ |  |  | $71 \Gamma$ |  |  | $71 \Gamma$ |  |  | $11 \Gamma$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  | 95 | 396 | 262 | 107 | 168 | 10 | 102 | 50 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 13 | 82 | 260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 2.40 | 1.20 | 4.30 | 1.30 | 0.40 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 12.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 16 | 102 | 322 | 118 | 491 | 325 | 133 | 208 | 12 | 126 | 62 | 38 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 | 0.9380 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 4 | 27 | 86 | 31 | 131 | 87 | 35 | 55 | 3 | 34 | 17 | 10 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 17 | 109 | 343 | 126 | 523 | 346 | 142 | 222 | 13 | 134 | 66 | 41 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | no |  | no | no |  | no | no |  | no | no |  | no |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | Time of Day Pattern Coordinated |
| Offset [s] | Semi-actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permiss | Permiss | Overlap | Permiss | Permiss | Overlap | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Group | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Lead / Lag | - | - | - | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 45 | 0 | 20 | 45 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 22 | 18 | 0 | 22 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 0 | 18 | 20 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | no | no |  | no | no | no | no |  | no | no |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | no | no |  | no | no | no | no |  | no | no |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | no | no |  | no | no | no | no |  | no | no |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Version 2.00-10

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 21 | 21 | 41 | 21 | 21 | 41 | 33 | 15 | 15 | 33 | 15 | 15 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.68 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.68 | 0.55 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.55 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 893 | 1855 | 1596 | 1251 | 1876 | 1609 | 1564 | 1900 | 1615 | 1472 | 1900 | 1430 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 183 | 647 | 1089 | 469 | 654 | 1097 | 998 | 466 | 396 | 872 | 466 | 351 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 26.40 | 13.51 | 3.86 | 17.33 | 17.64 | 3.86 | 6.75 | 19.34 | 17.22 | 7.01 | 17.69 | 17.58 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.22 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.30 | 2.31 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.75 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.15 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.80 | 0.32 | 0.14 | 0.48 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 26.62 | 13.63 | 4.03 | 17.63 | 19.95 | 4.16 | 6.82 | 20.09 | 17.25 | 7.09 | 17.83 | 17.73 |
| Lane Group LOS | C | B | A | B | B | A | A | C | B | A | B | B |
| Critical Lane Group | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.23 | 0.94 | 1.10 | 1.32 | 6.19 | 1.15 | 0.74 | 2.53 | 0.13 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.42 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 5.73 | 23.57 | 27.43 | 32.90 | 154.65 | 28.69 | 18.54 | 63.35 | 3.27 | 17.48 | 17.01 | 10.58 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.41 | 1.70 | 1.97 | 2.37 | 10.26 | 2.07 | 1.33 | 4.56 | 0.24 | 1.26 | 1.22 | 0.76 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 10.31 | 42.42 | 49.37 | 59.22 | 256.62 | 51.65 | 33.37 | 114.02 | 5.88 | 31.46 | 30.62 | 19.05 |

Version 2.00-10
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 26.62 | 13.63 | 4.03 | 17.63 | 19.95 | 4.16 | 6.82 | 20.09 | 17.25 | 7.09 | 17.83 | 17.73 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | C | B | A | B | B | A | A | C | B | A | B | B |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 7.08 |  |  | 14.16 |  |  | 15.00 |  |  | 11.84 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 12.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.457 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 2 | 7 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 6 | 3 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



# Intersection Level Of Service Report 

 \#4: l-15 SB Off and Airport RD FrontageControl Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):
121.8
0.947

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $T$ |  |  | $\dagger \Gamma$ |  |  | $4$ |  |  | $F$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes



## Shane Forsythe

Version 2.00-10
Scenario 3: 3: Future AM Scenario
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Stop | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.95 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 16.59 | 0.00 | 10.80 | 121.78 | 119.80 | 9.92 | 7.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | C |  | B | F | F | A | A | A |  |  | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.14 | 0.00 | 1.14 | 20.41 | 20.41 | 1.22 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 28.44 | 0.00 | 28.44 | 510.19 | 510.19 | 30.56 | 4.64 | 4.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 11.50 |  |  | 82.65 |  |  | 4.27 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | F |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 57.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Shane Forsythe

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#4: I-15 SB Off and Airport RD Frontage

 Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

3,138.9
F
7.378

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | heastbo |  |  | thwestbo |  |  | hwestbo |  |  | theastbo |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $T$ |  |  | $H$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { - }}{ }$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 55 | 217 | 26 | 47 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 286 | 1 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 2.00 | 1.80 | 18.90 | 11.50 | 2.10 | 37.50 | 6.70 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Growth Rate | 2.22 | 1.00 | 2.22 | 2.22 | 2.22 | 2.22 | 2.22 | 2.22 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.22 | 2.22 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 122 | 482 | 58 | 104 | 18 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 635 | 2 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.7240 | 0.8350 | 0.7220 | 0.6910 | 0.6670 | 0.7500 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6810 | 0.2500 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 42 | 144 | 20 | 38 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 2 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 169 | 577 | 80 | 151 | 27 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 932 | 8 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Stop | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 7.38 | 0.38 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 47.75 | 0.00 | 27.94 | 3138.95 | 3109.90 | 9.11 | 11.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | E |  | D | F | F | A | B | A |  |  | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 2.88 | 0.00 | 2.88 | 74.83 | 74.83 | 0.52 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 72.12 | 0.00 | 72.12 | 1870.70 | 1870.70 | 12.88 | 9.95 | 9.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 27.94 |  |  | 2551.16 |  |  | 4.28 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | F |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 1039.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

 \#3: I-15 SB On and Airport RD

Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

| Delay (sec / veh): | 10.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.133 |

10.4
0.133

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 32 | 23 | 251 | 6 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 43.80 | 21.70 | 14.00 | 16.70 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.12 | 2.12 | 2.12 | 2.12 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 68 | 49 | 532 | 13 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6670 | 0.6390 | 0.8720 | 0.3750 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 25 | 19 | 153 | 9 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 102 | 77 | 610 | 35 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

## Shane Forsythe

Version 2.00-10
Scenario 3: 3: Future AM Scenario
Intersection Settings

| Prority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS |  |  | B | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.46 | 22.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  | 5.92 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 1.29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |

## Shane Forsythe

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#3: l-15 SB On and Airport RD



Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

| Delay (sec / veh): | 23.5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.305 |

0.305

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 25 | 21 | 542 | 14 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 64.00 | 19.10 | 7.30 | 0.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.12 | 2.12 | 2.12 | 2.12 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 53 | 45 | 1149 | 30 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6250 | 0.7500 | 0.7450 | 0.7000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 21 | 15 | 386 | 11 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 85 | 60 | 1542 | 43 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS |  |  | C | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.79 | 2.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 69.68 | 69.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  | 13.76 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | B |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 1.15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

 \#2: I-15 NB and Airport RdControl Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 44.2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | E |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.000 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | heastbo |  |  | hwestbo |  |  | hwestbo |  |  | theastbo |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{F}{1}$ |  |  | 4 |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 4 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 222 | 79 | 173 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 46.20 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 38.80 | 26.60 | 12.70 | 10.90 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 8 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 422 | 150 | 329 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.5000 | 1.0000 | 0.8130 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.7210 | 0.8670 | 0.7050 | 0.9010 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 122 | 53 | 91 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 16 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 487 | 213 | 365 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Shane Forsythe

Version 2.00-10
Scenario 3: 3: Future AM Scenario
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Stop | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 34.72 | 44.22 | 13.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | D | E | B |  |  |  |  | A | A | B | A |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.65 | 4.65 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 15.29 | 15.29 | 15.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 116.18 | 116.18 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 20.93 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 3.73 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 2.53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#2: I-15 NB and Airport Rd <br> Delay (sec / veh): <br> Level Of Service: <br> Volume to Capacity (v/c): <br> 10,000.0 <br> F <br> 0.159

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | heastbo |  |  | hwestbo |  |  | hwestbo |  |  | theastbo |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $\stackrel{t}{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5}$ |  |  | - |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 2 | 2 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 197 | 307 | 236 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 47.40 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 40.40 | 20.80 | 0.70 | 17.40 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 4 | 4 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 374 | 583 | 448 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | 0.7750 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6910 | 0.8210 | 0.6910 | 0.8680 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 2 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 114 | 211 | 129 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 8 | 8 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 456 | 844 | 516 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Stop | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 10000.0 | 10000.0 | 10000.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 24.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | F | F | F |  |  |  |  | A | A | C | A |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 13.97 | 13.97 | 13.97 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 54.79 | 54.79 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 349.24 | 349.24 | 349.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1369.74 | 1369.74 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 10000.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 15.41 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | F |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 461.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

\#1: Tri Hill and Frontage Airport Rd

| Delay (sec / veh): | 27.3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | D |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.514 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 83 | 19 | 9 | 189 | 97 | 88 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 21.70 | 31.10 | 22.20 | 28.60 | 25.70 | 5.70 |
| Growth Rate | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 141 | 32 | 15 | 321 | 165 | 150 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.7410 | 0.4750 | 0.5630 | 0.8750 | 0.9330 | 0.7590 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 48 | 17 | 7 | 92 | 44 | 49 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 190 | 67 | 27 | 367 | 177 | 198 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

## Shane Forsythe

Version 2.00-10
Scenario 3: 3: Future AM Scenario
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 27.25 | 22.66 | 8.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | D | C | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 3.94 | 3.94 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 98.56 | 98.56 | 1.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 26.06 |  | 0.58 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 6.75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report <br> \#1: Tri Hill and Frontage Airport Rd

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Delay (sec / veh): } & 43.7 \\
\text { Level Of Service: } & \text { E } \\
\text { Volume to Capacity }(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}): & 0.713
\end{array}
$$

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 75 | 7 | 9 | 160 | 207 | 70 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.70 | 0.00 | 22.20 | 33.80 | 18.90 | 15.80 |
| Growth Rate | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [ve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 128 | 12 | 15 | 272 | 352 | 119 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.5680 | 0.4380 | 0.7500 | 0.8000 | 0.8480 | 0.8330 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 56 | 7 | 5 | 85 | 104 | 36 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 225 | 27 | 20 | 340 | 415 | 143 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 2.00-10
Intersection Settings

| Prority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.71 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 43.71 | 38.46 | 9.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | E | E | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 5.93 | 5.93 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 148.33 | 148.33 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 43.15 |  | 0.50 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 9.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 3 |  | $\geqslant$ | 7 |  | 4 | $4$ | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $t$ | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | F | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 15 | 352 | 86 | 12 | 144 | 218 | 72 | 242 | 47 | 393 | 195 | 16 |
| Number | 7 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1776 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 22 | 517 | 126 | 18 | 211 | 320 | 106 | 355 | 69 | 577 | 286 | 23 |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Cap, veh/h | 311 | 599 | 509 | 152 | 594 | 928 | 262 | 437 | 84 | 474 | 454 | 37 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.02 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.02 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1691 | 1776 | 1509 | 1691 | 1776 | 1509 | 1691 | 2824 | 543 | 1691 | 1622 | 130 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 22 | 517 | 126 | 18 | 211 | 320 | 106 | 211 | 213 | 577 | 0 | 309 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1691 | 1776 | 1509 | 1691 | 1776 | 1509 | 1691 | 1687 | 1680 | 1691 | 0 | 1753 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.8 | 25.7 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 8.5 | 9.8 | 5.3 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 26.4 | 0.0 | 14.5 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 0.8 | 25.7 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 8.5 | 9.8 | 5.3 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 26.4 | 0.0 | 14.5 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.32 | 1.00 |  | 0.07 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 311 | 599 | 509 | 152 | 594 | 928 | 262 | 261 | 260 | 474 | 0 | 491 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.07 | 0.86 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 1.22 | 0.00 | 0.63 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 360 | 693 | 589 | 206 | 693 | 1012 | 310 | 310 | 308 | 474 | 0 | 491 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 20.4 | 29.2 | 22.6 | 23.6 | 23.7 | 8.9 | 35.9 | 38.5 | 38.6 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 29.7 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 9.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 12.6 | 14.0 | 116.3 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.4 | 14.1 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 7.1 | 2.5 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 27.5 | 0.0 | 7.4 |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 20.5 | 39.1 | 22.8 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 9.1 | 36.9 | 51.1 | 52.6 | 150.2 | 0.0 | 32.2 |
| LnGrp LOS | C | D | C | C | C | A | D | D | D | F |  | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 665 |  |  | 549 |  |  | 530 |  |  | 886 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 35.4 |  |  | 15.3 |  |  | 48.9 |  |  | 109.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R c$ ), $s$ |  | 19.6 | 6.5 | 36.8 |  | 31.4 | 6.8 | 36.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 17.3 | 4.5 | 36.8 |  | 26.4 | 4.5 | 36.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 13.6 | 2.7 | 27.7 |  | 28.4 | 2.8 | 11.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 1.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 58.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 3 |  | $\checkmark$ | 7 |  | 4 | $4$ | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $t$ | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |  |
| Volume（veh／h） | 19 | 326 | 92 | 39 | 313 | 403 | 149 | 435 | 53 | 338 | 206 | 25 |
| Number | 7 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1845 | 1845 | 1845 | 1845 | 1845 | 1845 | 1845 | 1845 | 1900 | 1900 | 1845 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 28 | 478 | 135 | 57 | 459 | 591 | 219 | 638 | 78 | 496 | 302 | 37 |
| Adj No．of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Cap，veh／h | 171 | 597 | 507 | 200 | 618 | 888 | 371 | 664 | 81 | 406 | 373 | 46 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.03 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1757 | 1845 | 1568 | 1757 | 1845 | 1568 | 1757 | 3145 | 384 | 1757 | 1612 | 198 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 28 | 478 | 135 | 57 | 459 | 591 | 219 | 355 | 361 | 496 | 0 | 339 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1757 | 1845 | 1568 | 1757 | 1845 | 1568 | 1757 | 1752 | 1777 | 1757 | 0 | 1810 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 1.1 | 23.5 | 6.3 | 2.1 | 21.9 | 26.1 | 11.2 | 19.9 | 20.0 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 1.1 | 23.5 | 6.3 | 2.1 | 21.9 | 26.1 | 11.2 | 19.9 | 20.0 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.22 | 1.00 |  | 0.11 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 171 | 597 | 507 | 200 | 618 | 888 | 371 | 370 | 375 | 406 | 0 | 418 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.16 | 0.80 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.74 | 0.67 | 0.59 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 1.22 | 0.00 | 0.81 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 213 | 677 | 575 | 221 | 677 | 938 | 371 | 370 | 375 | 406 | 0 | 418 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 24.0 | 30.7 | 24.9 | 24.3 | 29.3 | 15.0 | 35.4 | 38.8 | 38.8 | 38.2 | 0.0 | 36.2 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.4 | 6.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 36.2 | 36.5 | 119.8 | 0.0 | 11.4 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／In | 0.5 | 13.0 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 11.8 | 16.5 | 5.7 | 13.4 | 13.6 | 24.6 | 0.0 | 10.1 |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 24.4 | 36.8 | 25.2 | 25.0 | 33.3 | 16.7 | 37.8 | 75.1 | 75.4 | 158.1 | 0.0 | 47.6 |
| LnGrp LOS | C | D | C | C | C | B | D | E | E | F |  | D |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 641 |  |  | 1107 |  |  | 935 |  |  | 835 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 33.8 |  |  | 24.0 |  |  | 66.5 |  |  | 113.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | F |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R c$ ），$s$ |  | 26.0 | 8.3 | 37.2 |  | 28.0 | 7.2 | 38.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s |  | 21.0 | 4.5 | 36.5 |  | 23.0 | 4.5 | 36.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s |  | 22.0 | 4.1 | 25.5 |  | 25.0 | 3.1 | 28.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 58.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 477 | 254 | 26 | 364 | 84 | 35 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | Yield |
| Storage Length | - | 250 | 150 | - | 0 | 250 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Mvmt Flow | 700 | 373 | 38 | 534 | 123 | 51 |
| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 1310 | 700 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 700 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 610 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.17 | - | 6.47 | 6.27 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.47 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.47 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.263 | - | 3.563 | 3.363 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 874 | - | 171 | 431 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 483 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 533 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 874 | - | 164 | 431 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 164 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 483 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 510 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.6 | 56.4 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | F |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 NBLn2 |  | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 164 | 431 | - | - | 874 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.752 | 0.119 | - | -0.044 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 73.8 | 14.5 | - | - | 9.3 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | B | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 4.7 | 0.4 | - | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 33.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 494 | 256 | 54 | 622 | 100 | 28 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | Yield |
| Storage Length | - | 250 | 150 | - | 0 | 250 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 725 | 376 | 79 | 913 | 147 | 41 |
| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 725 | 0 | 1796 | 725 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 725 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 1071 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.15 | - | 6.45 | 6.25 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.45 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.45 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.245 | - | 3.545 | 3.345 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 864 | - | ~ 87 | 420 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 474 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 325 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 864 | - | $\sim 79$ | 420 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | ~ 79 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 474 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 295 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.8 | $\$ 407.8$ |
| HCM LOS |  |  | F |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 NBLn2 |  | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 79 | 420 | - | - | 864 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.857 | 0.098 | - | -0.092 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | $\$ 517.9$ | 14.5 | - | - | 9.6 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | B | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 12.8 | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | - |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

~: Volume exceeds capacity $\quad \$$ : Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

|  | 3 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 |  | 4 | $4$ | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 2 | 391 | 135 | 8 | 200 | 0 | 184 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number | 7 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1759 | 1759 | 1900 | 1759 | 1759 | 1900 | 1759 | 1759 | 1900 | 1900 | 1759 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 3 | 574 | 198 | 12 | 293 | 0 | 270 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Cap, veh/h | 647 | 702 | 242 | 287 | 986 | 0 | 519 | 8 | 310 | 0 | 373 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1022 | 1251 | 432 | 656 | 1759 | 0 | 1675 | 38 | 1463 | 0 | 1759 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 3 | 0 | 772 | 12 | 293 | 0 | 270 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1022 | 0 | 1683 | 656 | 1759 | 0 | 1675 | 0 | 1501 | 0 | 1759 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.1 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 3.9 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 17.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.26 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 647 | 0 | 944 | 287 | 986 | 0 | 519 | 0 | 318 | 0 | 373 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.04 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 817 | 0 | 1224 | 396 | 1279 | 0 | 849 | 0 | 614 | 0 | 719 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 6.1 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 14.8 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 6.1 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 14.8 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A |  | B | B | A |  | B |  | B |  |  |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 775 |  |  | 305 |  |  | 309 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 11.3 |  |  | 5.6 |  |  | 16.7 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R c$ ), $s$ |  | 14.3 |  | 29.7 |  | 14.3 |  | 29.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 18.0 |  | 32.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 32.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 8.7 |  | 18.4 |  | 0.0 |  | 19.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 0.7 |  | 5.8 |  | 0.0 |  | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 11.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 3 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 |  | 4 | $4$ | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 273 | 227 | 51 | 480 | 1 | 167 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Number | 7 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1792 | 1792 | 1900 | 1792 | 1792 | 1900 | 1792 | 1792 | 1900 | 1900 | 1792 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 0 | 401 | 333 | 75 | 704 | 1 | 245 | 0 | 31 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Cap, veh/h | 143 | 525 | 436 | 313 | 1037 | 1 | 445 | 0 | 338 | 209 | 84 | 143 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 712 | 907 | 753 | 693 | 1789 | 3 | 1354 | 0 | 1524 | 482 | 378 | 646 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 0 | 0 | 734 | 75 | 0 | 705 | 245 | 0 | 31 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 712 | 0 | 1660 | 693 | 0 | 1792 | 1354 | 0 | 1524 | 1506 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.45 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.43 |  | 0.43 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 143 | 0 | 961 | 313 | 0 | 1038 | 445 | 0 | 338 | 436 | 0 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.76 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 184 | 0 | 1056 | 353 | 0 | 1140 | 629 | 0 | 545 | 635 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 15.7 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS |  |  | B | B |  | A | B |  | B | B |  |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 734 |  |  | 780 |  |  | 276 |  |  | 7 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 11.0 |  |  | 9.5 |  |  | 19.2 |  |  | 15.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R c$ ), $s$ |  | 16.2 |  | 34.1 |  | 16.2 |  | 34.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 18.0 |  | 32.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 32.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 10.6 |  | 18.8 |  | 2.2 |  | 23.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 0.6 |  | 7.9 |  | 0.8 |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 11.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 36th Ave. NE / Bootlegger <br> Tr. |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | $6 / 17 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 36th Avenue NE $\quad$ North/South Street: Bootlegger Trail

Intersection Orientation: North-South $\quad$ Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 41 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 12 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.64 | 0.80 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.79 | 0.60 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 64 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 19 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 5 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 13 | 0 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.81 | 0.25 | 0.76 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 16 | 0 | 381 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |


| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 64 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 397 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1343 | 1584 |  |  |  |  | 822 |  |
| v/c | 0.05 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.48 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.15 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 2.67 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.8 | 7.3 |  |  |  |  | 13.4 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | 13.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | B |  |



| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 268 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 150 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1515 | 1466 |  |  |  |  | 530 |  |
| v/c | 0.18 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.28 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.64 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.16 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.9 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  | 14.5 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | 14.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | B |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Intersection | Bootlegger Tr. / U.S. 87 |  |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Podlovic | Ceccia \& Associates | \|Jurisdiction |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Exills |  |  |
| Date Performed | 6/18/2013 |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: Bootlegger Trail
North/South Street: U.S. 87
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 106 | 118 | 5 | 0 | 113 | 8 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.76 | 0.92 | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.71 | 0.67 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 139 | 128 | 11 | 0 | 159 | 11 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration | L | T | TR | LT |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 10 | 0 | 435 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.73 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 15 | 0 | 595 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | L | $L T$ |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) | 139 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 610 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1420 | 1457 |  |  |  |  | 946 |  |
| v/c | 0.10 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.64 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.32 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 4.88 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.8 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  | 15.4 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | 15.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | C |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | Bootlegger Tr. / U.S. 87 |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 6/18/2013 | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: Bootlegger Trail
North/South Street: U.S. 87
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 391 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 11 |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.80 | 0.91 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.81 |  |  |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR <br> (veh/h) | 488 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 11 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |  |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Configuration | $L$ | $T$ | $T R$ | $L T$ |  | $T R$ |  |
| Upstream Signal | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 0 | 216 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.83 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 7 | 0 | 260 | 8 | 0 | 4 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | $L$ | $L T$ |  | $L T R$ |  |  | $L T R$ |  |
| V (veh/h) | 488 | 0 |  | 12 |  |  | 267 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1351 | 1395 |  | 96 |  |  | 750 |  |
| v/c | 0.36 | 0.00 |  | 0.13 |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length | 1.67 | 0.00 |  | 0.41 |  |  | 1.62 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 9.2 | 7.6 |  | 47.8 |  |  | 12.4 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | $E$ |  |  | $B$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- | 47.8 |  |  | 12.4 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- | E |  |  |  |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | \|ntersection | Old Havre Hwy / 15th St. N |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 19 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: Old Havre Highway
North/South Street: 15th Street North
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 8 | 135 | 7 | 0 | 379 | 181 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.40 | 0.89 | 0.58 | 0.25 | 0.77 | 0.72 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 19 | 151 | 12 | 0 | 492 | 251 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 13 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Configuration | L | T | TR | L | T | $R$ |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 89 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.63 | 0.50 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 103 | 7 | 16 | 7 | 8 | 4 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | $L$ | L |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 19 | 0 |  | 19 |  |  | 126 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 994 | 1428 |  | 467 |  |  | 360 |  |
| v/c | 0.02 | 0.00 |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.35 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.06 | 0.00 |  | 0.13 |  |  | 1.53 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.7 | 7.5 |  | 13.0 |  |  | 20.3 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 13.0 |  |  | 20.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | B |  |  | C |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | \|ntersection | Old Havre Hwy / 15th St. N |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | \|nurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 6/19/2013 | 2013 - Existing |  |
| Analysis Year |  |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description $\quad$ Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |
| East/West Street: Old Havre Highway | North/South Street: | 15th Street North |  |
| Intersection Orientation: North-South | Study Period (hrs): 0.25 |  |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 9 | 323 | 8 | 1 | 181 | 161 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.56 | 0.89 | 0.67 | 0.25 | 0.87 | 0.84 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 16 | 362 | 11 | 4 | 208 | 191 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Configuration | L | T | TR | L | T | $R$ |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 188 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.94 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.25 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 200 | 8 | 23 | 8 | 7 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | L | L |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 16 | 4 |  | 15 |  |  | 231 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1375 | 1197 |  | 414 |  |  | 502 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.00 |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.46 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.04 | 0.01 |  | 0.11 |  |  | 2.39 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.6 | 8.0 |  | 14.0 |  |  | 18.1 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 14.0 |  |  | 18.1 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | B |  |  | C |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 25th Ave. NE / 8th St. NE |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | 6/27/2013 |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 25th Avenue NE
North/South Street: 8th Street NE
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 167 | 57 | 153 | 270 | 8 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.63 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.68 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 7 | 269 | 96 | 206 | 369 | 11 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 6 | 48 | 9 | 17 | 19 | 62 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.50 | 0.92 | 0.56 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.71 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 12 | 52 | 16 | 32 | 35 | 87 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) | 7 | 206 |  | 154 |  |  | 80 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1182 | 1197 |  | 234 |  |  | 162 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.17 |  | 0.66 |  |  | 0.49 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.02 | 0.62 |  | 4.10 |  |  | 2.38 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.1 | 8.6 |  | 45.8 |  |  | 47.2 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 45.8 |  |  | 47.2 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | E |  |  | E |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | \|ntersection | 25th Ave. NE / 8th St. NE |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | 6/27/2013 |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 25th Avenue NE
North/South Street: 8th Street NE
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 14 | 190 | 28 | 59 | 119 | 3 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.50 | 0.78 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.38 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 28 | 243 | 31 | 67 | 143 | 7 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 2 | 24 | 17 | 36 | 67 | 175 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.25 | 0.75 | 0.53 | 0.75 | 0.84 | 0.84 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 8 | 32 | 32 | 48 | 79 | 208 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 28 | 67 |  | 335 |  |  | 72 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1444 | 1301 |  | 546 |  |  | 448 |  |
| v/c | 0.02 | 0.05 |  | 0.61 |  |  | 0.16 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.06 | 0.16 |  | 4.12 |  |  | 0.57 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.5 | 7.9 |  | 21.5 |  |  | 14.6 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 21.5 |  |  | 14.6 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | $B$ |  |












| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 6th St. SW/ 4th Ave. SW |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 2 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 4th Avenue SW
North/South Street: 6th Street SW
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 3 | 227 | 292 | 2 | 316 | 2 |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.38 | 0.86 | 0.73 | 0.50 | 0.83 | 0.50 |  |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR <br> (veh/h) | 7 | 263 | 399 | 4 | 380 | 4 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |  |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Configuration | L | $T$ | $T R$ | $L$ | $T$ | $T R$ |  |
| Upstream Signal | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 1 | 7 | 41 | 1 | 4 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.88 | 0.60 | 0.25 | 0.33 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 4 | 4 | 7 | 68 | 4 | 12 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | L | L |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 7 | 4 |  | 84 |  |  | 15 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1186 | 936 |  | 358 |  |  | 419 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.00 |  | 0.23 |  |  | 0.04 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.02 | 0.01 |  | 0.90 |  |  | 0.11 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.1 | 8.9 |  | 18.1 |  |  | 13.9 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 18.1 |  |  | 13.9 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | $B$ |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information |  |  | Site Information |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | \|ntersection | 6th St. SW/ 4th Ave. SW |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 2 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 4th Avenue SW
North/South Street: 6th Street SW
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 10 | 443 | 137 | 4 | 726 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.83 | 0.89 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.89 | 0.56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR <br> (veh/h) | 12 | 497 | 182 | 8 | 815 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undian Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Configuration | L | $T$ | $T R$ | $L$ | $T$ | $T R$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream Signal | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 2 | 14 | 70 | 1 | 4 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.70 | 0.76 | 0.25 | 0.50 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 4 | 4 | 20 | 92 | 4 | 8 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | L | L |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 12 | 8 |  | 104 |  |  | 28 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 808 | 923 |  | 182 |  |  | 303 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 0.57 |  |  | 0.09 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.05 | 0.03 |  | 3.05 |  |  | 0.30 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 9.5 | 8.9 |  | 48.3 |  |  | 18.1 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | E |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 48.3 |  |  | 18.1 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | E |  |  | C |  |






|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\wedge_{4}$ | 「 |  |  |  | ＊ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 46 | 564 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 68 | 364 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  | 0.950 | 0.967 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 3154 | 1417 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1504 | 1531 | 1417 | 1583 | 1667 | 1417 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  | 0.950 | 0.967 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 3154 | 1417 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1504 | 1531 | 1417 | 1583 | 1667 | 1417 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 334 |  |  |  |  |  | 102 |  |  | 568 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（t） |  | 1038 |  |  | 1127 |  |  | 1081 |  |  | 976 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 23.6 |  |  | 25.6 |  |  | 24.6 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Growth Factor | 117\％ | 117\％ | 117\％ | 117\％ | 117\％ | 117\％ | 117\％ | 117\％ | 117\％ | 117\％ | 117\％ | 117\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 72 | 880 | 334 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 34 | 30 | 25 | 106 | 568 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41\％ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 952 | 334 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 108 | 30 | 25 | 106 | 568 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（t） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset（tt） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（t） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector（tt） | 20 | 100 | 20 |  |  |  | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector（tt） | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position（ft） | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size（ft） | 20 | 6 | 20 |  |  |  | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position（ft） |  | 94 |  |  |  |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size（tt） |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl＋Ex |  |  |  |  |  | Cl＋Ex |  |  | Cl＋Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend（s） |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm |  |  |  | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |  |  |  | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 |  |  |  | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 45.3\% | 45.3\% | 45.3\% |  |  |  | 18.7\% | 18.7\% | 18.7\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 |  |  |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max | Max |  |  |  | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |  |  | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |  |  | 9.7 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 12.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.47 | 0.47 |  |  |  | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.65 | 0.40 |  |  |  | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.33 | 0.78 |
| Control Delay |  | 19.0 | 3.8 |  |  |  | 34.8 | 34.5 | 0.7 | 22.8 | 26.5 | 10.9 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 19.0 | 3.8 |  |  |  | 34.8 | 34.5 | 0.7 | 22.8 | 26.5 | 10.9 |
| LOS |  | B | A |  |  |  | C | C | A | C | C | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 15.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 30.5 |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 |  |  |  | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Ped | Ped | Ped | Max | Max | Max |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 |  |  |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 14.8 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Max | Max | Max | Gap | Gap | Gap |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 |  |  |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 11.2 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Max | Max | Max | Gap | Gap | Gap |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 |  |  |  | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Skip | Skip | Skip | Min | Min | Min |
| Stops (vph) |  | 505 | 25 |  |  |  | 69 | 70 | 0 | 17 | 62 | 56 |
| Fuel Used(gal) |  | 11 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| CO Emissions (g/hr) |  | 792 | 165 |  |  |  | 113 | 115 | 13 | 23 | 97 | 314 |
| NOX Emissions (g/hr) |  | 154 | 32 |  |  |  | 22 | 22 | 3 | 4 | 19 | 61 |
| VOC Emissions (g/hr) |  | 184 | 38 |  |  |  | 26 | 27 | 3 | 5 | 22 | 73 |
| Dilemma Vehicles (\#) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) |  | 145 | 0 |  |  |  | 41 | 42 | 0 | 8 | 37 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 239 | 23 |  |  |  | 81 | 82 | 0 | 23 | 68 | 21 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 958 |  |  | 1047 |  |  | 1001 |  |  | 896 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1474 | 840 |  |  |  | 243 | 248 | 315 | 561 | 591 | 869 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.65 | 0.40 |  |  |  | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.18 | 0.65 |



|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 |  |  |  | \% | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Volume (vph) | 60 | 617 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 432 | 20 | 51 | 9 | 43 | 526 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Fit |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  | 0.950 | 0.956 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3154 | 1417 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1504 | 1514 | 1417 | 1583 | 1667 | 1417 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  | 0.950 | 0.956 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3154 | 1417 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1504 | 1514 | 1417 | 1583 | 1667 | 1417 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 214 |  |  |  |  |  | 102 |  |  | 648 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance ( t ) |  | 1038 |  |  | 1127 |  |  | 1081 |  |  | 976 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 23.6 |  |  | 25.6 |  |  | 24.6 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Growth Factor | 117\% | 117\% | 117\% | 117\% | 117\% | 117\% | 117\% | 117\% | 117\% | 117\% | 117\% | 117\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 74 | 760 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 532 | 25 | 63 | 11 | 53 | 648 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 834 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 277 | 280 | 63 | 11 | 53 | 648 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(t) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(tt) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(t) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (tt) | 20 | 100 | 20 |  |  |  | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector ( t ) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(tt) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(tt) | 20 | 6 | 20 |  |  |  | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(tt) |  | 94 |  |  |  |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(tt) |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm |  |  |  | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |  |  |  | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 |  |  |  | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 38.7\% | 38.7\% | 38.7\% |  |  |  | 29.3\% | 29.3\% | 29.3\% | 32.0\% | 32.0\% | 32.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |  |  |  | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max | Max |  |  |  | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |  |  | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 24.2 | 24.2 |  |  |  | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 11.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.36 | 0.36 |  |  |  | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.73 | 0.33 |  |  |  | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.18 | 0.83 |
| Control Delay |  | 24.8 | 4.7 |  |  |  | 42.8 | 42.9 | 3.0 | 22.2 | 24.5 | 12.9 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 24.8 | 4.7 |  |  |  | 42.8 | 42.9 | 3.0 | 22.2 | 24.5 | 12.9 |
| LOS |  | C | A |  |  |  | D | D | A | C | C | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 20.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 38.8 |  |  | 13.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |  |  |  | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |  |  |  | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 17.4 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Max | Max | Max | Gap | Gap | Gap |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |  |  |  | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.4 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Max | Max | Max | Gap | Gap | Gap |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |  |  |  | 16.3 | 16.3 | 16.3 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.4 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |  |  |  | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |  |  |  | Gap | Gap | Gap | Min | Min | Min |
| Stops (vph) |  | 637 | 26 |  |  |  | 218 | 220 | 5 | 10 | 41 | 76 |
| Fuel Used(gal) |  | 14 | 2 |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | - | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| CO Emissions (g/hr) |  | 973 | 138 |  |  |  | 399 | 404 | 40 | 12 | 60 | 470 |
| NOx Emissions (g/hr) |  | 189 | 27 |  |  |  | 78 | 79 | 8 | 2 | 12 | 91 |
| VOC Emissions (g/hr) |  | 226 | 32 |  |  |  | 93 | 94 | 9 | 3 | 14 | 109 |
| Dilemma Vehicles (\#) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) |  | 146 | 0 |  |  |  | 105 | 106 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | \#281 | 44 |  |  |  | \#258 | \#262 | 13 | 16 | 46 | \#104 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 958 |  |  | 1047 |  |  | 1001 |  |  | 896 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1144 | 650 |  |  |  | 386 | 388 | 439 | 454 | 478 | 868 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.73 | 0.33 |  |  |  | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.75 |
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Splits and Phases: 3


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3:
$\downarrow 4 \geqslant \downarrow$

| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | $1 / 4$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Volume (veh/h) | 372 | 18 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
| Sign Control | Free |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |
| Grade | $0 \%$ |  | $0 \%$ |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.76 | 0.90 | 0.71 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.75 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 489 | 20 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 116 |


| Pedestrians | 9 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Lane Width (tt) | 12.0 |

Walking Speed (tt/s) 4.0
Percent Blockage 1
Right turn flare (veh) None
Median type

| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Upstream signal (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0 | 999 | 9 | 1042 | 989 |  |

VC1, stage 1 conf vol
$\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{vCu}, \text { unblocked vol } & 0 & 999 & 9 & 1042 & 989\end{array}$

| tC , single (s) | 4.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s)

| $\mathrm{tF}(\mathrm{s})$ | 2.2 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| p 0 queue free \% | 70 | 49 | 100 | 100 | 33 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1623 | 170 | 1065 | 97 | 172 |


| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total | 326 | 183 | 87 | 116 |
| Volume Left | 326 | 163 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Right | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| CSH | 1623 | 1623 | 170 | 172 |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.51 | 0.67 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 32 | 32 | 64 | 99 |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.2 | 7.6 | 46.6 | 60.7 |
| Lane LOS | A | A | E | F |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.9 |  | 46.6 | 60.7 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | E | F |

Approach LOS
E F

## Intersection Summary

| Average Delay | 21.3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $25.3 \%$ |

Analysis Period (min) 15

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3:


| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | MKM |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Volume (veh/h) | 558 | 25 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Sign Control | Free |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |
| Grade | $0 \%$ |  | $0 \%$ |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.62 | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.83 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 627 | 40 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| Pedestrians | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width ( (t) | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (t/s) | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

Right turn flare (veh) None
Median type

| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Upstream signal (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0 | 1294 | 2 | 1324 | 1274 |

$\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol
$\mathrm{vC2}$, tage 2 conf vol

| vCu, unblocked vol | 0 | 1294 | 2 | 1324 | 1274 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 |

tC, 2 stage (s)

| $\mathrm{tF}(\mathrm{s})$ | 2.2 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| p 0 queue free \% | 61 | 3 | 100 | 100 | 0 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1623 | 100 | 1080 | 12 | 103 |


| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total | 418 | 249 | 96 | 120 |
| Volume Left | 418 | 209 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Right | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| CSH | 1623 | 1623 | 100 | 103 |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.97 | 1.17 |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 47 | 47 | 145 | 198 |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.6 | 7.8 | 159.0 | 221.3 |
| Lane LOS | A | A | F | F |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.3 |  | 159.0 | 221.3 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | F | F |

Approach LOS
53.7

Average Delay
Intersection Capacity Utilization 31.2\%
Analysis Period (min)
31.2\% ICU Level of Service A

15

| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | River Dr. S / 3rd Ave. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 1 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 3rd Avenue South
North/South Street: River Drive South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 183 | 47 | 115 | 267 |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.70 | 0.87 | 1.00 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 0 | 261 | 64 | 164 | 306 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | TR | L | T |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 11 |  | 104 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.69 | 1.00 | 0.79 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 131 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 3 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  | LR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  | $L$ |  | $L R$ |  |  |  |  |
| v (veh/h) |  | 164 |  | 146 |  |  |  |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) |  | 1240 |  | 611 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c |  | 0.13 |  | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length |  | 0.46 |  | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  | 8.3 |  | 12.7 |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | $A$ |  | $B$ |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | $B$ |  |  |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | River Dr. S / 3rd Ave. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 1 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 3rd Avenue South
North/South Street: River Drive South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 161 | 33 | 79 | 331 |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 0.76 | 0.69 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 1.00 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 0 | 211 | 47 | 91 | 359 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | TR | L | T |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 61 |  | 281 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.59 | 1.00 | 0.59 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 476 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  | LR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  | $L$ |  | $L R$ |  |  |  |  |
| v (veh/h) |  | 91 |  | 579 |  |  |  |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) |  | 1293 |  | 630 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c |  | 0.07 |  | 0.92 |  |  |  |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length |  | 0.23 |  | 11.89 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  | 8.0 |  | 44.4 |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | $A$ |  | $E$ |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 44.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | $E$ |  |  |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 2nd St. S / 3rd Ave. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | 7/1/2013 |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 3rd Avenue South
North/South Street: 2nd Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 153 | 157 |  |  | 81 | 25 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.71 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.81 | 0.69 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 215 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 36 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | $L T$ |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 30 |  | 98 |  |  |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.83 | 1.00 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 36 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LR |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LT |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) | 215 |  |  |  |  |  | 163 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1449 |  |  |  |  |  | 658 |  |
| v/c | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.25 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.52 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.97 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.3 |  |
| LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | 12.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | B |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 2 nd St. S / 3rd Ave. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 7/1/2013 | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 3rd Avenue South
North/South Street: 2nd Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 286 | 170 |  |  | 219 | 45 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.78 | 0.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.66 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 366 | 239 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 68 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 1 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LT |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 39 |  | 114 |  |  |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.89 | 1.00 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 43 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LR |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | $L T$ |  |  |  |  |  | $L R$ |  |
| V (veh/h) | 366 |  |  |  |  |  | 191 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1254 |  |  |  |  |  | 371 |  |
| v/c | 0.29 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.51 |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length | 1.22 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.83 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 24.6 |  |
| LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  | $C$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  | 24.6 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- | $C$ |  |  |  |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | Fox Farm Rd. / 18th Ave. |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | $6 / 18 / 2013$ | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 18th Avenue SW $\quad$ North/South Street: Fox Farm Road

Intersection Orientation: North-South $\quad$ Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 602 | 1 | 4 | 165 | 52 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.31 | 0.71 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.88 | 0.65 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 16 | 847 | 4 | 8 | 187 | 80 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | L |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 195 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 13 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.81 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.54 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 240 | 8 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 24 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |


| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | L |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 16 | 8 |  | 32 |  |  | 264 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1304 | 796 |  | 288 |  |  | 169 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 0.11 |  |  | 1.56 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.04 | 0.03 |  | 0.37 |  |  | 17.52 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.8 | 9.6 |  | 19.1 |  |  | 328.8 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | $F$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 19.1 |  |  | 328.8 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | $F$ |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | Fox Farm Rd. / 18th Ave. |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | $6 / 18 / 2013$ | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 18th Avenue SW $\quad$ North/South Street: Fox Farm Road

Intersection Orientation: North-South $\quad$ Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 3 | 310 | 0 | 13 | 612 | 167 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.83 | 0.25 | 0.54 | 0.92 | 0.84 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 4 | 373 | 0 | 24 | 665 | 198 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | L |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 76 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.79 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.38 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 96 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |


| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | L |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 4 | 24 |  | 7 |  |  | 115 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 788 | 1193 |  | 673 |  |  | 171 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.02 |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.67 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.02 | 0.06 |  | 0.03 |  |  | 3.94 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 9.6 | 8.1 |  | 10.4 |  |  | 61.0 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | B |  |  | $F$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 10.4 |  |  | 61.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | B |  |  | $F$ |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | Fox Farm Rd. / Park Garden <br> Rd. |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | $6 / 18 / 2013$ | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: Park Garden Road $\quad$ North/South Street: Fox Farm Road

Intersection Orientation: North-South $\quad$ Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 13 | 415 | 1 | 11 | 104 | 30 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.81 | 0.79 | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.59 | 0.75 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 16 | 525 | 4 | 35 | 176 | 40 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 102 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 36 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.40 | 0.53 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 136 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 19 | 67 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |


| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 16 | 35 |  | 90 |  |  | 147 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1343 | 1047 |  | 441 |  |  | 222 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.03 |  | 0.20 |  |  | 0.66 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.04 | 0.10 |  | 0.76 |  |  | 4.09 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.7 | 8.6 |  | 15.2 |  |  | 48.2 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | E |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 15.2 |  |  | 48.2 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | E |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | Fox Farm Rd. / Park Garden <br> Rd. |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | $6 / 18 / 2013$ | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: Park Garden Road $\quad$ North/South Street: Fox Farm Road

Intersection Orientation: North-South $\quad$ Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 18 | 195 | 5 | 46 | 391 | 130 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.31 | 0.72 | 0.84 | 0.77 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 24 | 267 | 16 | 63 | 465 | 168 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 69 | 6 | 25 | 6 | 8 | 27 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.69 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.68 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 92 | 12 | 36 | 12 | 19 | 39 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |


| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 24 | 63 |  | 70 |  |  | 140 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 958 | 1287 |  | 321 |  |  | 213 |  |
| v/c | 0.03 | 0.05 |  | 0.22 |  |  | 0.66 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.08 | 0.15 |  | 0.82 |  |  | 4.00 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.9 | 7.9 |  | 19.3 |  |  | 49.4 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | E |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 19.3 |  |  | 49.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | E |  |








| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 13th Ave. S / 9th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 7/1/2013 | Analysis Year | Existing - 2013 |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |
| East/West Street: 13th Avenue South |  | North/South Street: 9th Street South |  |
| Intersection Orientation: North-South |  | Study Period (hrs): 0.25 |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 112 | 18 | 28 | 167 | 41 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.63 | 0.70 | 0.64 | 0.54 | 0.67 | 0.60 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 7 | 160 | 28 | 51 | 249 | 68 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 4 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 8 | 34 | 6 | 15 | 31 | 18 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.67 | 0.71 | 0.75 | 0.54 | 0.71 | 0.56 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 11 | 47 | 8 | 27 | 43 | 32 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| V (veh/h) | 7 | 51 |  | 102 |  |  | 66 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1255 | 1370 |  | 457 |  |  | 409 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.04 |  | 0.22 |  |  | 0.16 |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length | 0.02 | 0.12 |  | 0.85 |  |  | 0.57 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.9 | 7.7 |  | 15.1 |  |  | 15.5 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | $C$ |  |  | $C$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- | 15.1 |  |  | 15.5 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- | $C$ |  |  | $C$ |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 13th Ave. S / 9th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 7/1/2013 | Analysis Year | Existing - 2013 |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |
| East/West Street: 13 th Avenue South |  | North/South Street: 9th Street South |  |
| Intersection Orientation: North-South |  | Study Period (hrs): 0.25 |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 13 | 181 | 35 | 64 | 115 | 82 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.81 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.62 | 0.87 | 0.82 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 16 | 198 | 43 | 103 | 132 | 100 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 2 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 27 | 69 | 10 | 14 | 87 | 42 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.68 | 0.82 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.78 | 0.70 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 39 | 84 | 20 | 24 | 111 | 60 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 16 | 103 |  | 195 |  |  | 143 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1348 | 1319 |  | 389 |  |  | 317 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.08 |  | 0.50 |  |  | 0.45 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.04 | 0.25 |  | 2.71 |  |  | 2.24 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.7 | 8.0 |  | 23.2 |  |  | 25.4 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 23.2 |  |  | 25.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | D |  |
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| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 11th Ave. S / 26th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 6/27/2013 | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 11th Avenue South
North/South Street: 26th Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 191 | 38 | 123 | 291 | 0 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.25 | 0.87 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.69 | 0.25 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 4 | 219 | 55 | 155 | 421 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- |  | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | O | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration | LT |  | TR | LT |  | $R$ |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 2 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 88 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.68 | 0.25 | 0.88 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 8 | 4 | 0 | 39 | 4 | 100 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 17 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  | LT |  | $R$ |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | $L T$ | $L T$ | $L T$ |  | $R$ |  | $L T R$ |  |
| V (veh/h) | 4 | 155 | 43 |  | 100 |  | 12 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1149 | 1286 | 175 |  | 914 |  | 174 |  |
| v/c | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 |  | 0.11 |  | 0.07 |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length | 0.01 | 0.41 | 0.93 |  | 0.37 |  | 0.22 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.1 | 8.2 | 32.1 |  | 9.4 |  | 27.2 |  |
| LOS | $A$ | $A$ | $D$ |  | $A$ |  | $D$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- | 16.3 |  |  | 27.2 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- | $C$ |  |  | $D$ |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 11th Ave. S / 26th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | 6/27/2013 |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 11th Avenue South
North/South Street: 26th Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 2 | 393 | 49 | 120 | 161 | 4 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.50 | 0.86 | 0.53 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.50 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 4 | 456 | 92 | 127 | 174 | 8 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration | LT |  | TR | LT |  | $R$ |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 42 | 2 | 294 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.81 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) (veh/h) | 0 | 4 | 4 | 56 | 4 | 362 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  | LT |  | $R$ |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LT | LT | LT |  | $R$ |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 4 | 127 | 60 |  | 362 |  | 8 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1405 | 1032 | 193 |  | 770 |  | 345 |  |
| v/c | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.31 |  | 0.47 |  | 0.02 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.01 | 0.42 | 1.26 |  | 2.54 |  | 0.07 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.6 | 9.0 | 31.9 |  | 13.8 |  | 15.7 |  |
| LOS | A | A | D |  | B |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- | 16.3 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- | C |  |  | C |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 13th Ave. S / 26th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed |  | Analysis Year | 2013-Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 13th Avenue South
North/South Street: 26th Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 12 | 190 | 5 | 29 | 287 | 26 |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.60 | 0.74 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.84 | 0.43 |  |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR <br> (veh/h) | 19 | 256 | 7 | 43 | 341 | 60 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |  |
| Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lanes | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Configuration | $L T$ |  | $T R$ | $L T$ |  | $T R$ |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.50 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 7 | 8 | 27 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | $L T$ | $L T$ |  | $L T R$ |  |  | $L T R$ |  |
| V (veh/h) | 19 | 43 |  | 16 |  |  | 42 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1159 | 1309 |  | 486 |  |  | 531 |  |
| v/c | 0.02 | 0.03 |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.08 |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length | 0.05 | 0.10 |  | 0.10 |  |  | 0.26 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.2 | 7.8 |  | 12.7 |  |  | 12.4 |  |
| LOS | A | $A$ |  | $B$ |  |  | $B$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- | 12.7 |  |  | 12.4 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- | $B$ |  |  | $B$ |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 13th Ave. S / 26th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | 6/27/2013 |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 13th Avenue South
North/South Street: 26th Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 8 | 401 | 6 | 33 | 169 | 22 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.50 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.55 | 0.94 | 0.69 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 16 | 514 | 8 | 59 | 179 | 31 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration | LT |  | TR | LT |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 26 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.72 | 0.25 | 0.83 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.50 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 36 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 12 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LT | LT |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 16 | 59 |  | 20 |  |  | 52 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1359 | 1055 |  | 433 |  |  | 371 |  |
| v/c | 0.01 | 0.06 |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.14 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.04 | 0.18 |  | 0.14 |  |  | 0.48 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.7 | 8.6 |  | 13.7 |  |  | 16.3 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 13.7 |  |  | 16.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | B |  |  | C |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 15th Ave. S / 26th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 1 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 15th Avenue South
North/South Street: 26th Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | 1 | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 10 | 155 | 18 | 71 | 196 | 19 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.42 | 0.84 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 0.78 | 0.68 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 23 | 184 | 36 | 100 | 251 | 27 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration | LT |  | TR | LT |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 6 | 23 | 29 | 4 | 23 | 30 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.52 | 0.66 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.75 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 8 | 44 | 43 | 8 | 47 | 40 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LT | LT |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 23 | 100 |  | 95 |  |  | 95 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1268 | 1357 |  | 432 |  |  | 431 |  |
| v/c | 0.02 | 0.07 |  | 0.22 |  |  | 0.22 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.06 | 0.24 |  | 0.83 |  |  | 0.83 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.9 | 7.9 |  | 15.7 |  |  | 15.7 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 15.7 |  |  | 15.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 15th Ave. S / 26th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 1 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 15th Avenue South
North/South Street: 26th Street South
Intersection Orientation: North-South
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 24 | 277 | 17 | 23 | 123 | 12 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.50 | 0.82 | 0.60 | 0.64 | 0.83 | 0.75 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 48 | 337 | 28 | 35 | 148 | 16 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 4 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration | LT |  | TR | LT |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 22 | 24 | 29 | 29 | 35 | 98 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.79 | 0.50 | 0.81 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.70 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 27 | 48 | 35 | 39 | 47 | 140 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LT | LT |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 48 | 35 |  | 226 |  |  | 110 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1417 | 1170 |  | 531 |  |  | 421 |  |
| v/c | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 0.43 |  |  | 0.26 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.11 | 0.09 |  | 2.11 |  |  | 1.03 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.6 | 8.2 |  | 16.7 |  |  | 16.5 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 16.7 |  |  | 16.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 10th Ave. S / 29th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 6/27/2013 | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 10th Avenue South
Intersection Orientation: East-West
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 11 | 637 | 140 | 97 | 954 | 6 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.55 | 0.85 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 0.79 | 0.50 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 19 | 749 | 229 | 136 | 1207 | 12 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | -- | -- | 2 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration | L | $T$ | TR | L | $T$ | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 1 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 17 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.67 | 0.75 | 0.38 | 0.71 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 7 | 4 | 35 | 4 | 7 | 23 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | - 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound | Westbound | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | $L$ | $L$ |  | $L T R$ |  |  | $L T R$ |  |
| V (veh/h) | 19 | 136 |  | 46 |  |  | 34 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 567 | 701 |  | 106 |  |  | 70 |  |
| v/c | 0.03 | 0.19 |  | 0.43 |  |  | 0.49 |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length | 0.10 | 0.71 |  | 1.85 |  |  | 1.97 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 11.6 | 11.4 |  | 62.8 |  |  | 97.7 |  |
| LOS | $B$ | $B$ |  | $F$ |  |  | $F$ |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- | 62.8 |  |  | 97.7 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- | F |  |  | F |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | Intersection | 10th Ave. S / 29th St. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | 6/27/2013 | Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 10th Avenue South
Intersection Orientation: East-West
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 54 | 1307 | 39 | 25 | 1183 | 15 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.68 | 0.98 | 0.75 | 0.63 | 0.95 | 0.75 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 79 | 1333 | 52 | 39 | 1245 | 20 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | -- | -- | 2 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration | L | $T$ | TR | L | $T$ | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.88 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.71 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 11 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound | Westbound | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | L | L |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 79 | 39 |  | 98 |  |  | 28 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 545 | 490 |  | 131 |  |  | 476 |  |
| v/c | 0.14 | 0.08 |  | 0.75 |  |  | 0.06 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.50 | 0.26 |  | 4.34 |  |  | 0.19 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 12.7 | 13.0 |  | 87.4 |  |  | 13.0 |  |
| LOS | B | B |  | F |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 87.4 |  |  | 13.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | $F$ |  |  | B |  |




| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | \|ntersection | 32nd St. S/11th Ave. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | Jurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Analysis Year | 2013 - Existing |  |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 2 / 2013$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |

Project Description Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014
East/West Street: 11th Avenue South
North/South Street: 32nd Street South
Intersection Orientation: East-West
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 16 | 38 | 2 | 2 | 145 | 109 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.67 | 0.79 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.59 | 0.68 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 23 | 48 | 8 | 8 | 245 | 160 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 50 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 4 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 51 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.33 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0.63 | 0.50 | 0.71 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 12 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 71 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound | Westbound | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) | 23 | 8 |  | 24 |  |  | 86 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1157 | 1287 |  | 437 |  |  | 660 |  |
| v/c | 0.02 | 0.01 |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.13 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.06 | 0.02 |  | 0.17 |  |  | 0.45 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.2 | 7.8 |  | 13.7 |  |  | 11.3 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 13.7 |  |  | 11.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | $B$ |  |  | B |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | Trisha Bodlovic | \|ntersection | 32nd St. S/11th Ave. S |
| Agency/Co. | Robert Peccia \& Associates | \|nurisdiction | Great Falls |
| Date Performed | $7 / 2 / 2013$ | 2013 - Existing |  |
| Analysis Year |  |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Project Description $\quad$ Great Falls Area Long Range Transportation Plan - 2014 |  |  |  |
| East/West Street: 11 Avenue South | North/South Street: 32nd Street South |  |  |
| Intersection Orientation: East-West | Study Period (hrs): 0.25 |  |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 74 | 146 | 10 | 2 | 87 | 90 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.84 | 0.78 | 0.63 | 0.50 | 0.73 | 0.83 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 88 | 187 | 15 | 4 | 119 | 108 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 1 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 4 | 12 | 1 | 16 | 12 | 51 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.25 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.80 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 8 | 19 | 4 | 19 | 16 | 63 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound | Westbound | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| v (veh/h) | 88 | 4 |  | 31 |  |  | 98 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1345 | 1378 |  | 399 |  |  | 608 |  |
| v/c | 0.07 | 0.00 |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.16 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.21 | 0.01 |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.57 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.9 | 7.6 |  | 14.8 |  |  | 12.1 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 14.8 |  |  | 12.1 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | B |  |  | B |  |





Saturation Headway Adjustment Worksheet

| Prop. Left-Turns | 0.0 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prop. Right-Turns | 0.2 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |  |
| Prop. Heavy Vehicle | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| hLT-adj | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| hRT-adj | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 |
| hHV-adj | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| hadj, computed | -0.1 |  | -0.2 |  | -0.0 |  | -0.0 |  |

## Departure Headway and Service Time



Capacity and Level of Service

|  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 460 |  | 478 |  | 484 |  | 487 |  |
| Delay (s/veh) | 17.29 |  | 18.61 |  | 19.68 |  | 20.40 |  |
| LOS | C |  | C |  | C |  | C |  |
| Approach: Delay (s/veh) | 17.29 |  | 18.61 |  | 19.68 |  | 20.40 |  |
| LOS | C |  | C |  | C |  | C |  |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) | 19.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Saturation Headway Adjustment Worksheet

| Prop. Left-Turns | 0.2 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prop. Right-Turns | 0.1 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  |
| Prop. Heavy Vehicle | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| hLT-adj | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| hRT-adj | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 |
| hHV-adj | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| hadj, computed | 0.0 |  | -0.1 |  | -0.0 |  | -0.0 |  |

## Departure Headway and Service Time



Capacity and Level of Service

|  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 370 |  | 378 |  | 647 |  | 646 |  |
| Delay (s/veh) | 11.30 |  | 11.23 |  | 17.50 |  | 16.94 |  |
| LOS | B |  | B |  | C |  | C |  |
| Approach: Delay (s/veh) | 11.30 |  | 11.23 |  | 17.50 |  | 16.94 |  |
| LOS | B |  | B |  | C |  | C |  |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) | 15.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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